

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
DISTRICT OF NEVADA

\* \* \*

KELLI J. ROSSOL,

Plaintiff(s),

Case No. 2:18-CV-859 JCM (VCF)

ORDER

v.

NANCY A. BERRYHILL,

Defendant(s).

Presently before the court is Magistrate Judge Cam Ferenbach’s report and recommendation (ECF No. 16) in the matter of Rossol v. Berryhill, case number 2:18-cv-00859-JCM-VCF. No objections have been filed, and the deadline for doing so has passed.

Magistrate Judge Ferenbach notes that the administrative law judge (“ALJ”) properly denied appellant Kelli Rossol’s application for disability benefits. (ECF No. 16). The record before the court, including the report and recommendation, shows that the ALJ based his decision on substantial evidence and provided specific reasons for rejecting various witness opinions. (ECF Nos. 9-1, 16). Accordingly, the magistrate judge recommends denying Rossol’s motion to remand and granting the social security commissioner’s motion to affirm the agency’s decision. *Id.*

This court “may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the findings or recommendations made by the magistrate.” 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). Where a party timely objects to a magistrate judge’s report and recommendation, then the court is required to “make a de novo determination of those portions of the [report and recommendation] to which objection is made.” 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1).

James C. Mahan  
U.S. District Judge

