

Negligent Hiring, Training and Supervision. (See ECF No. 77).

2 |

PLAINTIFF'S CONTENTIONS

Defendant Wynn Las Vegas wrongfully terminated a founding Wynn cocktail server, single mother, and committed employee Tiare Ramirez. Defendant's errant suspension of Plaintiff and its doubling-down despite readily available exculpatory evidence revealing she properly utilized protected FMLA leave when she experienced a flare up of her serious medical condition. Instead of admitting its mistake, instead of seeking clarification, and instead of following the law, Wynn terminated the 10-year employee for alleged "willful misconduct, dishonesty, and misuse of FMLA/ADA." As confirmed by this Court's ruling on Defendant's Motion for Summary Judgment (ECF No. 77), Plaintiff maintains claim against Defendant for FMLA Interference, ADA & NRS § 613.330 disparate treatment, ADA & NRS § 613.340 retaliation, and negligent hiring, training, and supervision. Plaintiff seeks all available relief under our law including but not limited to lost wages, compensatory damages, emotional distress damages, liquidated damages, punitive damages, injunctive relief, equitable relief, reinstatement with seniority, expungement of negative information in personnel file, declaratory relief, costs, and attorneys' fees.

CONTENTION OF PARTIES

DEFENDANT'S CONTENTIONS

WLV denies the allegations in their entirety and Plaintiff's version of the facts. Specifically, WLV denies that it violated the FMLA because WLV did not interfere with any of Plaintiff's FMLA leaves. WLV conducted a good faith investigation determining that Plaintiff misused her FMLA leave, resulting in her termination solely based on her misuse. Moreover, WLV denies that it violated the ADA because Plaintiff is not disabled within the meaning of the ADA, Plaintiff is not a qualified individual with a disability, and Plaintiff was not terminated because of her alleged disability. Similarly, WLV did not retaliate against Plaintiff due to her alleged disability. WLV also adequately trains its employees on the FMLA and ADA policies, and because of this, WLV denies that it negligently hired, trained, and supervised its employees.

II.

STATEMENT OF JURISDICTION

Jurisdiction over Plaintiff's claims is proper pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1331, 29 U.S.C. § 2617(a)(2), and 42 U.S.C. 24 § 12117(a) because the lawsuit asserts claims under federal law and seeks to recover damages under federal statutes. Supplemental jurisdiction over Plaintiff's Nevada state law claims is proper under 28 U.S.C. §1367(a) because the state law claims are so related to the federal claims that they form part of the same case or controversy.

Ш.

THE FOLLOWING FACTS ARE ADMITTED BY THE PARTIES AND REQUIRE NO PROOF

The parties have agreed to the following stipulated facts:

- 1. Defendant hired Plaintiff as a cocktail server model on November 20, 2008.
- 2. Pursuant to Defendant's job summary for the cocktail server model position, the cocktail server is responsible for providing quality guest service through the serving of beverages, food, and amenities in all areas of the resort, including restaurants, nightclubs, banquets, and the casino. Defendant's job summary also states that Defendant's cocktail servers are required to walk in shoes with heels of at least either one-and-three quarters of an inch, or two inches.
- 3. Under Defendant's attendance policy, employees accrue points for attendance infractions. WLV maintains a point-based attendance policy. The attendance policy provides that if an employee has attendance infractions, then the employee will incur various levels of attendance points. Approved leave, such as leave under the FMLA or other medical leave, does not accrue attendance points under Defendant's policies.
- 4. WLV maintained a leave policy that allowed eligible employees to use leave under the FMLA. WLV's Family and Medical Leave Policy provides that eligible employees may take FMLA leave for the employee's serious health condition that renders the employee incapable of performing the functions of her job.
 - 5. Plaintiff acknowledged she received WLV's policy in 2009.
 - 6. If an employee has worked for Defendant for one year and worked a minimum of 1250

8

10 11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18

20

19

22

23

21

24 25

26 27

28

hours in the past 12 months, the employee is permitted leave under the Family Medical Leave Act ("FMLA").

- 7. Tiare Ramirez was also entitled to leave under a Collective Bargaining Agreement. If additional leave is needed, the employee may request an extension of leave under the ADA.
- 8. Plaintiff had previously been approved for leaves of absence between 2009 and 2017. Plaintiff's approved leave included intermittent leave under the FMLA which was supported by a medical certification submitted to Defendant in June of 2016 completed by Plaintiff's doctor, Dr. Ted Cohen. WLV approved Plaintiff's intermittent leave from June 3, 2016, through June 2, 2017.
- 9. On March 21, 2017, Plaintiff attended a gender reveal party at Town Square before she was scheduled to work at WLV that day. Coworkers attended the party as well.
 - 10. Plaintiff was scheduled to work at WLV from 5:30 p.m. to 1:30 a.m.
 - 11. Plaintiff wore heels at the gender reveal party prior to her shift that day.
 - 12. Plaintiff utilized FMLA that day to call out of her shift.
- 13. Ian LaCuesta ("LaCuesta") was also in attendance at this gender reveal party and at the second location thereafter.
- 14. WLV's Assistant Director of Cocktail Services, Tia Gibson ("Gibson"), saw the picture on Facebook.
- 15. Senior Employee Relations Counselor Jeralynn Makaiwi ("Makaiwi") started an investigation into Plaintiff's potential misuse of FMLA on March 22, 2017 and was the principal investigator.
 - 16. WLV postponed its investigation due to Plaintiff being out on leave.
- 17. On April 28, 2017, Defendant's Employee Relations Department received an additional Medical Certification Form stating that Plaintiff's leave of absence needed to be extended until August 1, 2017, which was approved.
- 18. On July 24, 2017, Defendant's Employee Relations Department received another form stating that Plaintiff required an additional extension through September 1, 2017, which was also approved.
 - 19. On September 1, 2017, Defendant's Employee Relations Department received

1	additional paperwork whereby Plaintiff's physician once again indicated she would need an additional		
2	extension of	leave through December 29, 2017.	
3	20.	Plaintiff had exhausted all of her leave under the FMLA and the CBA Section 13.01	
4	on Septembe	er 15, 2017.	
5	21.	Plaintiff communicated with WLV's ADA Administrator to determine whether or not	
6	an extension	of leave beyond September 15, 2017, could be approved as a reasonable accommodation	
7	under the ADA.		
8	22.	On September 22, 2017, Plaintiff's request for an extension of leave was approved as	
9	leave under	the ADA.	
10	23.	On October 13, 2017, WLV's ADA Administrator received a Return to Duty/Medical	
11	Release stati	ng Plaintiff could return to work without any restrictions effective October 14, 2017.	
12	24.	Plaintiff returned to work on October 14, 2017, and was placed on unpaid Suspension	
13	Pending Investigation.		
14	25.	On October 16, 2017, Makaiwi met with Plaintiff to discuss her FMLA call out on	
15	March 21, 20	017.	
16	26.	Defendant terminated Plaintiff on November 2, 2017.	
17	27.	Defendant's stated reason for termination was "willful misconduct, dishonesty, and	
18	misuse of FMLA/ADA."		
19		IV.	
20	THE FOLLOWING FACTS, THOUGH NOT ADMITTED, WILL NOT BE CONTESTED		
21		AT TRIAL BY EVIDENCE TO THE CONTRARY	
22	1.	None.	
23			
24	///		
25	///		
26	///		
27			
28			

2

45

678

10

11

9

12

14

13

1516

17

18

19 20

2122

23

2425

26

2728

V.

THE FOLLOWING ARE THE ISSUES OF FACT TO BE TRIED AND DETERMINED AT TRIAL.¹

PLAINTIFF'S PROPOSED ISSUES OF FACT

- 1. Any findings of fact determined the Court in its August 29, 2022 Order Granting in Part and Denying in Part Defendant's Motion for Summary Judgment and Denying as Moot Defendant's Motion to Strike (ECF No. 77) which Defendant has not stipulated to herein.
- 2. Whether a picture of Plaintiff and other attendees of the gender reveal party was taken at 4:06 PM on March 21, 2017.
- 3. Whether the picture taken of Plaintiff and other attendees of the gender reveal party was posted to Facebook at 6:42 PM on March 21, 2017.
- 4. Whether after attending the gender reveal party, Plaintiff walked to her car and called WLV to use her intermittent FMLA leave on an unpaid basis.
- 5. Whether after calling out for FMLA intermittent leave Plaintiff went to a second location to eat food and take her pain medication.
 - 6. Whether Plaintiff gets paid when she calls out for her shift and utilizes FMLA leave.
 - 7. Whether Gibson had knowledge of the picture on Facebook and sent it to Makaiwi.
- 8. Whether anyone from WLV gave Makaiwi any practice or advise on the specific way that she conducted investigations.
- 9. Whether Makaiwi is aware of any specific FMLA guidelines on how employers conduct investigations.
- 10. Whether Makaiwi asked Plaintiff where she went after the gender reveal party and thus Plaintiff did not inform tell Makaiwi that she went to the second location to eat food in order to be able to take her medication thereafter the gender reveal party.
 - 11. Whether later on the evening of October 16, 2017, the host of the gender reveal party

¹ Should the attorneys or parties be unable to agree on the statement of issues of fact, the joint pretrial order should include separate statements of issues of fact to be tried and determined upon trial.

1 emailed Makaiwi and stated that everyone left the gender reveal party by 4:00 p.m., including Plaintiff. 2 12. Whether on October 19, 2017, Makaiwi received an email from Dr. Cohen, Plaintiff's 3 physician. 13. Whether Makaiwi reached out to Dr. Cohen during the investigation. 4 14. 5 Whether Makaiwi reached out to Plaintiff's spouse, father of her children, and WLV 6 coworker Mr. Ramirez during the investigation. 7 15. Whether Makaiwi could request statements from a statement from someboy outside of Wynn but did not. 8 9 16. WLV ever provided Makaiwi with any guidance on what she could ask a doctor during an FMLA investigation. 10 17. Whether Gibson recommended Ramirez be terminated for willful misconduct and 11 dishonesty. 12 18. 13 Whether Gibson did not receive training or instruction on what constitutes willful misconduct. 14 19. Whether Prior to her termination, Plaintiff had been a cocktail server for Defendant for 15 16 almost ten years and had the requisite experience. 17 WLV'S PROPOSED ISSUES OF FACT 18 1. Whether Plaintiff's leave under the FMLA was medically necessary. 2. 19 Whether Plaintiff was able to perform her job when she took FMLA leave. 20 3. Whether Plaintiff had a chronic ankle condition. 21 4. Whether Plaintiff still has a chronic ankle condition. 22 5. Whether Plaintiff still experiences flare-ups. 6. 23 Whether Plaintiff experienced a flare-up on March 21, 2017. 7. 24 Whether Plaintiff typically stays home when she has flare-ups. 8. Whether Plaintiff abused/misused her FMLA leave on March 21, 2017. 25 26 9. Whether the gender reveal party at the Blue Martini ended at or around 4:00 p.m. 10. Whether Plaintiff walked to her car from the Blue Martini in her heels. 27 28 11. Whether Plaintiff called off from work in her car in the Town Square parking lot.

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

27

29. Whether Plaintiff has ADA accommodations at her jobs after WLV to the present for chronic ankle flare-ups.

VI.

THE FOLLOWING ARE THE ISSUES OF LAW TO BE TRIED AND DETERMINED AT TRIAL.²

PLAINTIFF'S ISSUES OF LAW

- 1. Whether WLV's given reason for termination was pretextual for discrimination on the basis of disability.
- Whether WLV's conduct, including termination of Plaintiff, resulted in Defendant engaging in activity that chilled the exercise of Plaintiff's rights, caused interference, caused harassment, retaliated against Plaintiff for exercising her rights under the FMLA, and/or discriminated against Plaintiff in violation of the FMLA, 29 U.S.C. § 2615 et seq.
- Whether WLV's conduct and termination of Plaintiff was motivated by the exercise of Plaintiff's rights under the FMLA.
- Whether WLV interfered with, restrained, and/or denied the exercise of and/or the attempt to exercise Plaintiff's rights under the FMLA.
- Whether Plaintiff was discriminated against and ultimately terminated based upon her actual disability and/or her perceived disability by Defendant in violation of the ADA.
- Whether WLV is in violation of the Americans with Disabilities Act in that Defendant discriminated and harassed Plaintiff on the basis of her impairment, failed to offer Plaintiff a reasonable accommodation, failed to engage in the interactive process with Plaintiff, and/or discriminated against Plaintiff.
- Whether WLV retaliated against Plaintiff for engaging in protected activity.
- Whether WLV had a duty of reasonable care to protect Plaintiff from the negligent and/or careless actions of its own agents, officers, employees and others.
- 9. Whether WLV's actions were done willfully and intentionally and in reckless disregard for

² Should the attorneys or parties be unable to agree on the statement of law, the joint pretrial order should include separate statements of issues of law to be tried and determined upon trial.

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

Plaintiff's protected rights under state and federal law.

- 10. Whether WLV owed a duty to Plaintiff to not hire individuals with a propensity towards committing unlawful acts against Plaintiff.
- 11. Whether WLV owed a duty to Plaintiff to adequately train and supervise its employees in regard to all correct policies and procedures relating to discrimination on the basis of disability, retaliation, and/or termination policies and procedures.
- 12. Whether WLV breached its duty to protect Plaintiff by failing to properly hire, train, and/or supervise its employees, whereby a reasonable person could have foreseen the injuries of the type Plaintiff suffered would likely occur under the circumstances.
- 13. Whether as a direct and proximate result of the conduct of WLV, Plaintiff has sustained damages.
- 14. Plaintiff's entitlement to damages and the amounts of any.
- 15. Plaintiff's entitlement to punitive damages and the amounts of any.
- 16. Plaintiff's entitlement to liquidated damages and the amounts of any.
- 17. Plaintiff's entitlement to an offset for any resulting prejudicial tax consequences.
- 18. Plaintiff's entitlement to injunctive relief.
- 19. Plaintiff's entitlement to prejudgment interest.
- 20. Plaintiff's entitlement to an award of attorneys' fees and costs and the amounts thereof. Plaintiff reserves the right to challenge, argue, and/or contest Defendant's contentions through jury instructions and/or motions in limine. Further, Plaintiff objects to any legal or factual issues that were not raised in Defendant's answer or affirmative defenses and are, therefore, waived under Fed.R.Civ.P. 8(c)(1).

WLV'S ISSUES OF LAW³

- 1. Whether Plaintiff had a condition covered by the FMLA.
- 2. Whether Plaintiff's condition was a "serious health condition" as defined by the FMLA.

28

³ The parties respectfully request that any failure to "unerringly distinguish" between issues of fact and law due to the "vexing nature of the distinction between questions of fact and questions of law," be treated as corresponding questions of fact and questions of law. Pullman-Standard v. Swint, 456 U.S. 273, 288 (1982).

Dr. Ted Cohen's October 19, 2017 email, Bates numbered Ramirez 104.

2 6. Photograph, Bates numbered Ramirez 095. 3 7. Ramirez's FMLA paperwork, Bates numbered Ramirez 099-103. 8. WLV's Responses to Plaintiff's First Set of Interrogatories. 4 5 9. WLV's Responses to Plaintiff's First Set of Requests to Admit. 6 10. WLV's Responses to Plaintiff's First Set of Requests to Produce. 7 11. Potentially all exhibits proposed by Defendant to which Plaintiff does not object prior to 8 trial. 9 Plaintiff reserves the right to use, rely, or supplement this list with additional documents, evidence, 10 and exhibits discovered, disclosed, or produced by the parties during the course of litigation deemed 11 necessary for rebuttal or impeachment at trial, and the parties' respective pleadings and discovery 12 responses as well. 13 (2) <u>Defendant's proposed exhibits:</u> 501. WLV's Relevant Policies, Bates numbered WYNN000538-540, WYNN000591-595, 14 WYNN000597, WYNN000610-621, WYNN000629-631, WYNN000635-651, WYNN000669-15 16 675, WYNN000678-681, WYNN000686, WYNN000700-701, WYNN000705-707, 17 WYNN000722-725, WYNN000730-732, WYNN000762-764, WYNN000765-769, and WYNN000770-772. 18 19 502. WLV's Code of Conduct, Bates numbered WYNN000736-752. 20 503. Food & Beverage SOP Manual 2019, Bates numbered WYNN000782, WYNN000791, 21 WYNN000797, WYNN000799–801, WYNN000834–835, and WYNN000848. 22 504. Performance Management PowerPoint, Bates numbered WYNN000991-1039. 23 505. WLV Shoe Memorandum revised January 6, 2017, Bates numbered WYNN001273-1274. 24 506. Plaintiff's Work Summary, Bates numbered WYNN000001–7. 25 26 507. Plaintiff's Job Description, Bates numbered WYNN000040-43 and WYNN000106-27 110. 28 508. Plaintiff's Personnel Documents, Bates numbered WYNN000032-37, WYNN000039, 12.

1	and WYNN0	0044–68.
2	509.	Plaintiff's Counseling Notices, Bates numbered WYNN000020-31.
3	510.	Plaintiff's FMLA Certification, Bates numbered WYNN000011-15.
4	511.	Plaintiff's FMLA Approval, Bates numbered Ramirez028.
5	512.	February 2017 Collective Bargaining Agreement, Bates numbered WYNN000431-
6	537.	
7	513.	March 21, 2017 Gender Reveal Party Colored Photograph, Bates numbered
8	WYNN00140	00.
9	514.	March 22, 2017 LaCuesta Statement, Bates numbered WYNN000018.
10	515.	Correspondence related to the investigation into Plaintiff's FMLA misuse, Bates
11	numbered W	YNN000069-71, WYNN000129-131, WYNN000143, and WYNN001275-1397.
12	516.	Documents related to Plaintiff's 2017 leave, Bates numbered WYNN000073-103 and
13	WYNN00011	3.
14	517.	September 2017 ADA Leave Notes, Bates numbered WYNN000114–115.
15	518.	October 13, 2017 Return to Duty/Medical Release, Bates numbered WYNN000072.
16	519.	October 14, 2017 Counseling Notice regarding suspension pending investigation, Bates
17	numbered W	YNN000010.
18	520.	October 16, 2017 Plaintiff's Statement, Bates numbered WYNN000017.
19	521.	Plaintiff's Bank Statement provided during Investigation, Bates numbered
20	Ramirez097–	98.
21	522.	Plaintiff's Termination Recommendation, Bates numbered WYNN001270.
22	523.	Plaintiff's Termination PAN Summary, Bates numbered WYNN000008-9.
23	524.	Plaintiff's Signed Termination PAN, Bates numbered WYNN000019.
24	525.	Plaintiff's Union Grievance Records, Bates numbered WYNN000116-122,
25	WYNN00012	24–125, and WYNN000140–141.
26	526.	Plaintiff's WLV Earnings, Bates numbered WYNN000145-199.
27	527.	Plaintiff's WLV Punch Detail History, Bates numbered WYNN000200-283.
28	528.	Makaiwi's Handwritten Notes related to Plaintiff, Bates numbered WYNN001398–13.

1	1399.	
2	529. Plaintiff's Unemployment Records, Bates numbered Ramirez001–4 and Ramirez034-	
3	58.	
4	530. Documents related to Plaintiff's Employment at Caesars, Bates numbered	
5	WYNN001040–1041 and WYNN001044–1046.	
6	531. Plaintiff's Paystubs at Caesars, Bates numbered WYNN001048–1084.	
7	532. Plaintiff's Employment Documents with Able Baker, Bates numbered WYNN001128-	
8	1137.	
9	533. March 2, 2018 Charge of Discrimination, Bates numbered WYNN000284.	
10	534. WLV's June 18, 2018 Position Statement, Bates numbered WYNN000288–357.	
11	535. March 28, 2019 Notice of Right to Sue, Bates numbered WYNN000285–287.	
12	536. EEOC FOIA File, Bates numbered WYNN000358–430.	
13	537. Relevant Documents produced by Dr. Ted Cohen, Bates numbered WYNN001087	
14	WYNN001089, WYNN001092, WYNN001094-1104, WYNN001107-1119, and WYNN001123-	
15	1126.	
16	538. Plaintiff's Divorce Documents, Bates numbered WYNN001138–1269.	
17	539. Plaintiff's Tax Statements, Bates numbered Ramirez061–66, Ramirez069–70	
18	Ramirez072, Ramirez075–88, and Ramirez115–117.	
19	Defendant reserves the right to use, rely, or supplement this list with additional documents	
20	evidence, and exhibits discovered, disclosed, or produced by the parties during the course of litigation	
21	deemed necessary for rebuttal or impeachment at trial, and the parties' respective pleadings and	
22	discovery responses as well.	
23	(b) Electronic evidence:	
24	Electronic evidence is addressed in the Section above. The parties reserve all rights to presen	
25	or object to evidence in electronic form where applicable, including, but not limited to, audio and visual	
26	recordings.	
27	(c) Depositions:	
28	(1) Plaintiff will offer the following depositions:	
	A 11	

1

6

7 8

9 10

12

11

14

13

16

15

17

18 19

20

21

22

2324

2526

27

28 | ///

At this time, Plaintiff understands that the relevant deponents are available for trial, and therefore, their deposition testimony will not be required in their absence due to their unavailability. If a witness unexpectedly becomes unavailable before trial, then Plaintiff will be promptly notified, and with respect to such witness, specific pages and lines of their deposition testimony will be promptly designated, and any other objections or counter-designations will then be timely made in response.

(2) <u>WLV will offer the following depositions</u>:

Chrystian Ramirez due to Plaintiff's counsel's representation that this witness is deceased.

At this time, WLV understands that the remaining relevant deponents are available for trial, and therefore, their deposition testimony will not be required in their absence due to their unavailability. If a witness unexpectedly becomes unavailable before trial, then Plaintiff will be promptly notified, and with respect to such witness, specific pages and lines of their deposition testimony will be promptly designated, and any other objections or counter-designations will then be timely made in response.

(d) Objections to depositions:

The parties will file any objections within 14 days of the other party designating the exact deposition testimony to be offered for any unavailable witnesses. The parties further reserve the right to object to irrelevant or otherwise objectionable portions of any transcript of deposition testimony offered by a party at trial.

VIII.

THE FOLLOWING WITNESSES MAY BE CALLED BY THE PARTIES AT TRIAL:

(a) Provide names and addresses of Plaintiff's witnesses.

Please see **Exhibit A** to the Joint Pretrial Order. Plaintiff reserves the right to call impeachment witnesses and rebuttal witnesses as needed. Plaintiff reserves the right to call any person necessary to authenticate any of the possible exhibits listed herein.

(b) Provide names and addresses of Defendant's witnesses.

Please see **Exhibit B** to the Joint Pretrial Order. WLV reserves the right to call impeachment witnesses and rebuttal witnesses as needed. WLV reserves the right to call any person necessary to authenticate any of the possible exhibits listed herein.

1	(c) Objections to witnesses:				
2	(1) Plaintiff's objections to witnesses:				
3	1. Plaintiff reserves any remaining objections to witnesses for motions in limine and/				
4	trial.				
5	(2) WLV's objections to witnesses:				
6	1. WLV reserves any objections to witnesses for motions in limine and/or trial.				
7	IX.				
8	The attorneys or parties have met and jointly offer these three trial dates, with the understanding				
9	that the Court may very well set the trial date at a later time given the current challenges to scheduling				
10	jury trials in the midst of the current pandemic:				
11	November 6, 2023 December 4, 2023 December 11, 2023				
12	It is expressly understood by the undersigned that the court will set the trial of this matter on one of the				
13	agreed-upon dates if possible; if not, the trial will be set at the convenience of the court's calendar.				
14	\mathbf{X} .				
15	It is estimated that the trial will take a total of 5 days.				
16					
17	APPROVED AS TO FORM AND CONTENT				
18	/s/ Kaine Messer /s/ Kelsey E. Stegall				
19	CHRISTIAN J. GABROY WENDY M. KRINCEK				
20	KAINE MESSER KELSEY E. STEGALL GABROY MESSER LITTLER MENDELSON P.C.				
21	Attorneys for Defendant Wynn Las Vegas,				
22	Attorney for Plaintiff Tiare Ramirez LLC				
23					
24					
25					
26					
27					
28	17				
	^{II}				

XI.

ACTION BY THE COURT

This case is set for jury trial on the stacked calendar on <u>December 4, 2023</u> at <u>9:00 a.m.</u>. Calendar call will be held on November 28, 2023 at 9:00 a.m. in Courtroom 6C.

This pretrial Order has been approved by the parties to this action as evidenced by their signatures or the signatures of their attorneys hereon, and the order is hereby entered and will govern the trial of this case. This order may not be amended except by court order and based upon the parties' agreement or to prevent manifest injustice.

DATED: <u>April 27, 2023</u>

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

NOTICE: Due to the unusually large number of complex criminal cases set for lengthy trials before this Court, civil trials may possibly be held in a trailing status for months or be assigned to another District Court Judge for trial. Therefore, the Court strongly urges the parties to consider their option to proceed before a Magistrate Judge pursuant to Local Rule IB 2-2, in accordance with 28 USC Section 636 and FRCP 73.

The Clerk shall provide the parties with a link to AO 85 Notice of Availability, Consent and Order of Reference - Exercise of Jurisdiction by a U.S. Magistrate Judge form on the Courts website.

INDEX

EXHIBIT A - Plaintiff's Witness List

EXHIBIT B - Defendant's Witness List

EXHIBIT "A"

Plaintiff's Witness List

EXHIBIT "A"

Exhibit A

Plaintiff's List of Witnesses

- 1. Tiare Ramirez
 c/o Gabroy | Messer
 The District at Green Valley Ranch
 170 South Green Valley Parkway
 Suite 280
 Henderson, NV 89012
 702-259-7777
- Ted Cohen, DPM
 2235 North Rampart Boulevard
 Las Vegas, Nevada 89128
 702-387-8777
- 3. Ian LaCuesta Director/Supervisor
- 4. Jeralynn Makaiwi c/o Littler Mendelson 3960 Howard Hughes Parkway Suite 300 Las Vegas, Nevada 89169 702-862-8800
- 5. Karen Sanchez c/o Littler Mendelson 3960 Howard Hughes Parkway Suite 300 Las Vegas, Nevada 89169 702-862-8800
- 6. Melissa Espino-Cascos c/o Littler Mendelson 3960 Howard Hughes Parkway Suite 300 Las Vegas, Nevada 89169 702-862-8800
- 7. Tia Gibson c/o Littler Mendelson 3960 Howard Hughes Parkway Suite 300 Las Vegas, Nevada 89169 702-862-8800

- 8. Wynn Las Vegas, LLC (PMK) c/o Littler Mendelson 3960 Howard Hughes Parkway Suite 300 Las Vegas, Nevada 89169 702-862-8800
- 9. Chrystian Ramirez-(via deposition pending finalization)
- 10. Any necessary rebuttal or impeachment witnesses.
- 11. Any witnesses identified by Defendant.

EXHIBIT "B"

Defendant's Witness List

EXHIBIT "B"

Exhibit B

Defendant's List of Witnesses

- Tiare Ramirez
 c/o Gabroy | Messer Law Offices
 The District at Green Valley Ranch
 170 South Green Valley Parkway, Suite 280
 Henderson, NV 89012
 702-259-7777
- 2. Ian LaCuesta Director/Supervisor
- 3. Jeralynn Makaiwi c/o Littler Mendelson 3960 Howard Hughes Parkway, Suite 300 Las Vegas, Nevada 89169 702-862-8800
- 4. Karen Sanchez c/o Littler Mendelson 3960 Howard Hughes Parkway, Suite 300 Las Vegas, Nevada 89169 702-862-8800
- 5. Melissa Espino-Cascos c/o Littler Mendelson 3960 Howard Hughes Parkway, Suite 300 Las Vegas, Nevada 89169 702-862-8800
- 6. Ted Cohen, DPM
 2235 No Rampart Blvd.
 Las Vegas, Nevada 89128
 702-387-8777
- 7. Tia Gibson c/o Littler Mendelson 3960 Howard Hughes Parkway, Suite 300 Las Vegas, Nevada 89169 702-862-8800

- 8. Wynn Las Vegas, LLC (PMK) c/o Littler Mendelson 3960 Howard Hughes Parkway, Suite 300 Las Vegas, Nevada 89169 702-862-8800
- 9. Chrystian Ramirez via deposition testimony
- 10. Any necessary rebuttal or impeachment witnesses.
- 11. Any witnesses identified by Plaintiff.