26

27

28

directives. This is the parties' first request¹ to extend any discovery and dispositive motion deadlines in this matter.

Pursuant to Local Rule 26-4, the parties state as follows:

I. DISCOVERY COMPLETED TO DATE

- a. The parties conducted the Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(f) conference.
- b. The parties have exchanged initial disclosures of documents and lists of witnesses and supplements thereto.
- c. Defendant has propounded requests for production of documents and interrogatories on Plaintiff. Plaintiff has responded to these requests.
- d. Plaintiff has propounded requests for production of documents, requests for admission, and interrogatories on Defendant. Defendant has responded to these requests.
- e. Plaintiff has served his First Supplemental Disclosure of Witnesses and Documents pursuant to FRCP 26.
- f. Plaintiff has served his Second Supplemental Disclosure of Witnesses and Documents pursuant to FRCP 26.
- g. Plaintiff has served his Third Supplemental Disclosure of Witnesses and Documents pursuant to FRCP 26.
- h. Target has served its First Supplement to its Disclosures Pursuant to FRCP 26(a)(1).
- i. Target has served its Second Supplement to its Disclosures Pursuant to FRCP 26(a)(1).
- j. Target has served its Third Supplement to its Disclosures Pursuant to FRCP 26(a)(1).
- k. Target disclosed Dr. Timothy Sutherland as an expert witness. Dr. Sutherland could not provide complete opinions because of the lack of pre-accident records and lack of SSD records. The lockdowns and closings related to the COVID-19 pandemic

¹ Target inadvertently filed a stipulation regarding discovery (ECF No. 12) as a stipulation for extension of time.

have created a situation where obtaining records is difficult, if not near impossible, especially government records.

- 1. Target has subpoenaed documents from the US Social Security Administration.
- m. Target set the deposition of Plaintiff for October 28, 2020.

II. DISCOVERY TO BE COMPLETED

- a. Deposition of Plaintiff and medical examination pursuant to FRCP 35.
- b. Deposition of Rule 30(b)(6) designee(s) of TARGET.
- c. Depositions of Plaintiff's treating physicians.
- d. Disclosure of expert witnesses and rebuttal.
- e. Depositions of fact witnesses.
- f. Depositions of expert witnesses.
- g. Additional written discovery.
- h. Supplemental responses to written discovery.
- i. Other discovery as necessary.

The above list is made without prejudice to the parties' ability to conduct additional discovery consistent with the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

III. REASONS WHY THE DEADLINES WERE NOT AND CANNOT BE COMPLETED WITHIN THE CURRENT SCHEDULE

As evidenced by the foregoing, the necessary discovery has commenced. Pursuant to Local Rule 26-4, the parties submit they have excusable neglect to extend the initial expert disclosure deadline as the parties have experienced severe delays due to the COVID-19 pandemic. The lockdowns and closings related to the COVID-19 pandemic have created a situation where obtaining records is difficult, if not near impossible, especially governmental records. Until such time that both parties have received Plaintiff's complete medical and disability records, the parties' experts cannot conduct a complete medical records review and/or a Rule 35 medical examination and prepare expert reports. Consequently, additional time is necessary in order to complete the parties' expert disclosures and remaining discovery. Furthermore, given the current restriction on travel and/or personal contact necessitated by the COVID-19 pandemic, it is anticipated that most of the remaining discovery will not

24

25

26

27

28

reasonably be able to occur for several months. Specifically, the variation of stay at home orders from state to state has frustrated travel in conducting certain fact witness depositions, party depositions, and Rule 35 examinations.

The parties have also met and conferred regarding Plaintiff's social security disability records and Plaintiff has agreed to provide Target with the SSA Consent for Release of Information Form. The parties agree that this request is not made for the purpose of delay, but to ensure a just adjudication of the case on the merits, and that neither party will be prejudiced by the requested extension.

IV. PROPOSED SCHEDULE

WHEREFORE, the parties respectfully request that this Court extend discovery deadlines as follows:

EVENT	CURRENT DEADLINE	PROPOSED DEADLINE
Discovery Deadline	12/04/2020	04/05/2021
Initial Expert Disclosure	10/05/2020	02/02/2021
Rebuttal Expert Disclosure	11/04/2020	03/04/2021
Dispositive Motions	01/04/2021	05/04/2021
Pretrial Order	02/03/2021	06/03/2021

DATED this 13th day of October, 2020.

DATED this 13th day of October, 2020.

RICHARD HARRIS LAW FIRM

/s/ Charles S. Jackson

CHARLES S. JACKSON, ESQ.

Nevada Bar No. 13158 801 S. Fourth Street Las Vegas, Nevada 89101 Attorneys for Plaintiff

LINCOLN, GUSTAFSON & CERCOS, LNI

If dispositive mot are filed, the dead

for filing the join

pretrial order will be suspended until 30 days

dispositive motion

after decision on the

/s/ Caroline R. Reilly

LOREN S. YOUNG, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 7567

CAROLINE ROSKE REILLY, ESO. further court ord

Nevada Bar No. 13236

3960 Howard Hughes Parkway, Suite 200

Las Vegas, NV 89169 Attorneys for Defendant

IT IS SO ORDERED

United States Magistrate Judge

Dated the 16th day of October, 2020.

 $v: \\ \ v: \\ \$