1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 9 DISTRICT OF NEVADA 10 11 Case No. 2:20-cv-01013-GMN-DJA MARIO HERRADA-GONZALEZ, 12 **ORDER** Petitioner. 13 v. 14 JERRY HOWELL, et al., 15 Respondents. 16 Introduction 17 I. 18 Petitioner Mario Herrada-Gonzalez has filed an application to proceed in forma pauperis 19 (ECF No. 1). Attached to the application are a petition for a writ of habeas corpus pursuant to 28 20 U.S.C. § 2254, exhibits to the petition, a motion for appointment of counsel, and a motion for 21 leave to file additional grounds. The court considers these documents, and the court will 22 provisionally appoint the Federal Public Defender to represent Herrada-Gonzalez, conditioned upon payment of the filing fee. 23 II. 24 **Background** On December 22, 2010, pursuant to a jury verdict, Herrada-Gonzalez was convicted of 25 26 one count of first-degree murder with the use of a deadly weapon and one count of robbery with 27 the use of a deadly weapon. ECF No. 1-2 at 5-6. Herrada-Gonzalez appealed. On February 10, 28 2014, the Nevada Supreme Court found that the evidence was insufficient to support the verdict 1

of guilt for robbery with the use of a deadly weapon; the Nevada Supreme Court affirmed on all other issues. ECF No. 1-2 at 7-19. On May 11, 2015, the state district court entered an amended judgment of conviction. ECF No. 1-2 at 23-25. It appears that Herrada-Gonzalez did not appeal. See ECF No. 1-2 at 29 (noting entry of amended judgment and then filing of post-conviction habeas corpus petition).

On March 16, 2016, Herrada-Gonzalez filed a post-conviction habeas corpus petition in the state district court. ECF No. 1-2 at 29. The state district court appointed counsel to represent Herrada-Gonzalez. <u>Id.</u> The state district court held an evidentiary hearing on October 8, 2018. ECF No. 1-2 at 30. The state district court denied the petition on December 12, 2018. ECF No. 1-2 at 26. Herrada-Gonzalez appealed. The Nevada Supreme Court affirmed on April 16, 2020. ECF No. 1-2 at 59-62.

Herrada-Gonzalez then delivered his § 2254 petition to a prison officer for mailing to this court on June 3, 2020. ECF No. 1-1 at 1.

III. Discussion

A. Herrada-Gonzalez can afford the filing fee

The financial certificate and statements of inmate accounts show that Herrada-Gonzalez can afford the \$5.00 filing fee. ECF No. 1 at 4-14. The application to proceed <u>in forma pauperis</u> otherwise establishes Herrada-Gonzalez's eligibility for counsel under 18 U.S.C. § 3006A. Although the court is provisionally appointing counsel, Herrada-Gonzalez still must timely pay the filing fee, or the court will dismiss the action without further advance notice.

B. The court will appoint counsel provisionally

The appointment of counsel is warranted in this action given the length of Herrada-Gonzalez's consecutive sentences and the number and complexity of the issues presented in the petition. The court will appoint counsel provisionally, subject to Herrada-Gonzalez's payment of the filing fee.

C. The court will grant the motion for leave to file additional grounds

When the court will formally appoint counsel, assuming that Herrada-Gonzalez pays the filing fee, the court will give him the opportunity to file a counseled amended petition. Counsel

will determine what grounds to include in the amended petition. Nonetheless, in case relation back to a timely filed ground is necessary, the court will grant this motion.

IV. Conclusion

IT THEREFORE IS ORDERED that the petitioner's application to proceed in forma pauperis (ECF No. 1) is **DENIED**. The petitioner will have 30 days from entry of this order within which to have the filing fee of \$5.00 sent to the clerk of court. Failure to timely comply with this order will result in the dismissal of this action without further advance notice.

IT FURTHER IS ORDERED that the clerk of court will the petitioner in proper person two copies of this order. The petitioner, whether he arranges the payment himself or through counsel, must make the necessary arrangements to have a copy of this order attached to the check or other appropriate financial instrument paying the filing fee.

IT FURTHER IS ORDERED that the clerk file the petition,¹ the exhibits to the petition, the motion for appointment of counsel, and the motion for leave to file additional grounds, currently in the docket at ECF No. 1-1 through 1-4.

IT FURTHER IS ORDERED that petitioner's motion for appointment of counsel (ECF No. 2) is provisionally **GRANTED** as set forth herein. The counsel appointed will represent the petitioner in all federal proceedings related to this matter, including any appeals or <u>certiorari</u> proceedings, unless allowed to withdraw. The provisional grant of the motion is subject to the petitioner paying the filing fee within 30 days of entry of this order.

IT FURTHER IS ORDERED that the Federal Public Defender will have 30 days from the date of entry of this order either to undertake representation of petitioner or to indicate to the court the office's inability to represent petitioner. If the Federal Public Defender is unable to represent petitioner, then the court will appoint alternate counsel, subject again to establishment of financial eligibility. The court will set a deadline for filing of an amended petition or a motion seeking other relief after counsel has appeared. The court anticipates setting the deadline for 120 days from entry of the formal order of appointment. The court does not signify any implied finding of tolling during any time period established or any extension granted. Petitioner always

¹ The filing of the petition does not signify that the pleading is free of deficiencies.

remains responsible for calculating the limitation period of 28 U.S.C. § 2244(d)(1) and timely presenting claims. The court makes no representation that the petition, any amendments to the petition, and any claims in the petition or amendments are not subject to dismissal as untimely. See Sossa v. Diaz, 729 F.3d 1225, 1235 (9th Cir. 2013). IT FURTHER IS ORDERED that the motion for leave to file additional grounds is **GRANTED**. IT FURTHER IS ORDERED that the clerk add Aaron Ford, Attorney General for the State of Nevada, as counsel for respondents. IT FURTHER IS ORDERED that respondents' counsel must enter a notice of appearance within twenty-one (21) days of entry of this order, but no further response will be required from respondents until further order of the court. IT FURTHER IS ORDERED that the clerk shall provide copies of this order and all prior filings to both the Attorney General and the Federal Public Defender in a manner consistent with the clerk's current practice, such as regeneration of notices of electronic filing. IT FURTHER IS ORDERED that, notwithstanding Local Rule LR IC 2-2(g), paper copies of any electronically filed exhibits need not be provided to chambers or to the staff attorney, unless later directed by the court. DATED: June 10, 2020 United States District Judge