

1 **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that respondents must file a response to the petition
2 within **90 days** of service of the petition, and that response must comport with Habeas Rule 5.
3 Petitioner will then have **45 days** from service of the answer, motion to dismiss, or other
4 response to file a reply or opposition. Any other motions will be subject to the normal briefing
5 schedule under the local rules.

6 Additionally:

- 7 1. Any procedural defenses raised by respondents in this case must be raised together in a
8 single, consolidated motion to dismiss. In other words, the court does not wish to address
9 any procedural defenses raised herein either in seriatum fashion in multiple successive
10 motions to dismiss or embedded in the answer. Procedural defenses omitted from the
11 motion to dismiss will be subject to potential waiver.
- 12 2. Respondents must not file a response in this case that consolidates their procedural
13 defenses, if any, with their response on the merits, except under 28 U.S.C.
14 § 2254(b)(2) as to any unexhausted claims clearly lacking merit. If respondents do seek
15 dismissal of unexhausted claims under § 2254(b)(2): (a) they must do so within the single
16 motion to dismiss, not in the answer, and (b) they must specifically direct their argument
17 to the standard for dismissal under § 2254(b)(2) set forth in *Cassett v. Stewart*, 406 F.3d
18 614, 623–24 (9th Cir. 2005). In short, no procedural defenses, including exhaustion,
19 should be included with the merits in an answer; they must instead be raised by motion to
20 dismiss.
- 21 3. In any answer filed on the merits, respondents must specifically cite to and address the
22 applicable state-court written decision and state-court record materials, if any, regarding
23 each claim within the response as to that claim; and

1 4. Respondents must file a set of state-court exhibits relevant to the response filed to the
2 petition. Those exhibits must be filed chronologically and be accompanied by a separate
3 index of exhibits identifying the exhibits by number. The CM/ECF attachments that are
4 filed must be identified by the number or numbers of the exhibits in the attachment. The
5 purpose of this provision is to allow the court and any reviewing court thereafter to
6 quickly determine from the face of the electronic docket sheet which numbered exhibits
7 are filed in which attachments. Respondents must send a hard copy of all pleadings and
8 indices of exhibits **ONLY** filed for this case to the Clerk of Court, 400 S. Virginia St.,
9 Reno, NV, 89501, directed to the attention of “Staff Attorney” on the outside of the
10 mailing address label.

11 **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that petitioner’s pro se motion for order for FPD to
12 appear [ECF No. 39] is **DENIED** as moot.

13 Dated: October 12, 2020

14 
15 _____
16 Jennifer A. Dorsey
17 United States District Judge
18
19
20
21
22
23