UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEVADA BRICK P. HOUSTON, Case No. 2:20-cv-00650-RFB-NJK Plaintiff, **ORDER** v. MENDOZA, et al., Defendant.

Before the Court for consideration is the Report and Recommendation [ECF No. 43] of the Honorable Nancy J. Koppe, United States Magistrate Judge, entered January 11, 2022.

A district court "may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the findings or recommendations made by the magistrate." 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). A party may file specific written objections to the findings and recommendations of a magistrate judge. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); Local Rule IB 3-2(a). When written objections have been filed, the district court is required to "make a de novo determination of those portions of the report or specified proposed findings or recommendations to which objection is made." 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); see also Local Rule IB 3-2(b). Where a party fails to object, however, a district court is not required to conduct "any review," de novo or otherwise, of the report and recommendations of a magistrate judge. Thomas v. Arn, 474 U.S. 140, 149 (1985). Pursuant to Local Rule IB 3-2(a), objections were due by January 25, 2022. No objections have been filed. The Court has reviewed the record in this case and concurs with the Magistrate Judge's recommendations.

. . .

1	IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the Report and Recommendation [ECF No. 43] is	is
2	ACCEPTED and ADOPTED in full.	
3	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that this case is dismissed.	
4		
5	DATED: May 5, 2022.	
6		-
7	RICHARD F. BOULWARE, II United States District Judge	
8	Officed States District Judge	
9		
0		
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8		
9		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		