Baack v	Asurion,	
Daach V.	Asunon,	LLC

1			
$\begin{array}{c}1\\2\end{array}$	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
3	DISTRICT OF NEVADA		
4	* * *		
5	Emily Baack,	Case No. 2:20-cv-00336-KJD-BNW	
6	Plaintiff,	ORDER	
7	V.	ORDER	
8	Asurion, LLC.,		
9	Defendant.		
0			
1	Before the Court is Defendant's motion to seal. ECF No. 32. Defendant moves to file		
2	exhibits D, G, N, O, Q, and R to its motion for summary judgment under seal. The sealed exhibits		
3	are filed at ECF No. 32. Defendant argues the exhibits constitute trade secrets that should be		
4	sealed under the Ninth Circuit's compelling reasons standard. <i>Id.</i> at 4.		
5	Plaintiff does not substantively oppose this request. ECF No. 37. As discussed in more		
6	detail below, the Court grants Defendant's motion to seal exhibits D, G, N, O, Q, and R.		
7	I. Legal Standard		
8	Generally, the public has a right to inspect and copy judicial records. Kamakana v. City &		
9	Cnty. of Honolulu, 447 F.3d 1172, 1178 (9th Cir. 2006). Such records are presumptively publicly		
20	accessible. Id. Consequently, a party seeking to seal a judicial record bears the burden of		
21	overcoming this strong presumption. <i>Id.</i> In the case of dispositive motions, the party seeking to		
22	seal the record must articulate compelling reasons supported by specific factual findings that		
23	outweigh the general history of access and the pul	olic policies favoring disclosure, such as the	
24	public interest in understanding the judicial process. <i>Id.</i> at 1178–79. Courts cannot rely on		
25	hypothesis or conjecture. Id. at 1179.		
26	In general, compelling reasons sufficient to outweigh the public's interest in		
	understanding the judicial process exist when cou	rt files might become "a vehicle for improper	
27 28	purposes." Id. Releasing trade secrets is an improj	per purpose. Id.	

Case 2:20-cv-00336-KJD-BNW Document 42 Filed 07/22/21 Page 2 of 7

 $\|$

1	The Ninth Circuit has adopted the Restatement's definition of "trade secret." Apple Inc. v.		
2	Samsung Elecs. Co., 727 F.3d 1214, 1222 (Fed. Cir. 2013) (citing Clark v. Bunker, 453 F.2d		
3	1006, 1009 (9th Cir. 1972)). Under this definition, a trade secret is "any formula, pattern, device		
4	or compilation, of information which is used in one's business, and which gives him an		
5	opportunity to obtain an advantage over competitors who do not know or use it." Bartech Int'l,		
6	<i>Inc. v. Mobile Simple Sols., Inc.</i> , No. 215CV02422MMDNJK, 2016 WL 2593920, at *1 (D. Nev.		
7	May 5, 2016) (quoting Restatement (First) of Torts § 757, cmt. b (1939)). Although trade secrets		
8	generally relate to the production of goods, they may relate to other business operations. <i>Clark</i> ,		
9	453 F.2d at 1009.		
10	The Restatement provides six factors to consider when determining whether information		
11	is a trade secret:		
12	(1) the extent to which the information is known outside of his business; (2) the extent to		
13	which it is known by employees and others involved in his business; (3) the extent of		
14	measures taken by him to guard the secrecy of the information; (4) the value of the		
15	information to him and to his competitors; (5) the amount of effort or money expended by		
16	him in developing the information; (6) the ease or difficulty with which the information		
17	could be properly acquired or duplicated by others.		
18	Restatement (First) of Torts § 757 cmt. b.		
19	II. Analysis		
20	1. The Training Materials (at Exhibits D, G, Q, and R) Constitute Trade		
21	Secrets.		
22	The first issue addressed by the Court is whether Defendant's employee training materials		
23	(exhibits D, G, Q, and R) constitute trade secrets under the Restatement. See id. Defendant argues		
24	the exhibits are trade secrets because the records contain training information that is "uniquely		
25	tailored" to its policies, procedures, and coaching techniques. ECF No. 32 at 5. Defendant further		
26	argues the exhibits are a product of Asurion's significant efforts and resources. Id. at 6.		
27	The Court considered the Restatement factors and agrees that exhibits D, G, Q, and R are		
28	trade secrets.		

Case 2:20-cv-00336-KJD-BNW Document 42 Filed 07/22/21 Page 3 of 7

Regarding the first factor (the extent to which the information is known outside of his
 business), Defendant contends that "Asurion's employee training is not known to those outside of
 Asurion's employment." *Id.* at 4. Defendant's attorneys are officers of the Court and their
 arguments are cogent and uncontradicted. The Court has no reason to doubt their veracity.¹
 Accordingly, this factor weighs in Defendant's favor.

Regarding the second factor (the extent to which it is known by employees and others 6 7 involved in his business), Defendant argues the training materials are only "provided to 8 employees when they begin working for Asurion and attend the three-week training course." Id. 9 To support its statement, Defendant relies on Plaintiff's Deposition Transcript. ECF No. 41-1 at 10 34:8–25, 35:7–25, 36:3–37:11. This exhibit discusses Plaintiff's personal training experience at 11 Asurion, like sitting in a conference room with twelve other people while reviewing PowerPoints. 12 *Id.* at 35:23–36:2. Plaintiff's experience supports Defendant's statement that its training materials 13 are limited to Asurion employees. This factor weighs in Defendant's favor.

Regarding the third factor (the extent of measures taken by him to guard the secrecy of the
information), Defendant claims that it has taken "adequate protective measures" to keep its
training materials secret because the materials are exclusive to Asurion employees.² ECF No. 41
at 4. This factor weighs in Defendant's favor.

18 Regarding the fourth factor (the value of the information to him and to his competitors), 19 Defendant claims the training information is valuable to it and other companies. ECF No. 32 at 5. 20 For example, exhibits D and R showcase interactive scenarios and roleplaying activities 21 developed by Asurion employees based on real-life situations at the company. ECF No. 32-1 at 22 25, 29; ECF No. 32-6 at 9–10. And exhibit G outlines the steps an Asurion employee needs to 23 take before issuing a corrective action. ECF No. 32-2 at 1–21. Exhibit Q uses unique questions 24 and activities to guide employees through office procedures. ECF No. 32-5 at 6–11. Defendant 25 claims all this information gives Asurion a competitive advantage over other companies.

 ¹ This Court will accept Defendant's statements as true throughout this order unless the Court finds such statements are contradicted.

 ² Defendant's employee handbook states that "it has always been Asurion's policy that Asurion's business information is strictly confidential." ECF No. 32-4 at 22.

Case 2:20-cv-00336-KJD-BNW Document 42 Filed 07/22/21 Page 4 of 7

Specifically, Defendant argues the training materials allow Asurion to retain existing and new 2 clients. ECF No. 32 at 6. This factor weighs in Defendant's favor.

3 Regarding the fifth factor (the amount of effort or money expended by him in developing the information), Defendant claims the training materials are "the product of many hours, and 5 many dollars, worth of work." Id. Specifically, Defendant states Asurion's efforts to tether "multiple situations into a thorough and interactive collection" help Asurion deal with supply 6 7 chain issues and customer solutions. Id. at 5. Defendant argues such efforts cost the company 8 valuable time and money. Id. at 6. This factor weighs in Defendant's favor.

9 Regarding the sixth factor (the ease or difficulty with which the information could be 10 properly acquired or duplicated by others), Defendant contends that if the exhibits were made 11 public, competitors could easily acquire and duplicate the materials. ECF No. 41 at 5. The Court 12 interprets this factor differently than Defendant.

13 Defendant interprets this factor as asking it to assess the ease or difficulty with which the 14 information could be properly acquired or duplicated by others *if the exhibits were unsealed*. The 15 Court interprets this factor as meaning the ease or difficulty with which the information could be 16 properly acquired or duplicated by others generally in the world (independent of the motion to 17 seal).

18 The Court interprets this factor in this manner for two reasons. First, if the factor were 19 interpreted as Defendant seems to interpret it, this factor would always cut in favor of sealing and 20 essentially be meaningless; any document that was unsealed could be acquired and duplicated by 21 others. Second, the Restatement supports the Court's interpretation. It provides that trade secrets 22 derive their value from being secret, and "a substantial element of secrecy must exist [for 23 something to be a trade secret], so that, except by the use of improper means, there would be 24 difficulty in acquiring the information." Restatement (First) of Torts § 757, cmt. b.

25 Here, Defendant does not explain how easy or difficult it would be for others to acquire or 26 duplicate its sealed exhibits generally. Accordingly, this factor neither supports nor detracts from 27 sealing the exhibits.

28

1

1In sum, the Restatement factors support finding that exhibits D, G, Q, and R are trade2secrets.

3

4

2. The Code of Conduct and Employee Handbook (at Exhibits O and N) Constitute Trade Secrets.

The second issue addressed by this Court is whether Defendant's code of conduct and
employee handbook constitute trade secrets under the Restatement. *See* Restatement (First) of
Torts § 757 cmt. b. Defendant argues exhibits O and N are trade secrets because they are "entitled
to confidential status" and represents many hours and dollars' worth of work. ECF No. 32 at 6–7.
Defendant further contends the exhibits aide Asurion's business success in a competitive and
aggressive market. ECF No. 41 at 5.

The Court considered the Restatement factors and agrees that exhibits N and O are tradesecrets.

Regarding the first factor (the extent to which the information is known outside of his
business), Defendant contends that "Asurion's policies, procedures, or code of conduct are not
public information." *Id.* Accordingly, this factor weighs in Defendant's favor.

Regarding the second factor (the extent to which it is known by employees and others
involved in his business), Defendant argues that "[t]he only individuals privy to the materials in
exhibits N and O are employees of Asurion." *Id.* For this reason, the factor weighs in Defendant's
favor.³

Regarding the third factor (the extent of measures taken by him to guard the secrecy of the
information), Defendant claims that it has taken measures to guard the secrecy of exhibits N and
O by limiting access to those documents to Asurion employees. *Id.* at 5–6. Defendant argues this
limited access keeps the records secret. Accordingly, this factor weighs in Defendant's favor.
Regarding the fourth factor (the value of the information to him and to his competitors),
Defendant argues that exhibits N and O constitute Asurion's "how-to" guide. ECF No. 32 at 7.

²⁷ ³ Defendant's employee handbook states that "it has always been Asurion's policy that Asurion's business information is strictly confidential." ECF No. 32 at Exhibit O 00022.

Case 2:20-cv-00336-KJD-BNW Document 42 Filed 07/22/21 Page 6 of 7

Defendant contends that if this "how-to" guide were obtained by competitors, it would
 disadvantage Asurion. *Id.* Exhibit N discusses how Asurion's ethical principles and standards
 should guide employee actions and business relations. ECF No. 32-3 at 4. Defendant claims
 employee compliance with this exhibit aids Asurion in offering competitive service rates and
 attracting and retaining clients. ECF No. 32 at 6.

Defendant further claims that exhibit O provides specific information about Asurion's
policies as well as internal procedures on how to implement relevant law. ECF No. 32-4 at 30,
34–53. Defendant states that other competitors could receive the benefit of this handbook
"without incurring the administrative and legal expense" of developing its own documents. ECF
No. 32 at 7. In sum, Defendant argues exhibits N and O possess procedures and information that
make Asurion competitive. This factor weighs in Defendant's favor.

Regarding the fifth factor (the amount of effort or money expended by him in developing the information), Defendant claims the training materials are "the product of many hours, and many dollars, worth of work." *Id.* at 6. Specifically, Defendant states exhibits N and O are the end-product of "[e]ndeavors to [t]ether [u]nique [i]nformation." ECF No. 41 at 6. Defendant argues Asurion invested time and money to create this end-product. *Id.* Accordingly, this factor weighs in Defendant's favor.

Regarding the sixth factor (the ease or difficulty with which the information could be
properly acquired or duplicated by others), Defendant contends that if the exhibits were made
public, competitors could easily copy the materials. *Id.* However, as stated previously, the Court
interprets this factor differently than Defendant. Here, Defendant does not explain how easy or
difficult it would be for others to acquire or duplicate its sealed exhibits independent of the
motion to seal. Accordingly, this factor neither supports nor detracts from sealing the exhibits.
Altogether, the Restatement factors support finding that exhibits N and O are trade secrets.

25

3. Defendant Meets the Compelling Reasons Standard.

The third issue this Court addresses is whether Defendant meets the compelling reason standard. It does. Releasing trade secrets is a compelling reason sufficient to outweigh the right of public access. *Kamakana*, 447 F.3d at 1179. And the court has found that Defendant's exhibits

Case 2:20-cv-00336-KJD-BNW Document 42 Filed 07/22/21 Page 7 of 7

constitute trade secrets. Therefore, Defendant meets the compelling reason standard and justifies
 sealing the exhibits.

III. Motion to Seal ECF No. 32

Defendant filed this motion to seal under seal. ECF No. 32. If Defendant would like this document sealed, it must file a motion to seal by August 30, 2021 explaining, specifically, why good cause exists to seal the document. If no such motion is filed, the document will be unsealed.

IV. Conclusion

8 The Court reviewed the documents at issue and finds that compelling reasons exist to seal
9 them. These documents constitute trade secrets that could be used for an improper purpose if
10 allowed to be in the public record. *Kamakana*, 447 F.3d at 1179. Accordingly, the Court will
11 grant Defendant's motion to seal regarding exhibits D, G, N, O, Q, and R. ECF No. 32.
12 IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that Defendant's motion to seal (ECF No. 32) is

13 GRANTED.

14 Dated: July 22, 2021.

Lowek

Brenda Weksler U.S. Magistrate Judge