## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEVADA

Brit F. Augborne, III,	)
Plaintiff,	) Case No.: 2:19-cv-01195-GMN-BNW
VS.	) ORDER
Supreme Court of Nevada,	) )
Defendant.	) )

Pending before the Court is the Report and Recommendation, (ECF No. 12), of United States Magistrate Judge Brenda Weksler, which states that this case should be dismissed without prejudice.

A party may file specific written objections to the findings and recommendations of a United States Magistrate Judge made pursuant to Local Rule IB 1-4. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B); D. Nev. R. IB 3-2. Upon the filing of such objections, the Court must make a *de novo* determination of those portions to which objections are made. *Id.* The Court may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the findings or recommendations made by the Magistrate Judge. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); D. Nev. R. IB 3-2(b). Where a party fails to object, however, the Court is not required to conduct "any review at all . . . of any issue that is not the subject of an objection." *Thomas v. Arn*, 474 U.S. 140, 149 (1985). Indeed, the Ninth Circuit has recognized that a district court is not required to review a magistrate judge's report and recommendation where no objections have been filed. *See, e.g., United States v. Reyna–Tapia*, 328 F.3d 1114, 1122 (9th Cir. 2003).

Here, no objections were filed, and the deadline to do so has passed. (See Min. Order, ECF No. 12) (setting a March 29, 2021, deadline for objections).

1	Accordingly,
2	IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the Report and Recommendation, (ECF No. 12), is
3	ADOPTED in full.
4	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that this case is DISMISSED without prejudice.
5	The Clerk is instructed to close the case.
6	Dated this 31 day of March, 2021.
7	
8	- Henley
9	Glorja M. Navarro, District Judge United States District Court
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
18	

25