

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEVADA

STEVEN R. DAVIS, an individual,  
Plaintiffs,

vs.

UNITEL VOICE, LLC, et al  
Defendants.

Case No.: 2:18-CV-00673-JCM-PAL

**STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED]  
ORDER FOR EXTENSION OF  
TIME TO RESPOND TO  
DEFENDANT’S MOTION TO  
DISMISS UNDER FED. R. CIV. P.  
12(b)(2) FILED SEPTEMBER 4,  
2018 (ECF No. 26).**

**(First Request)**

**STIPULATION AND ORDER FOR EXTENSION OF TIME  
TO RESPOND TO DEFENDANT’S MOTION TO DISMISS UNDER  
FED. R. CIV. P. 12(b)(2) FILED SEPTEMBER 4, 2018 (ECF No. 26).**

(First Request)

Plaintiff Steven R. Davis, pro se, and Telecom Management Group, Inc., an Illinois corporation doing business as Unitel, sued as Unitel Voice, LLC, d/b/a Telecom Management Group, Inc. (the “Defendant”),<sup>1</sup> through its undersigned counsel of record, agree that upon the Court’s approval, Plaintiff’s response to Defendant’s Motion to Dismiss (ECF No. 26), currently due on September 18, 2018, shall be due two weeks from that date, on October 2, 2018, for the reasons set forth below:

1. Defendant’s Motion to Dismiss (ECF No. 26) was timely filed on September 4, 2018.

<sup>1</sup> Telecom Management Group, Inc., an Illinois corporation d/b/a Unitel maintains that it has been sued in error as Unitel Voice, LLC d/b/a Telecom Management Group, Inc., as stated in its Motion to Dismiss (ECF No. 26 at 1 n. 1); Plaintiff does not agree with Defendant’s position.

Stipulation for Extension of Time to Respond to Motion to Dismiss



1 Dated this 14th day of September 2018, by the undersigned Plaintiff and counsel  
2 for Defendant.


3  
4 Steve R. Davis, Pro Per  
4038 Velarde Ct.  
5 Las Vegas, NV 89120  
(786) 753-1931  
6 [steverdavis@mail.com](mailto:steverdavis@mail.com)

7 /s/ J. Malcolm DeVoy  
J. Malcolm DeVoy (Nevada Bar No. 11950)  
8 Erica Bobak (Nevada Bar No. 13828)  
DeVoy Law P.C.  
9 2575 Montessouri Street, Suite 201  
Las Vegas, Nevada 89117  
10 702-706-3051  
702-977-9359 (fax)  
11 [ecf@devoylaw.com](mailto:ecf@devoylaw.com)  
*Attorneys for Defendant*  
12 *Telecom Management Group Inc, d/b/a Unitel*

13  
14 **ORDER**

15 For good cause appearing, the foregoing stipulation is GRANTED and it is ORDERED  
16 that Plaintiff's opposition to Defendant's Motion to Dismiss (ECF No. 26) shall be due on or before  
17 October 2, 2018, and Plaintiff's reply in support of its Motion to Dismiss seven days after Plaintiff  
18 serving his opposition to that motion.

19 Dated September 18, 2018.

20   
21 \_\_\_\_\_  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

22  
23  
24 Stipulation for Extension of Time to Respond to Motion to Dismiss