UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS



FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

NOV 7 2018

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

In re: ERVIN MIDDLETON, Jr.

ERVIN MIDDLETON, Jr.,

Petitioner,

v.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEVADA, LAS VEGAS,

Respondent,

CARRINGTON MORTGAGE SERVICES, LLC; et al.,

Real Parties in Interest.

No. 18-72679

D.C. No. 2:18-cv-00945-JAD-PAL District of Nevada, Las Vegas

ORDER

Before: SILVERMAN, NGUYEN, and OWENS, Circuit Judges.

Petitioner has not demonstrated that this case warrants the intervention of this court by means of the extraordinary remedy of mandamus. *See Bauman v. U.S. Dist. Court*, 557 F.2d 650 (9th Cir. 1977). Accordingly, the supplemented petition is denied. This denial is without prejudice to the filing of a new petition if the district court has not acted on the pending motions within 90 days.

No further filings will be entertained in this closed case.

DENIED.