Cannon v. Ander	son Business Advisors LLC Case 2:18-cv-01599-APG-VCF Document	142 Eiled 05/23/22 Page 1 of 8	Doc. 142
		142 Filed 03/23/22 Fage 1 01 8	
1	CHRISTINA MUNDY-MAMER, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 13181		
2	MESSNER REEVES LLP 8945 W. Russell Road, Suite 300		
3	Las Vegas, Nevada 89148		
5	Telephone: (702) 363-5100 Facsimile: (702) 363-5101		
4	E-mail: <u>cmamer@messner.com</u>		
5			
6	Attorneys for Defendant		
	Anderson Business Advisors, LLC		
7	UNITED STATES 1	DISTRICT COURT	
8			
9	DISTRICT OF NEVADA,	SOUTHERN DIVISION	
10	ELIZABETH CANNON, an individual,		
10	Plaintiff,	Case No. 2:18-cv-01599-APG-VCF	
11	VS.	JOINT PRETRIAL ORDER	
12		JOINT I KETKIAL OKDEK	
13	ANDERSON BUSINESS ADVISORS, LLC, a Nevada limited liability company,		
	Defendant.		
14			
15	After pretrial proceedings in this case, IT IS	S ORDERED.	
16			
17	The parties, Elizabeth Cannon ("Plain	tiff") and Anderson Business Advisors,	LLC
	("Defendant") submit this Pretrial Order as follow	VS:	
18	I. Nature of the Case		
19	a. Plaintiff's Statement		
20		enlaint filed 00/04/10 [ECE #1] allesing any	
21	Planuil, Elizabeth Cannon brings her Con	nplaint, filed 08/24/18 [ECF #1] alleging cau	.ses of
	action for Family Medical Leave Interference and	Family Medical Leave Act Retaliation pursu	ant to
22	29 U.S.C. § 2615, et. seq. Plaintiff's Complaint al	so alleges causes of action for Breach of Co	ntract,
23	Breach of the Covenant of Good Faith and Fair I	Dealing. By order of the Court on 03/09/21	[ECF
24	#124], the Court dismissed Plaintiff's claims for H		
25		steach of Contract, and Dicach of the Coven	
	Page	1 of 8	
		Docket	s.Justia.com

Good Faith and Fair Dealing. At this time, only Plaintiff's claims for FMLA Interference and FMLA
 Retaliation are at issue.

3

b. Defendant's Statement

4 Defendant, Anderson Business Advisors denies all claims. More specifically, Anderson 5 contends that on or about January 30, 2018, Anderson issued Plaintiff a level 2 written warning. 6 Anderson issued Plaintiff the warning because she was away from the office "Far Too Many Days." Per the terms of the written warning, Plaintiff was required to come into the office for work, rather 7 8 than working remotely. On January 30, 2018, Plaintiff requested information regarding potential 9 FMLA leave claiming that she needed to take care of a "parent due to his/her serious health condition". 10 Cannon had previously inquired about taking FMLA leave for the same reason in April 2017. 11 Anderson provided Plaintiff with the required FMLA documents for her to have completed by her 12 mother's physician. Plaintiff requested a day off on February 16, 2018 for cosmetic breast surgery. 13 Plaintiff did not come into the office for work from February 19-21, 2018. Plaintiff's absences on 14 February 19-21, 2018 were "no call no show" absences. On February 20, 2018, Anderson 15 representative Dan Ollman contacted Plaintiff via text message to determine what was happening with 16 Plaintiff. Plaintiff indicated that she would be in to work, stating that she would "Uber tomorrow" and 17 that "Holly [would] clean [her] drains." Plaintiff did not report to work as promised in her text 18 messages. Anderson terminated Plaintiff's employment on February 21, 2018.

19 || Relief Sought

20

21

22

23

24

25

(1) Plaintiff seeks past and future lost wages from the date of her termination through

payment of any judgment obtained;

(2) Plaintiff seeks liquidated and/or punitive damages to the fullest extent allowed under the law, including, but not limited to, 29 U.S.C. § 2617(a)(1)(A)(iii);

	Case 2:18-cv-01599-APG-VCF Document 142 Filed 05/23/22 Page 3 of 8
1	(3) Plaintiff seeks pre-judgment interest to the fullest extent allowed under the law,
2	including, but not limited to, 29 U.S.C. § 2617(a)(1)(A)(ii);
3	(4) Plaintiff seeks fees and costs incurred in bringing the instant lawsuit to the fullest extent
4	allowed under the law, including, but not limited to, 29 U.S.C. § 2617(a)(3)
5	Contentions of Parties:
6	Plaintiff contends that she was wrongfully denied the protections of the FMLA when she had
7	surgery. Plaintiff further contends that she was terminated for exercising her rights under the
8	FMLA when she utilized the leave protections therein.
9	Defendant contends that Plaintiff was terminated for attendance issues. Furthermore, Plaintiff
10	never sought to take FMLA leave. Finally, Plaintiff never presented any evidence that even if
11	she had requested FMLA, that she was eligible for FMLA.
12	II.
13	Statement of Jurisdiction:
14	The Court has jurisdiction over this matter pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1331 and 29 U.S.C. § 2617,
15	which provides that an action for FMLA leave interference or retaliation may be maintained against
16	an employer "in any Federal or State court of competent jurisdiction." 29 U.S.C. § 2617(a)(2). 2. The
17	Court also has supplemental jurisdiction, when necessary, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1367
18	This is an issue of Federal law, and this court has jurisdiction over this matter pursuant to 28
19	U.S.C. § 1331 and 29 U.S.C. § 2617, which provides that an action for FMLA leave interference or
20	retaliation may be maintained against an employer "in any Federal or State court of competent
21	jurisdiction." 29 U.S.C. § 2617(a)(2). 2. The Court also has supplemental jurisdiction, when necessary,
22	pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1367.
23	
24	
25	
	Page 3 of 8

III. y the parties and require no proof:
y the parties and require no proof:
Cannon on April 18, 2016.
oyment with Anderson was on February 21, 2018.
ion, Plaintiff's job title was Senior Advisor.
IV.
admitted, will not be contested at trial by evidence to the
V.
t to be tried and determined at trial. [Each issue of fact mus
]
qualified for FMLA protection either because of her
l care and/or complications.
timely requested FMLA leave for her breast-surgery.
's breast surgery would have qualified for leave under the
's termination was due to attendance issues.
VI.
to be to be tried and determined at trial. [Each issue of law
erms.]
urgical procedure, and/or post-surgical complications,
he FMLA.
ely requested FMLA leave for her breast surgery.
Page 4 of 8

	Case 2:18-cv-01599-APG-VCF Document 142 Filed 05/23/22 Page 5 of 8
1	(c) Whether Elizabeth Cannon's breast surgery would have qualified for leave under the
2	FMLA.
3	(d) Whether Anderson's termination of Elizabeth Cannon was retaliatory for her taking FMLA leave.
4	(e) Whether Anderson's termination of Elizabeth Cannon was in violation of the FMLA.
5	(f) Whether Elizabeth Cannon's termination was due to attendance issues.
6	VII.
7	The following exhibits are stipulated into evidence in this case and may be so marked by the
8	clerk.
9	None at this time.
10	VIII.
	(b) As to the following exhibits, the party against whom the same will be offered objects to
11	their admission on the grounds stated:
12	(1) Plaintiff's exhibits: None
13	(2) Defendant's exhibits: None
14	(c) Electronic evidence: [State whether the parties intent to present electronic evidence for
15	purposes of jury deliberations.]
16	No Electronic Evidence is anticipated to be presented for the purpose of jury
17	deliberations.
18	(d) Depositions:
19	(1) Plaintiff will offer the following depositions:
20	Deposition of Plaintiff, Elizbeth Cannon. Plaintiff intends to use the entire deposition
21	
22	against Defendant.
23	Deposition of Rodney Truman, the FRCP 30(b)(6) designee for Defendant. Plaintiff
24	intends to use the entire deposition against Defendant.
25	(5) Defendant will offer the following depositions:
20	Page 5 of 8

	Case 2:18-cv-015	599-APG-VCF Document 142 Filed 05/23/22 Page 6 of 8
1	Depos	ition of Plaintiff, Elizbeth Cannon. Defendant intends to use the entire
2	deposition ag	ainst Plaintiff.
3	Depos	ition of Rodney Truman, the FRCP 30(b)(6) designee for Defendant. Defendant
4	intends to use	the entire deposition against Plaintiff.
5	(e) Objections	to depositions:
6	1) Def	endant objects to plaintiff's depositions as follows: None.
7	(2) Pla	intiff objects to defendant's depositions as follows: None.
8		IX.
9	The following	witnesses may be called by the parties at trial:
10	(a) Provide na	ames and addresses of plaintiff's witnesses. ¹
11		eth Cannon
12	101 C	KM Employment Attorneys onvention Center Drive, Suite 600
13		egas, Nevada, 89109
14	C/O M	y Truman Iessner Reeves
15	11	V. Russell Road, Suite 300 egas, NV 89148
16	(iii) Dan C	llman Iessner Reeves
17	8945 1	V. Russell Road, Suite 300
18		egas, NV 89148
19	(iv) Toby	Mathis Iessner Reeves
20	8945 1	W. Russell Road, Suite 300 egas, NV 89148
21		-gas, 11 V 071+0
22		
23		
24	11 2	o Plaintiff's witnesses as Plaintiff failed to complete any disclosures pursuant to discovery period. Defendant also objects to Plaintiff's use of any documentary
25	evidence under the sa	me grounds.
		Page 6 of 8

	Case 2:18-cv-01599-APG-VCF Document 142 Filed 05/23/22 Page 7 of 8	
1	 (v) Clint Coons C/O Messner Reeves 8945 W. Russell Road, Suite 300 	
3	Las Vegas, NV 89148	
4	 (vi) Michael Bowman C/O Messner Reeves 8945 W. Russell Road, Suite 300 Lee Versee NW 80148 	
5	(vii) Randall Ritchie	
6	C/O Messner Reeves 8945 W. Russell Road, Suite 300	
7	Las Vegas, NV 89148	
8	(viii) Elizabeth's Assistant	
9 10	(b) (b) Provide names and addresses of defendant's witnesses.	
11 12	 (c) Anderson Business Advisors' FRCP 30(b)(6) Witness as to Human Resources, Rod Truman c/o Messner Reeves 	
12	8945 W. Russell Road, Suite 300 Las Vegas, Nevada 89148	
14	(d) Anderson Business Advisors' FRCP 30(b)(6) Witness as to Operations and Plaintiff's employment, David Gass	
15	c/o Messner Reeves 8945 W. Russell Road, Suite 300	
16 17	Las Vegas, Nevada 89148 (e) Plaintiff, Elizabeth Cannon	
18	C/O HKM Employment Attorneys 101 Convention Center Drive, Suite 600	
19	Las Vegas, Nevada, 89109	
20	Х.	
21	The attorneys or parties have met and jointly offer these three trial dates: February1-15, 20	23,
22	February 20-28, 2023, March 1-30, 2023. It is expressly understood by the undersigned that the co	urt
23	will set the trial of this matter on one of the agreed-upon dates if possible; if not, the trial will be	set
24	at the convenience of the court's calendar.	
25	Page 7 of 8	

	Case 2:18-cv-01599-APG-VCF Document 142 Filed 05/23/22 Page 8 of 8
1	It is estimated that the trial will take a total of 5-6 days not including jury selection.
2	XI.
3	ACTION BY THE COURT
4	This case is set for jury trial on the stacked calendar on <u>February 6, 2023</u> , at <u>9:00 a.m</u> . Calendar call will
5	be held on January 31, 2023, at 9:00 a.m. in Las Vegas Courtroom 6C.
6	DATED: May 23, 2022
7	
8	ANDREW P. GORDON UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
9	APPROVED AS TO FORM AND CONTENT:
10	DATED this 16 th day of May, 2022.
11	HKM EMPLOYMENT ATTORNEYS LLP MESSNER REEVES
12	/s/ Jenny L. Foley /s/ Renee M. Finch
13	JENNY L. FOLEY, Ph.D., ESQ. 101 Convention Center Drive, Suite 600CHRISTINA MAE MAMER, ESQ. RENEE M. FINCH, ESQ.Lee Verses Neurode 2010420104
14	Las Vegas, Nevada 89104 8945 W. Russell Road, Suite 300 Tel: (702) 805-8340 Las Vegas, NV 89148 Fax: (702) 805-8340 702-363-5100
15	E-mail: jfoley@hkm.com Fax: 702-363-5101
16	and Email: <u>cmamer@messner.com</u> Email: <u>rfinch@messner.com</u> Attorneys for Defendant
17	MULTING & TDENICHAK
18	MULLINS & TRENCHAK, ATTORNEYS AT LAW
19	PHILIP J. TRENCHAK, ESQ. 1614 S. Maryland Pkwy
20	Las Vegas, Nevada 89104 Tel: (702) 778-9444
21	Fax: (702) 778-9449 E: <u>phil@mullinstrenchak.com</u>
22	Attorneys for Plaintiff
23	
24	
25	Page 8 of 8