

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

FREDERIC CHARLES PRADO, )  
 )  
 Plaintiff, )  
 vs. )  
 )  
 MUTUAL LIBERTY LIFE INSURANCE, et )  
 al., )  
 )  
 Defendants. )  
 )  
 )

Case No.: 2:18-cv-00831-GMN-BNW

**ORDER**

Pending before the Court is the Order and Report and Recommendation (“Order and R&R”) of United States Magistrate Judge Brenda Weksler, (ECF No. 8), granting Plaintiff Frederic Charles Pardo’s (“Plaintiff”) Motion/Application for Leave to Proceed in forma pauperis, (ECF No. 1), and recommending the Court dismiss Plaintiff’s Complaint, (ECF Nos. 1-1, 9), without prejudice and provide him a deadline to file an amended complaint. Judge Weksler issued her R&R on September 11, 2019, and Plaintiff had until September 25, 2019 to file an objection. (Order and R&R, ECF No. 8)

A party may file specific written objections to the findings and recommendations of a United States Magistrate Judge made pursuant to Local Rule IB 1-4. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B); D. Nev. R. IB 3-2. Upon the filing of such objections, the Court must make a de novo determination of those portions to which objections are made. *Id.* The Court may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the findings or recommendations made by the Magistrate Judge. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); D. Nev. IB 3-2(b). Where a party fails to object, however, the Court is not required to conduct “any review at all . . . of any issue that is not the subject of an objection.” *Thomas v. Arn*, 474 U.S. 140, 149 (1985). Indeed, the Ninth Circuit has recognized

1 that a district court is not required to review a magistrate judge's report and recommendation  
2 where no objections have been filed. See, e.g., *United States v. Reyna-Tapia*, 328 F.3d 1114,  
3 1122 (9th Cir. 2003).

4 Here, no objections were filed, and the deadline to do so, September 25, 2019, has  
5 passed. (Order and R&R).

6 Accordingly,


7 **IT IS HEREBY ORDERED** that the Report and Recommendation, (ECF No. 8), is  
8 **ADOPTED in full.**

9 **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that Plaintiff's Complaint, (ECF Nos. 1-1, 9), is  
10 **DISMISSED without prejudice.**

11 **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that Plaintiff shall have until **October 26, 2019** to file an  
12 amended complaint.

13 The Clerk of Court shall close the case.

14  
15 **DATED** this 26 day of September, 2019.

16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Gloria M. Navarro, District Judge  
United States District Court