T COURT DA
DA
o.: 2:19-cv-02239-KJD-DJA
STIPULATION AND ORDER REGARDING EXTENSION OF
DISCOVERY DEADLINES
[FIFTH <sup>1</sup> REQUEST]
the incorrect standard for an extension of
lishing dates by which certain defendants will equested an extension on the standard timeline

and will be the parties' fourth request for such an extension.

# Case 2:19-cv-02239-KJD-DJA Document 48 Filed 09/19/22 Page 2 of 7

Ш

1	Pursuant to LR 7-1 and LR 26-3, Plaintiff JOSEPH MORGAN ("Plaintiff"), by and				
2	through his counsel of record, E. Brent Bryson, Esq. of the Law Offices of E. Brent Bryson,				
3	LTD., and Defendants STATE OF NEVADA, ex rel. its DEPARTMENT OF BUSINESS AND				
4	INDUSTRY, STATE OF NEVADA, ex rel. its TAXICAB AUTHORITY (together "the				
5	Agency Defendants") and BRUCE BRESLOW, TERRY REYNOLDS, SCOTT				
6					
7	WHITTEMORE, RUBEN AQUINO, GENEVIEVE HUDSON, RONALD GROGAN,				
8	CHARLES HARVEY, ANTOINE "CHRIS" RIVERS AND C. J. MANTHE (each an				
9	"Individual Defendant" and collectively the "Individual Defendants" and together with the				
10	Agency Defendants "the Defendants"), by and through their attorneys of record, AARON D.				
11	FORD, Attorney General for the State of Nevada, SCOTT H. HUSBANDS, Deputy Attorney				
12 13	General, and GERALD L. TAN, Deputy Attorney General hereby stipulate and request that this				
13	court extend discovery deadlines and any unexpired deadlines in the above-captioned case for a				
15	period of six months. In support of this stipulation and request, the parties state as follows:				
16	I. DISCOVERY COMPLETED TO DATE				
17	1. On December 30, 2019, the Plaintiff filed his Complaint. ECF No. 1.				
18	2. On March 17, 2020, the Defendants filed their Answer to Plaintiff's Complaint, ECF				
19	No. 10, and Defendants' Certificate of Interested Parties, ECF No. 11.				
20	3. On March 18, 2020, this Court ordered an Early Neutral Evaluation Session (ENE)				
21	for June 15, 2020. ECF No. 12.				
22					
23	4. On May 20, 2020, this Court ordered that ENE Confidential Statements were due by				
24	June 8, 2020. ECF No. 14.				
25	5. On May 29, 2020, the Defendants filed a Notice of Association of Counsel Deputy				
26	Attorney General Gerald L. Tan. ECF. No. 15.				
27	6. On June 2, 2020, the parties stipulated to a 14-day extension of time to exchange				
28					

	Case 2:19-cv-02239-KJD-DJA Document 48 Filed 09/19/22 Page 3 of 7
1	FRCP 26 initial disclosures on behalf of the Individual Defendants. ECF No. 16.
2	7. On June 3, 2020, this Court granted the parties' request for a 14-day extension of
3	time to exchange FRCP 26 initial disclosures. ECF No. 17.
4	8. On June 12, 2020, the Plaintiff provided his initial disclosure of witnesses and
5	documents pursuant to FRCP 26.1(a)(1).
6 7	9. On June 15, an ENE Session was held between the parties but a settlement
8	agreement was not reached. ECF No. 18.
9	10. On June 16, 2020, the Individual Defendants provided their initial disclosure of
10	witnesses and documents pursuant to FRCP 26.1(a)(1).
11	11. On July 17, 2020, the parties stipulated to a discovery plan and scheduling order.
12	ECF No. 19.
13	
14	12. On July 23, 2020, this Court granted the parties' discovery plan and scheduling
15	order. ECF No. 20.
16	13. On July 23, 2020, this Court provided Notice Pursuant to LR IB 2-2. ECF No. 21.
17	14. On July 23, 2020, this Court granted the parties' discovery plan and scheduling
18 19	order. ECF No. 20.
20	15. On July 23, 2020, this Court provided Notice Pursuant to LR IB 2-2. ECF No. 21.
21	16. On October 29, 2020, Plaintiff timely served a set of interrogatories and document
22	requests to each Individual Defendant. These requests were served in compliance
23	with the existing discovery cutoff in place at that time. The parties agreed to extend
24	the deadline for Defendants to provide their discovery responses. Consistent with
25	this agreement, the Individual Defendants served their responses on January 8, 2021.
26	17. On October 30, 2020, Plaintiff timely served deposition notices to each of the
27	Individual Defendants. The parties agreed to vacate those depositions in light of
28	

1	their November 2020 stipulation to extend the discovery deadlines and all other				
2	unexpired deadlines in this matter. The parties agreed to reschedule these				
3	depositions to future agreed-upon dates and times.				
4	18. On November 12, 2020, pursuant to local rules, counsel for Mr. Morgan and the				
5	Individual Defendants met and conferred telephonically regarding a number of issues				
6					
7	including discovery matters. That meet and confer resulted in the terms set forth in				
8	the parties' November 2020 stipulation. The Court entered an order approving the				
9	terms of the parties' stipulation on November 16, 2020. (ECF No. 29).				
10	19. On May 4, 2021, the Court entered its order denying a motion to dismiss filed by the				
11	Agency Defendants (ECF No. 30). As part of its order, the Court allowed Plaintiff				
12	30 days to properly serve these defendants so that they could be made proper parties				
13					
14	to the litigation.				
15	20. On the same day the Court entered the order referenced above, the undersigned				
16	counsel met and conferred on a number of matters including discovery matters.				
17	Counsel agreed that the parties needed additional time to conduct their remaining				
18	discovery. Further, the parties agreed that the imminent addition of the Agency				
19	Defendants greatly expanded the scope of discovery and that additional time would				
20	be needed. Counsel agreed that in person depositions were preferable to depositions				
21					
22	being taken virtually. The parties prepared a stipulation to extend discovery				
23	deadlines in this matter. The Court entered an order approving that stipulation on				
24	June 1, 2021.				
25	21. On October 29, 2021, Plaintiff noticed the depositions of five of the Individual				
26	Defendants. Counsel met and conferred regarding the schedule for these depositions				
27	and agreed to postpone the depositions while the parties worked through disclosure				
28					

1

2

3

4

5

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

issues. The Agency Defendants ultimately produced their initial disclosures. Since that time, the parties have not engaged in discovery and have instead discussed the possibility of a global resolution.

#### II. WHY REMAINING DISCOVERY HAS NOT BEEN COMPLETED

This request for an extension of all unexpired deadlines was initiated upon the mutual 6 interest of all parties. The Individual Defendants have provided their initial disclosures and 7 responded to limited written discovery. The Agency Defendants have also provided their initial 8 9 disclosures. The parties anticipate the need to conduct further extensive written discovery. 10 There are a number of depositions of both lay and expert witnesses that will need to be taken in 11 addition to those depositions already noticed by Plaintiff. Due to the COVID-19 pandemic, the 12 parties' progress on these matters has been slowed despite the best efforts of counsel. In 13 addition, several of the Individual Defendants have left their employment. As a result, it has 14 taken and will take additional time to coordinate their depositions. The parties are hopeful that 15 with an extension of the deadlines in this matter, that they may be able to complete any 16 17 remaining discovery. Additionally, the parties are engaging in global resolution discussions that 18 may result in a settlement of the claims in this matter. The requested extension would allow the 19 parties to engage in those discussions without imminent deadlines and would also allow enough 20 time to conduct and hopefully complete discovery if the settlement discussions are unproductive. 21

Further, all undersigned counsel, and in particular, Plaintiff's counsel, have had a number of other matters involving lengthy hearings and trials. This has consumed a great deal of counsel's time since the parties' last stipulation. These issues, and pandemic-related issues of remote work and limited resources have greatly complicated the parties' ability to conduct the extensive discovery that is needed in this matter. Therefore, the parties submit that good cause exists to the schedule set forth in this stipulation and proposed order, and it is respectfully

#### Case 2:19-cv-02239-KJD-DJA Document 48 Filed 09/19/22 Page 6 of 7

requested that the deadlines in this matter be continued.

2 3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1

### III. <u>REMAINING DISCOVERY</u>

- 1. The Plaintiff will likely serve additional written discovery requests.
- 2. Defendants need to serve written discovery requests and Defendants expect to serve additional written discovery requests as discovery progresses.
- 3. The Plaintiff and Defendants need to take the depositions of relevant witnesses and expert witnesses.

## IV. PROPOSED SCHEDULE FOR COMPLETING REMAINING DISCOVERY

Based on the good cause to extend the unexpired discovery deadlines in this case, the parties submit the following proposed discovery schedule:

Scheduled Event	Current Deadline	Proposed Deadline
Discovery Cut-Off Date	September 16, 2022	January 13, 2023
Dispositive Motions	October 14, 2022	February 17, 2023
Joint Pretrial Order	November 18, 2022	March 17, 2023 (If dispositive motions are filed the deadline for filing the joint pretrial order will be suspended until 30 days after
		a decision on the dispositive motions or further court order).

This request for extension of time is not sought for any improper purpose or other purposes of delay. The parties have worked diligently at complying with the deadlines that can be met, but good cause exists to extend the current discovery deadlines.

WHEREFORE, the parties respectfully request that this court extend discovery dates as
outlined in accordance with the table above.

27 28

21

22

23

24

APPROVED AS TO FORM AND CONTENT this 16<sup>th</sup> day of September, 2022.

Cas	se 2:19-cv-02239-KJD-DJA Documer	nt 48 Filed 09/19/22 Page 7 of 7			
By:	/s/ Scott H. Husbands	By: _/s/ E. Brent Bryson			
	SCOTT H. HUSBANDS, ESQ.	E. BRENT BRYSON, ESQ.			
;	Deputy Attorney General Nevada Bar No. 11398	E. BRENT BRYSON, LTD. Nevada Bar No. 4933			
	GERALD R. TAN Nevada Bar No. 13596	3202 West Charleston Blvd.			
	5420 Kietzke Lane, Suite 202	Las Vegas, NV 89102 Attorney for Plaintiff			
	Reno, NV 89511	·			
	Attorney for Defendants				
	IT IS SO ORDERED:				
	DATED this $19$ th day of September, 2022.				
		VMD			
	DANIEL J. ALBREGTS				
	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE				
		7			