

1  
2 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
3 DISTRICT OF NEVADA

4 Lisa A. Bryant,

5 Plaintiff

6 v.

7 Madison Management Services, LLC, et al.,

8 Defendants

Case No. 2:20-cv-00594-CDS-EJY

9  
10 **Order Rejecting Proposed**  
11 **Joint Pretrial Order**

12 [ECF No. 76]

13 Plaintiff Lisa Bryant and defendants Madison Management Services, LLC and Waldman  
14 & Porrás PLLC submitted a proposed joint pretrial order (ECF No. 76) which does not comply  
15 with Local Rules 16-3 and 16-4. I therefore reject it.

16 The parties' proposed order provides a joint list of exhibits on which the parties have  
17 agreed can be admitted at trial. *See* ECF No. 76 at 8–9. However, the parties fail to fully comport  
18 with Local Rule 16-3(b)(8), which requires them to “list those exhibits to which objection is  
19 made and **state the grounds for the objection.**” LR 16-3(b)(8) (emphasis added). Defendants  
20 provide only identify two “exhibits,” and simply state: “[a]ll documents obtained during  
21 discovery” and “[a]ny documents turned over or subpoenaed by any witness,” *id.* at 9, without  
22 stating the grounds for any objection. These vague descriptions do not “describe the exhibits  
23 sufficiently ready for identification” as required by the local rules. LR 16-3(b)(8). Under  
24 “Plaintiff’s Exhibits,” there is an empty indented subsection. ECF No. 76 at 9. It is unclear to the  
25 court whether Bryant inadvertently left the list blank or intended to represent that she will not  
26 be introducing any exhibits beyond the stipulated list.

