Villaverde v. Aranas et al

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEVADA

SALLY D. VILLAVERDE,

Case No. 2:18-cv-00921-GMN-EJY

Plaintiff,

V.

ROMEO ARANAS, et al.,

Defendant.

**ORDER** 

8 9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Before the Court is Defendants' Motion for Leave to File Exhibits to Defendants' Motion for Summary Judgment Under Seal. ECF No. 64. Plaintiff filed two documents in response to Defendants' Motion (ECF Nos. 68 and 69), which the Court has reviewed and considered.

Defendants' Motion explains that Exhibits A-L to their Motion for Summary Judgment contain portions of Plaintiff's medical records. ECF No. 64 at 2. Defendants seek to protect Plaintiff's privacy through the Motion to Seal. *Id.* Plaintiff appears to object to Defendants' Motion because "the exhibits include declarations and/or affidavits from parties involved in this litigation." ECF No. 69 at 2. Plaintiff also appears to complain that he has had insufficient time to review the medical records to which he was provided access. *Id.* at 3. This argument is not related to whether the records are properly sealed. 1

When seeking to seal a judicial record, the moving party, here Defendants, must meet their burden of overcoming the strong presumption in favor of access and public policies favoring disclosure. Kamakana v. City and Cnty. of Honolulu, 447 F.3d 1172, 1178-79 (9th Cir. 2006) (holding that those who seek to maintain the secrecy of documents attached to dispositive motions must meet the high threshold of showing that "compelling reasons" support secrecy). Compelling reasons for maintaining secrecy of records filed with the Court requires demonstration that the

25

26

27

The Court notes that Plaintiff was provided an opportunity to review medical records pertaining in any way to tests, complaints of, diagnoses of, recommendations relating to, and the treatment or lack of treatment for hypertension, diabetes, and eye problems, obtain copies of records Plaintiff identified, and that these events were to occur within specific timeframes. ECF No. 51 entered on November 20, 2020. The Court further notes that Plaintiff received copies of the records filed under seal. ECF No. 69 at 2. The record in this matter further demonstrates Plaintiff filed no motion following the Court's November 20, 2020 Order indicating the relief granted was inadequate.

1 publicly filed document has the potential to become a vehicle for improper purposes, including use 2 of records to gratify private spite, promote public scandal, disseminate libelous statements, or 3 circulate trade secrets. Nixon v. Warner Commc'ns, 435 U.S. 589, 598 (1978). Importantly, medical 4 privacy also meets the compelling reason standard. See, e.g., San Ramon Regional Med. Ctr., Inc. 5 v. Principal Life Ins. Co., 2011 WL89931, at \*n. 1 (N.D. Cal. Jan. 10, 2011); Abbey v. Hawaii Employers Mut. Ins. Co., 2010 WL4715793, at \*1-2 (D.HI. Nov. 15, 2010); G. v. Hawaii, 2010 WL 6 7 267483, at \*1–2 (D. HI. June 25, 2010); Wilkins v. Ahern, 2010 WL3755654 (N.D. Cal. Sept. 24, 2010); Lombardi v. Tri West Healthcare Alliance Corp., 2009 WL 1212170, at \*1 (D. Ariz. May 4, 8 9 2009). A review of all documents Defendants seeks to seal relate to and/or discuss Plaintiff's health issues. Accordingly, the Court finds that sealing Exhibits A-L to Defendants' Motion for Summary 10 11 Judgment is proper. 12 Therefore, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Defendants' Motion for Leave to File Exhibits to Defendants' Motion for Summary Judgment Under Seal (ECF No. 64) is GRANTED and all 13 14 exhibits presented under seal shall remain sealed. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Plaintiff's Letter/Motion docketed as ECF No. 68 is 15 GRANTED. 16 17 DATED this 21st day of April, 2021. 18 19 20 21 JNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE 22 23

24

25

26

27

28