1	AARON D. FORD		
2	Nevada Attorney General SCOTT H. HUSBANDS		
3	Deputy Attorney General Nevada Bar No. 11398 GERALD L. TAN		
4	Deputy Attorney General Nevada Bar No. 13596		
5	State of Nevada Office of the Attorney General		
6	5420 Kietzke Lane, Suite 202 Reno, NV 89511		
7	(775) 687-2121 (phone) (775) 688-1822 (fax)		
8	Email: shusbands@ag.nv.gov gtan@ag.nv.gov		
9	Attorneys for Defendants	ACTRICT COLIRT	
10	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
11	DISTRICT OF NEVADA		
12	JOSEPH MORGAN, an Individual	Case No.: 2:19-cv-02239-KJD-DJA	
13	Plaintiff,		
14	VS.	STIPULATION AND ORDER TO EXTEND DISCOVERY DEADLINES	
15	STATE OF NEVADA, <i>ex rel.</i> its DEPARTMENT OF BUSINESS AND		
16	INDUSTRY, STATE OF NEVADA, ex rel. its TAXICAB AUTHORITY; BRUCE	[SECOND¹ REQUEST]	
17	BRESLOW, in his individual capacity; TERRY REYNOLDS, in his individual capacity;		
18	SCOTT WHITTEMORE, in his individual capacity; RUBEN AQUINO, in his individual		
19	capacity; GENEVIEVE HUDSON, in her individual capacity; RONALD GROGAN, in his individual capacity; CHARLES HARVEY,		
20	in his individual capacity; ANTOINE "CHRIS" RIVERS, in his individual capacity; CJ		
21	MANTHE, in her individual capacity; DOES I through X inclusive; and ROES XI through XX,		
22	inclusive,		
23	Defendants.		
24	Pursuant to LR 7-1 and LR 26-3, Plaintiff JOSEPH MORGAN ("Plaintiff"), by and		
25	through his counsel of record, E. Brent Bryson, Esq. of the Law Offices of E. Brent Bryson,		
26	LTD., and Defendants BRUCE BRESLOW, TERRY REYNOLDS, SCOTT WHITTEMORE,		
27	1 771		
28	deadlines. ECF No. 23.	addressing the incorrect standard for an extension of	

RUBEN AQUINO, GENEVIEVE HUDSON, RONALD GROGAN, CHARLES HARVEY			
ANTOINE "CHRIS" RIVERS AND C. J. MANTHE (each an "Individual Defendant" and			
collectively the "Individual Defendants"), by and through their attorneys of record, AARON D			
FORD, Attorney General for the State of Nevada, SCOTT H. HUSBANDS, Deputy Attorney			
General, and GERALD L. TAN, Deputy Attorney General hereby stipulate and request that this			
court extend discovery deadlines and any unexpired deadlines in the above-captioned case one			
hundred and eighty (180) days. In support of this stipulation and request, the parties state as			
follows:			
I. <u>DISCOVERY COMPLETED TO DATE</u>			
1. On December 30, 2019, the Plaintiff filed his Complaint. ECF No. 1.			
2. On March 17, 2020, the Defendants filed their Answer to Plaintiff's Complaint, ECF			
No. 10, and Defendants' Certificate of Interested Parties, ECF No. 11.			
3. On March 18, 2020, this Court ordered an Early Neutral Evaluation Session (ENE)			
for June 15, 2020. ECF No. 12.			
4. On May 20, 2020, this Court ordered that ENE Confidential Statements are due by			
June 8, 2020. ECF No. 14.			
5. On May 29, 2020, the Defendants filed a Notice of Association of Counsel Deputy			
Attorney General Gerald L. Tan. ECF. No. 15.			
6. On June 2, 2020, the parties stipulated to a 14 day extension of time to exchange			

- 6. On June 2, 2020, the parties stipulated to a 14-day extension of time to exchange
  - FRCP 26 initial disclosures. ECF No. 16.
  - 7. On June 3, 2020, this Court granted the parties' request for a 14-day extension of time to exchange FRCP 26 initial disclosures. ECF No. 17.
  - 8. On June 12, 2020, the Plaintiff provided his initial disclosure of witnesses and documents pursuant to FRCP 26.1(a)(1).
  - 9. On June 15, an ENE Session was held between the parties, but a settlement agreement was not reached. ECF No. 18.
  - 10. On June 16, 2020, the Defendants provided their initial disclosure of witnesses and documents pursuant to FRCP 26.1(a)(1).

- 11. On July 17, 2020, the parties stipulated to a discovery plan and scheduling order. ECF No. 19.
- 12. On July 23, 2020, this Court granted the parties' discovery plan and scheduling order. ECF No. 20.
- 13. On July 23, 2020, this Court provided Notice Pursuant to LR IB 2-2. ECF No. 21.
- 14. On July 23, 2020, this Court granted the parties' discovery plan and scheduling order. ECF No. 20.
- 15. On July 23, 2020, this Court provided Notice Pursuant to LR IB 2-2. ECF No. 21.
- 16. On October 29, 2020, Plaintiff timely served a set of interrogatories and document requests to each Defendant. These requests were served in compliance with the existing discovery cutoff in this matter.
- 17. On October 30, 2020, Plaintiff timely served deposition notices to each of the Defendants. The parties have agreed to vacate these depositions in light of this stipulation to extend the discovery deadlines and all other unexpired deadlines in this matter. The parties will reschedule these depositions to future agreed-upon dates and times.
- 18. On November 12, 2020, pursuant to local rules, counsel for Mr. Morgan and the Individual Defendants met and conferred telephonically regarding a number of issues including discovery matters. That meet and confer resulted in the terms set forth in this stipulation.

# II. WHY REMAINING DISCOVERY HAS NOT BEEN COMPLETED

This request for an extension of all unexpired deadlines was initiated by Defendants and has been agreed to by Plaintiff. While the parties have exchanged initial disclosures and Plaintiff has propounded some discovery, the parties must still conduct extensive written discovery. There are a number of depositions of both lay and expert witnesses that will need to be taken in addition to those depositions already noticed by Plaintiff. Due to the COVID-19 pandemic and orders of the Governor of the State of Nevada, the parties' progress on these matters has been slowed despite the best efforts of counsel.

Plaintiff has timely served a series of requests for the production of documents and

interrogatories. Plaintiff also timely served notices of deposition.<sup>2</sup> Given that defense counsel's

office has again been placed into full-time remote work status due to the COVID-19 pandemic,

defense counsel will need more time to respond to the written discovery, as well as propound

# their own written discovery, than the established deadlines will allow. Defendants have requested an extension of the rebuttal expert disclosure deadline because the Office of the Attorney General has a lengthy and complicated process for retention of expert witnesses. While Defendants have begun this process, there is simply no possibility that all of the approvals can be obtained in time to prepare the materials that will be necessary to disclose. Therefore, good cause exists to extend all unexpired discovery deadlines, and it is respectfully requested that the discovery deadlines in this matter be continued.

## III. REMAINING DISCOVERY

- 1. The Plaintiff will likely serve additional written discovery requests.
- 2. Defendants need to serve written discovery requests and Defendants expect to serve additional written discovery requests as discovery progresses.
- 3. Defendants need to disclose a rebuttal expert witness and need additional time to obtain the necessary internal approvals for retention of an expert witness.
- 4. The Plaintiff and Defendants need to take the depositions of relevant witnesses and expert witnesses.

# IV. SCHEDULE FOR COMPLETING REMAINING DISCOVERY

Based on the good cause to extend the unexpired discovery deadlines in this case, the parties submit the following proposed discovery schedule:

Scheduled Event	Current Deadline	Proposed Deadline
Rebuttal Expert Disclosures Pursuant to FRCP 26(a)(2)	November 16, 2020	February 19, 2021

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> The parties have agreed to vacate these deposition notices and reschedule them to a mutually agreeable date and time.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26

27

28

Discovery Cut-Off Date	December 14, 2020	June 11, 2021
Dispositive Motions	January 13, 2021	July 13, 2021
Joint Pretrial Order	February 12, 2021	August 11, 2021 (If dispositive motions are filed the deadline for filing the joint pretrial order will be suspended until 30 days after a decision on the dispositive motions or further court order).

This request for extensions of time are not sought for any improper purpose or other purposes of delay. The COVID-19 pandemic has stalled the parties' attempts to conduct meaningful discovery in this matter. Additionally, Defendants must adhere to state administrative processes to contract with expert witnesses, which takes a substantial amount of time. The parties have worked diligently at complying with the deadlines that can be met, but good cause exists to extend the current discovery deadlines.

WHEREFORE, the parties respectfully request that this court extend discovery dates as outlined in accordance with the table above.

APPROVED AS TO FORM AND CONTENT this 13th day of November, 2020.

By:	/s/Scott H. Husbands	By: /s/E. Brent Bryson
•	SCOTT H. HUSBANDS, ESQ.	E. BRENT BRYSON, ESQ.
	Deputy Attorney General	E. BRENT BRYSON, LTD.
	Nevada Bar No. 11398	Nevada Bar No. 4933
	GERALD R. TAN	3202 West Charleston Blvd.
	Nevada Bar No. 13596	Las Vegas, NV 89102
	5420 Kietzke Lane, Suite 202	<b>Attorney for Plaintiff</b>
	Reno, NV 89511	•

### IT IS SO ORDERED:

**Attorney for Defendants** 

DATED this 16th day of November, 2020.

UNITED STATES MACISTRATE JUDGE