Doc. 24

1	4.	August 3, 2019:	Respondents will	file any reply to Petitioner's Response to
2	Respondents' Cross-Motion for Summary Judgment.			
3	Respondents need additional time to evaluate the arguments set forth in Petitioner's motion for			
4	summary judgment, ECF No. 22. The additional time is not sought for purposes of delay or any			
5	other improper purpose, but to permit for fulsome review of the arguments and potential options			
6	for resolution. Based on the agreed extension, the new deadlines would be as follows:			
7	1.	July 22, 2019:	Respondents will	file any response to Petitioner's Motion for
8	Summary Judgment in addition to its own Cross-Motion for Summary Judgment.			
9	2.	August 5, 2019:	Petitioner will file	e any response to Respondents' Cross-Motion for
10	Summary Judgment and/or reply to Respondents' Response to Petitioners Motion for			
11		Summary Judgment.		
12	3.	August 19, 2019:	Respondents will	file any reply to Petitioner's Response to
13	Respondents' Cross-Motion for Summary Judgment. ¹			
14	Respectfully submitted this 2nd day of July 2019.			
15	LAW OFFICE OF ALEXANDER VAIL		NDER VAIL	NICHOLAS A. TRUTANICH
16				United States Attorney
17	Alexander Vail ALEXANDER VAIL, Esq. 2970 West Sahara Ave. Las Vegas, Nevada 89102 Attorney for Petitioner			/s/ Mark E. Woolf MARK E. WOOLF
18				Assistant United States Attorney
19				Attorneys for Respondents
20				
21	IT IS SO ORDERED.			
22	Dated this 3 day of July, 2019.			
23	$\mathcal{O}_{-}(\mathcal{O})$			
24	Gloria M. Navarro, Chief Judge UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT			
25				
26				
27	When submitting the initial stipulated briefing schedule, the parties inadvertently submitted dates that all fall on Saturdays. Thus, while the extension is calculated 14 days from the current deadlines, because each of the original			
28	dates falls on a Saturday, the new deadlines were moved to the next business day pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P.			

6(a)(1)(C).