| 1  |   |                                 |
|----|---|---------------------------------|
| 2  |   |                                 |
| 3  |   |                                 |
| 4  |   |                                 |
| 5  |   |                                 |
| 6  |   |                                 |
| 7  |   |                                 |
| 8  | UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  DISTRICT OF NEVADA  |                                 |
| 9  | DISTRICT  | JF NEVADA                       |
| 10 | MICHAEL RENO, et al.,   | Case No.: 2:18-cv-00840-APG-NJK |
| 11 | Plaintiff(s),   |                                 |
| 12 | V.  | Order                           |
| 13 | WESTERN CAB COMPANY, et al.,  | [Docket Nos. 200, 202]          |
| 14 | Defendant(s).   |                                 |
| 15 | Pending before the Court is Defendants' motion to extend the response deadline to a motion  |                                 |
| 16 | to compel. Docket No. 200. Plaintiffs filed a notice of non-objection and a counter-motion for an   |                                 |
| 17 | extension of the reply deadline. Docket Nos. 201, 202. To the extent parties agree on relief being  |                                 |
| 18 | sought, they are supposed to file a stipulation. The Court fails to discern any good reason why that  |                                 |
| 19 | is not happening. <sup>1</sup> Accordingly, the motion and counter-motion are <b>DENIED</b> without prejudice.  |                                 |
| 20 | IT IS SO ORDERED.   |                                 |
| 21 | Dated: August 4, 2020   |                                 |
| 22 | Nancy J. Koppe  |                                 |
| 23 |   | United States Magistrate Judge  |
| 24 |   |                                 |
| 25 | supposed to act as a babysitter to attorneys. <i>Compare</i> Docket No. 202 at 2 (accusing opposing counsel of avoiding communications) <i>with Mazzeo v. Gibbons</i> , 2010 WL 3020021, at *2 (D. Nev. July 27, 2010) (Leen, J.) (admonishing counsel for trying to transform a magistrate judge into a "school marm scolding little boys"). Counsel have ethical and legal obligations that are <u>self-enforcing</u> . The Court is fast approaching a point where sanctions and/or disciplinary referrals |                                 |
| 26 |   |                                 |
| 27 |   |                                 |
| 28 | against both sides may be on the table for future   | lapses.                         |