



1 correct the error. (*Id.* at 1.) The Court construes this document as a motion for  
2 reconsideration of its order dismissing the case.

3 A motion to reconsider must set forth “some valid reason why the court should  
4 reconsider its prior decision” and set “forth facts or law of a strongly convincing nature to  
5 persuade the court to reverse its prior decision.” *Frasure v. United States*, 256 F.Supp.2d  
6 1180, 1183 (D. Nev. 2003). Reconsideration is appropriate if this Court “(1) is presented  
7 with newly discovered evidence, (2) committed clear error or the initial decision was  
8 manifestly unjust, or (3) if there is an intervening change in controlling law.” *Sch. Dist.*  
9 *No. 1J v. Acands, Inc.*, 5 F.3d 1255, 1263 (9th Cir. 1993).

10 The Court finds that there are no grounds for reconsideration. When Plaintiff filed  
11 his application to proceed *in forma pauperis*, he indicated that he was filing a new case.  
12 (ECF No. 1 at 1.) Furthermore, the case number that Plaintiff references in his most  
13 recent filing is not a case in the District of Nevada. Accordingly, the Court denies the  
14 motion for reconsideration.

15 **II. CONCLUSION**

16 For the foregoing reasons, IT IS ORDERED that the motion for reconsideration is  
17 denied.

18  
19 DATED this 25th day of June, 2018.



20  
21 RICHARD F. BOULARE, II  
22 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28