

1 AARON D. FORD
 Attorney General
 2 ALEXANDER J. SMITH (Bar No. 15484C)
 Deputy Attorney General
 3 State of Nevada
 Office of the Attorney General
 4 555 East Washington Avenue
 Suite 3900
 5 Las Vegas, Nevada 89101
 (702) 486-4070 (phone)
 6 (702) 486-3773 (fax)
 Email: ajsmith@ag.nv.gov

7
 8 *Attorneys for Defendants*
Brian Williams and Glenn Fowler

9
 10 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**

11 **DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

12 NATHAN WILLIAMS,

13 Plaintiff,

14 v.

15 BRIAN E. WILLIAMS, SR., *et al.*,

16 Defendants.

Case No. 2:18-cv-01363-APG-NJK

**UNOPPOSED MOTION TO EXTEND
 THE DISPOSITIVE MOTIONS
 DEADLINE BY FORTY-FIVE DAYS
 FROM
 AUGUST 26, 2021, TO
 OCTOBER 10, 2021
 (FIRST REQUEST TO EXTEND THE
 DISPOSITIVE MOTIONS
 DEADLINE)¹**

17
 18
 19 Defendants, Brian Williams and Glenn Fowler, by and through counsel, Aaron D.
 20 Ford, Attorney General of the State of Nevada, and Alexander J. Smith, Deputy Attorney
 21 General of the State of Nevada, Office of the Attorney General, hereby move to extend the
 22 dispositive motions deadline by forty-five days to October 10, 2021.²

23 On August 23, 2021, counsel for Defendants, Attorney Smith, contacted counsel for
 24 Plaintiff Nathan Williams—Attorney McKissick—and outlined the reasons why

25
 26 ¹ The parties have previously stipulated to extend scheduling deadlines, the last time
 27 being on May 7, 2021 (ECF No. 41) (fourth request—one must take into account that
 28 several of those stipulations were rejected for failing to comply with the Local Rules). This
 is the first time that Defendants have moved solely to extend the dispositive motions
 deadline (and not to extend the discovery deadline as well).

² October 10, 2021 is a Sunday, and the following Monday is Columbus Day, a federal
 holiday, so dispositive motions will be due on October 12, 2021.

1 Defendants need at least an additional forty-five days to extend the dispositive motions
2 deadline. Attorney McKissick graciously stated that because of the reasons proffered by
3 Defendants, Williams will not oppose this motion. For the reasons stated by Defendants to
4 Attorney McKissick on Monday and as outlined below, Defendants move to extend the
5 dispositive motions deadline.

6 **MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES**

7 **I. LAW AND ARGUMENT**

8 **A. Rule 6(b), Federal Rules Of Civil Procedure.**

9 Rule 6(b)(1), Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, governs extensions of time and states:

10 When an act may or must be done within a specified time, the
11 court may, for good cause, extend the time: (A) with or without
12 motion or notice if the court acts, or if a request is made, before
13 the original time or its extension expires; or (B) on motion made
after the time has expired if the party failed to act because of
excusable neglect.

14 If additional time for any purpose is needed, the proper procedure is to present a
15 request for extension of time before the time fixed has expired. *Canup v. Mississippi Val.*
16 *Barge Line Co.*, 31 F.R.D. 282 (W.D. Pa. 1962). An extension of time may always be sought
17 and is usually granted on a showing of good cause if timely made under subdivision (b)(1)
18 of the Rule. *Creedon v. Taubman*, 8 F.R.D. 268 (N.D. Ohio 1947).

19 **B. Local Rules IA 6-1 And 26-3.**

20 LR IA 6-1 requires that a motion to extend time must state the reasons for the
21 extension requested and will not be granted if requested after the expiration of the specified
22 period unless the movant demonstrates that the failure to file the motion before the
23 deadline expired resulted because of excusable neglect. LR 26-3 requires that a motion to
24 extend any date set by the discovery plan, scheduling order, or other order must, as well as
25 satisfying the requirements of LR IA 6-1, demonstrate good cause for the extension, and
26 such a motion filed after the expiration of the deadline will not be granted unless the
27 movant demonstrates that the failure to act resulted from excusable neglect.

28 ///

1 Finally, LR 26-3 lists four factors that are considered upon adjudication of a motion
2 to extend a discovery deadline or to reopen discovery: (a) a statement specifying the
3 discovery completed; (b) a specific description of the discovery that remains to be completed;
4 (c) the reasons why the deadline was not satisfied or the remaining discovery was not
5 completed within the time limits set by the discovery plan; and (d) a proposed schedule for
6 completing all remaining discovery.

7 **C. Good Cause Exists, Thus An Order Should Grant Defendants' Motion**
8 **For An Extension Of The Dispositive Motions Deadline**

9 Here, good cause exists for extending the dispositive motions deadline by forty-five
10 days. Defendants intend to move for summary judgment and raise important legal
11 arguments such as whether Williams exhausted his administrative remedies and whether
12 qualified immunity bars the claims against Defendants. Also, Defendants will argue that
13 there exists no genuine dispute as to any material fact on any of Williams's claims.
14 Defendants continue to assert that no constitutional violations occurred, thus Defendants
15 are entitled to judgment as a matter of law. By extending the deadline by forty-five days,
16 Williams is under no danger of prejudice; the delay is short, and Williams and his counsel
17 also benefit from an extended period in which to draft and finalize a motion for summary
18 judgment, assuming that is Williams's intention.

19 Counsel for the defense, Attorney Smith, who currently represents the interests of
20 several hundred defendants in approximately fifty cases is currently admitted to the
21 Nevada Bar under SCR 49.1(1)(f) on a limited practice certification for the sole purpose of
22 representing the Nevada Attorney General in all Nevada State and Federal Courts. In
23 December 2020, the Nevada Bar's Functional Equivalency Committee evaluated Attorney
24 Smith's English legal qualifications (various law degrees and admission to the Bar of
25 England and Wales and to the New York Bar in 2016, as well as multiple federal clerkships
26 and a three-and-a-half-year stint as visiting scholar at Berkeley Law) and cleared Attorney
27 Smith to take the July 2021 Nevada Bar. Attorney Smith is required take the exam to
28 convert his temporary license into a full license.

1 Attorney Smith took almost three-weeks off from work in July solely to study for the
2 Bar examination. After just one day off work following the end of the examination, he
3 returned to the office and has spent the last three weeks catching up. In the first week
4 alone he billed³ fifty-eight hours, over seventy in the second week, over fifty in the third
5 week, and is on course to bill 250 hours this month. Because Attorney Smith is developing
6 a particular expertise in the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, particularly Rules 41 and 60
7 as of late, he has taken on additional cases from other attorneys in the office, and many of
8 them have required extensive research on jurisdiction, enforcing a settlement agreement,
9 relief from a final judgment or order, preclusion and involuntary dismissals, and the like.
10 Several of these require briefing in excess of twenty-pages. This is in addition to Attorney
11 Smith having several other dispositive motions deadlines fast approaching in September.
12 Also, given Attorney Smith's clerking and extensive academic background, he is taking
13 more of a role in helping train newer attorneys on the complexities of constitutional law
14 and both Federal and State civil procedure and is compiling resources and memorandums
15 for the office that cover various problems in select areas that occur with increasing
16 frequency in this district. Percentage wise, this court has a much higher inmate litigant
17 caseload than many other districts and it is in the interests of both the court and the
18 Attorney General's Office that this number is reduced. Attorney Smith has been actively
19 researching legal strategies and potential arguments that can be employed to try and
20 reduce that burden slightly. Thus, in addition to having a high caseload, his office
21 responsibilities have increased.

22 Also compounding difficulties is the fact that the Public Safety Division of the
23 Attorney General's Office has transitioned to a "teams" model, meaning that instead of
24 each Deputy Attorney General (DAG) having primary responsibility for his or her own
25 cases, each DAG is organized into a specific team and has additional tasks assigned. Thus,
26 Attorney Smith and others have had to familiarize themselves with many new cases and
27

28 ³ Although Attorney Smith works for the public sector, all attorneys at the office are
expected to "bill," (a.k.a. enter) their hours into ProLaw.

1 attend hearings at short notice, which involves last-minute preparation. Finally, the team
2 in which Attorney Smith in placed has recently lost an attorney, as has another team,
3 therefore the workload keeps increasing. Attorney Smith therefore respectfully asks this
4 court to extend the dispositive motions deadline so that he can focus his attention on
5 Williams's action and give it the attention that every lawsuit rightly deserves.

6 Both Plaintiff and Defendants continue to diligently prosecute and defend this
7 action, respectively, and believe it is in the interests of justice that this stipulation is
8 granted. Attorney Smith works on behalf of the State and therefore has no control over his
9 caseload, particularly at this time of workplace transition. Both the court and the Attorney
10 General's Office work to see that justice is done, and justice demands that in the event of a
11 situation like this, the deadline be extended. Secondly, Attorney McKissick and his firm
12 represent Williams *pro bono*, for which they must be commended, and Attorney Smith
13 thanks them for their co-operation.

14 In sum, for the reasons stated above and because no rushed work product is good
15 work product, Attorney Smith needs additional time in order to adequately brief the court
16 for summary judgment in this action.

17 **D. The Four Factors Contained Within LR 26-3 Are Satisfied⁴**

18 The four factors contained within LR 26-3—(a) a statement specifying the discovery
19 completed; (b) a specific description of the discovery that remains to be completed; (c) the
20 reasons why the deadline was not satisfied or the remaining discovery was not completed
21 within the time limits set by the discovery plan; and (d) a proposed schedule for completing
22 all remaining discovery—are satisfied. Defendants have completed discovery in this action,
23 and no further discovery is needed. The reasons why Defendants are unable to adhere to
24

25 ⁴ LR 26-3 lists four factors that are considered. Arguably, these apply only when a
26 party moves for an extension to extend a discovery deadline or to reopen discovery; here,
27 Defendants neither move to extend a discovery deadline nor move to reopen discovery, but
28 because this motion seeks to extend a deadline—established by a May 7, 2021
stipulation/order to re-open discovery for the limited purpose of allowing Williams further
(limited) discovery and to extend the dispositive motions deadline—out of an abundance of
caution, the factors contained within LR 26-3 are addressed in case the court decides that
the four-factor requirement contained within that rule applies in this instance.

1 the dispositive motions deadline are succinctly and thoroughly elaborated on at length in
2 the preceding paragraphs. No discovery remains, but Defendants move to amend the
3 May 7, 2021 stipulation/order (ECF No. 41) to extend by forty-five days the August 26, 2021
4 dispositive motions deadline.

5 **E. Meet And Confer**

6 As mentioned above, on August 23, 2021, Attorney Smith contacted Attorney
7 McKissick and outlined the reasons why Defendants need at least an additional forty-five
8 days to extend the dispositive motions deadline. Attorney McKissick stated that Williams
9 will not oppose this motion.

10 **II. CONCLUSION**

11 Defendants demonstrate good cause to extend the dispositive motions deadline to
12 October 12, 2021. Williams does not oppose this motion. Due to the nature of summary
13 judgment and the time and complexity involved in adequately briefing the court, and
14 because the Ninth Circuit and other appellate courts prefer to see that cases are tried on
15 the merits and not on a technicality, Defendants respectfully move for an extension of time
16 to file a motion for summary judgment and request that the due date be extended from
17 **August 26, 2021, to October 12, 2021.**

18 DATED this 26th day of August, 2021.

19 AARON D. FORD
20 Attorney General

21 By: /s/ Alexander J. Smith
22 ALEXANDER J. SMITH (Bar No. 15484C)
23 Deputy Attorney General

Attorneys for Defendants

24 **ORDER** **NO FURTHER EXTENSIONS**
WILL BE GRANTED

25 IT IS SO ORDERED.

26 DATED August 27, 2021

27 
28 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE