Ш

1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
2 DISTRICT OF NEVADA	
3	
BILLY FLETCHER,	
Plaintiff,	2:18-cv-01077-RFB-VCF
VS. I DZURENDA et al	ORDER
	pointment of Counsel (ECE Nos 20 24 and 26)
9 Plaintiff is seeking for appointment of counsel because he is unable to afford counsel. Proceeding	
<sup>10</sup> in forma pauperis in this case does not grant Plaintiff the right for appointment of counsel. Plaintiff's	
<sup>11</sup> motion is DENIED. However, given that Plaintiff has stated a potential medical need, the court is referring	
<sup>12</sup> this case to the Pro Bono Program ("Program") adopted in General Order 2019-07 for the purpose of	
<sup>13</sup> screening for financial eligibility (if necessary) and identifying counsel willing to be appointed as pro	
bono counsel for Plaintiff Elizabeth Carley. The scope of appointment will be for all purposes through	
IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Plaintiff's Motion for Appointment of Counsel (ECF Nos. 20,	
<sup>0</sup> IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that this case is referred to the Pro Bono Program for appointment	
IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Clerk forwards this order to the Pro Bono Liaison.	
DATED this 5th day of March, 2020.	Can Facher
	CAM FERENBACH UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
	UNITED STATES MADISTRATE JUDGE
	DISTRICT O *** BILLY FLETCHER, VS. J. DZURENDA, et al., Defendants. Before the Court is Plaintiff's Motion for Ap Plaintiff is seeking for appointment of counse in forma pauperis in this case does not grant Plaintiff motion is DENIED. However, given that Plaintiff has this case to the Pro Bono Program ("Program") add screening for financial eligibility (if necessary) and bono counsel for Plaintiff Elizabeth Carley. The sc the conclusion of trial. By referring this case to the I the merits of the case. Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Plaintiff's 24, and 26) is DENIED. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that this case i of counsel for the purposes identified herein. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Clerk f