2

4

5

6

7

8

v.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

DISTRICT OF NEVADA

3 JAMES ROBINSON and TABERNACLE OF IZM,

LAS VEGAS BISTRO LLC, et al.,

Plaintiff

Defendants

Case No.: 2:18-cv-01047-APG-GWF

Order Accepting Report and Recommendation and Order to Show Cause Why Case Should Not Be Dismissed

[ECF No. 5]

9	On January 25, 2019, Magistrate Judge Foley recommended that I dismiss the
10	complaint's Title VII claim with prejudice and dismiss the First Amendment and breach of
11	contract claims without prejudice. ECF No. 5. Judge Foley set a February 22, 2019 deadline for
12	the plaintiffs to file an amended complaint. Id. The plaintiffs did not file objections. Thus, I am
13	not obligated to conduct a de novo review of the report and recommendation. 28 U.S.C.
14	§ 636(b)(1) (requiring district courts to "make a de novo determination of those portions of the
15	report or specified proposed findings to which objection is made"); United States v. Reyna-
16	Tapia, 328 F.3d 1114, 1121 (9th Cir. 2003) (en banc) ("the district judge must review the
17	magistrate judge's findings and recommendations de novo if objection is made, but not
18	otherwise" (emphasis in original)).

The plaintiffs also did not file an amended complaint by the February 22 deadline. I will
give the plaintiffs one final chance to either file an amended complaint or show cause in writing
why this case should not be dismissed. Failure to respond to this order will result in this case
being dismissed without prejudice.

23 ////

1	IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that Judge Foley's report and recommendation (ECF
2	No. 5) is accepted.

3 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that on or before March 15, 2019, the plaintiffs shall either
4 file an amended complaint consistent with Judge Foley's report and recommendation or show
5 cause in writing why this case should not be dismissed. Failure to respond to this order will
6 result in this case being dismissed without prejudice.

DATED this 5th day of March, 2019.

ANDREW P. GORDON UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE