

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA

JULIE A. GOLDBERG, et al.,
Plaintiffs,

v.

WELLS FARGO MORTGAGE, INC., et al.,
Defendants.

Case No.: 2:18-cv-01053-JCM-NJK

Order

[Docket No. 40]

9 Pending before the Court is a motion to withdraw as counsel by Plaintiffs' local counsel,
10 Jared B. Jennings and Adam R. Fulton. Docket No. 40. Counsel submits that continued
11 representation would cause an unreasonable financial burden on them. *Id.* at 3-4. In response,
12 Julie A. Goldberg, one of the plaintiffs in the case, and a licensed California attorney, submits that
13 she has no objection to the withdrawal of current local counsel, and asks the Court to allow her to
14 proceed as Plaintiffs' counsel without any local counsel. See Docket No. 43.

15 Local Rule IA 11-2(d) states that, "[u]nless the court orders otherwise, an attorney who is
16 granted permission to practice [in this court in a particular case] must associate a resident member
17 of the bar of this court as co-counsel." Ms. Goldberg concedes that the instant case is the only
18 case in which she has appeared as counsel in this district. Docket No. 43 at 3.¹ Further, United
19 States District Judge James C. Mahan was aware that Ms. Goldberg is a member of the California
20 bar in good standing, as she submits in her response, when he granted her leave to practice in this
21 court with the association of local counsel. See Docket No. 13. The Court finds that Ms. Goldberg

22
23

¹ Both Ms. Goldberg's response to the motion to withdraw and motion to appear telephonically violate the Court's local rules, as they are not on pleading paper. See LR IA 10-1(a)(1). See also Docket Nos. 43, 50.

