1 2 3 4 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 5 DISTRICT OF NEVADA 6 \* \* \* 7 JACQUELINE STEINMETZ, Case No. 2:19-cv-00067-APG-GWF 8 Plaintiff, v. **ORDER** 9 AMERICA HONDA FINANCE, et al., 10 Defendants. 11 12 This matter is before the Court on Plaintiff's Motion for Leave to File Sur-reply (ECF Nos. 13 67, 68), filed on April 29, 2019. 14 Plaintiff requests leave to file a five page sur-reply to address new evidence introduced by 15 Defendant Experience Information Solutions, Inc. in its reply in support of its motion to stay and 16 for a protective order. ECF Nos. 65, 66. A party is permitted to file a sur-reply only by leave of 17 court and motions for leave to file a sur-reply are discouraged. See LR 7-2(b). The Court may 18 grant leave to file a sur-reply if new matters are raised for the first time in the reply to which a 19 party would otherwise be unable to respond. See United States v. Ormat Indus., Ltd, 2016 WL 20 1298119, at \*6 (D. Nev. Apr. 1, 2016). The Court finds good cause to grant Plaintiff's request. 21 Accordingly, 22 IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Plaintiff's Motions for Leave to File Sur-reply (ECF 23 Nos. 67, 68) are **granted**. 24 Dated this 1st day of May, 2019. 25 26 27 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE 28