| City of  | North Las Vegas et al   |                   | D                                    |
|--|---|-------------------|--------------------------------------|
|  | Case 2:20-cv-01542-JCM-DJA Document   | 26 Filed 03/26/21 | Page 1 of 10                         |
|  |   |                   |                                      |
|  |   |                   |                                      |
|  |   |                   |                                      |
| 1  | ROBERT W. FREEMAN   |                   |                                      |
| 2  | Nevada Bar No. 3062<br>Robert.Freeman@lewisbrisbois.com   |                   |                                      |
| -  | E. MATTHEW FREEMAN  |                   |                                      |
| 3  | Nevada Bar No. 14198  |                   |                                      |
| 4  | Matt.Freeman@lewisbrisbois.com<br>LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP   |                   |                                      |
| •  | 6385 S. Rainbow Boulevard, Suite 600  |                   |                                      |
| 5  | Las Vegas, Nevada 89118   |                   |                                      |
| 6  | 702.893.3383<br>FAX: 702.893.3789   |                   |                                      |
| Ĩ  | Attorneys for Defendants  |                   |                                      |
| 7  |   |                   |                                      |
| 8  | NORTH LAS VEGAS CITY ATTORNEY   |                   |                                      |
|  | MICAELA RUSTIA MOORE  |                   |                                      |
| 9  | Nevada Bar No. 9676<br>City Attorney  |                   |                                      |
| 10   | moorem@cityofnorthlasvegas.com  |                   |                                      |
| 11   | NOEL E. EIDSMORE  |                   |                                      |
| 11   | Nevada Bar No. 7688<br>Chief Deputy City Attorney   |                   |                                      |
| 12   | eidsmoren@cityofnorthlasvegas.com   |                   |                                      |
| 12   | 2250 Las Vegas Blvd. North, Suite 810   |                   |                                      |
| 13   | North Las Vegas, Nevada 89030<br>702 633.1050   |                   |                                      |
| 14   | FAX: 702.649.8879   |                   |                                      |
| 15   | Attorneys for Defendants  |                   |                                      |
| 10   | UNITED STATES   | DISTRICT COURT    | ſ                                    |
| 16   | DISTRICT OF NEVAD   |                   | ICION                                |
| 17   | DISTRICT OF NEVAD   | A, SUUTHERN DIV   | ISION                                |
|  |   | * * *             |                                      |
| 18   |   |                   |                                      |
| 10   | ASHIEV RICE individually and as special   | CASE NO 2.20 C    | V 1542 ICM DIA                       |
| 10   | ASHLEY RICE, individually and as special administrator of the estate of ROBERT  | CASE NO. 2:20-C   | V-1542-JCM-DJA                       |
| 19   | ASHLEY RICE, individually and as special administrator of the estate of ROBERT WENMAN;  | PROPOSED STIL     | V-1542-JCM-DJA<br>PULATED PROTECTIVE |
|  | administrator of the estate of ROBERT<br>WENMAN;  |                   |                                      |
| 19   | administrator of the estate of ROBERT   | PROPOSED STIL     |                                      |
| 19<br>20<br>21   | administrator of the estate of ROBERT<br>WENMAN;  | PROPOSED STIL     |                                      |
| 19<br>20   | administrator of the estate of ROBERT<br>WENMAN;<br>Plaintiff,<br>vs.   | PROPOSED STIL     |                                      |
| 19<br>20<br>21   | administrator of the estate of ROBERT<br>WENMAN;<br>Plaintiff,<br>vs.<br>CITY OF NORTH LAS VEGAS (CNLV);<br>ROBERT JAMESON, in his individual   | PROPOSED STIL     |                                      |
| 19<br>20<br>21<br>22<br>23   | administrator of the estate of ROBERT<br>WENMAN;<br>Plaintiff,<br>vs.<br>CITY OF NORTH LAS VEGAS (CNLV);<br>ROBERT JAMESON, in his individual<br>capacity; SKYLER LEE, in his official  | PROPOSED STIL     |                                      |
| 19<br>20<br>21<br>22   | administrator of the estate of ROBERT<br>WENMAN;<br>Plaintiff,<br>vs.<br>CITY OF NORTH LAS VEGAS (CNLV);<br>ROBERT JAMESON, in his individual   | PROPOSED STIL     |                                      |
| 19<br>20<br>21<br>22<br>23   | administrator of the estate of ROBERT<br>WENMAN;<br>Plaintiff,<br>vs.<br>CITY OF NORTH LAS VEGAS (CNLV);<br>ROBERT JAMESON, in his individual<br>capacity; SKYLER LEE, in his official<br>capacity; JASON LAWRENCE, in his<br>individual capacity; BARNEY BRUCKEN, in<br>his individual capacity; ANN TAYLOR, in her  | PROPOSED STIL     |                                      |
| <ol> <li>19</li> <li>20</li> <li>21</li> <li>22</li> <li>23</li> <li>24</li> <li>25</li> </ol>                         | administrator of the estate of ROBERT<br>WENMAN;<br>Plaintiff,<br>vs.<br>CITY OF NORTH LAS VEGAS (CNLV);<br>ROBERT JAMESON, in his individual<br>capacity; SKYLER LEE, in his official<br>capacity; JASON LAWRENCE, in his<br>individual capacity; BARNEY BRUCKEN, in<br>his individual capacity; ANN TAYLOR, in her<br>individual capacity; MARK SURANOWITZ,   | PROPOSED STIL     |                                      |
| <ol> <li>19</li> <li>20</li> <li>21</li> <li>22</li> <li>23</li> <li>24</li> <li>25</li> <li>26</li> </ol>             | administrator of the estate of ROBERT<br>WENMAN;<br>Plaintiff,<br>vs.<br>CITY OF NORTH LAS VEGAS (CNLV);<br>ROBERT JAMESON, in his individual<br>capacity; SKYLER LEE, in his official<br>capacity; JASON LAWRENCE, in his<br>individual capacity; BARNEY BRUCKEN, in<br>his individual capacity; ANN TAYLOR, in her<br>individual capacity; MARK SURANOWITZ,<br>in his individual capacity; and DOES 6-10. | PROPOSED STIL     |                                      |
| <ol> <li>19</li> <li>20</li> <li>21</li> <li>22</li> <li>23</li> <li>24</li> <li>25</li> </ol>                         | administrator of the estate of ROBERT<br>WENMAN;<br>Plaintiff,<br>vs.<br>CITY OF NORTH LAS VEGAS (CNLV);<br>ROBERT JAMESON, in his individual<br>capacity; SKYLER LEE, in his official<br>capacity; JASON LAWRENCE, in his<br>individual capacity; BARNEY BRUCKEN, in<br>his individual capacity; ANN TAYLOR, in her<br>individual capacity; MARK SURANOWITZ,   | PROPOSED STIL     |                                      |
| <ol> <li>19</li> <li>20</li> <li>21</li> <li>22</li> <li>23</li> <li>24</li> <li>25</li> <li>26</li> <li>27</li> </ol> | administrator of the estate of ROBERT<br>WENMAN;<br>Plaintiff,<br>vs.<br>CITY OF NORTH LAS VEGAS (CNLV);<br>ROBERT JAMESON, in his individual<br>capacity; SKYLER LEE, in his official<br>capacity; JASON LAWRENCE, in his<br>individual capacity; BARNEY BRUCKEN, in<br>his individual capacity; ANN TAYLOR, in her<br>individual capacity; MARK SURANOWITZ,<br>in his individual capacity; and DOES 6-10. | PROPOSED STIL     |                                      |
| <ol> <li>19</li> <li>20</li> <li>21</li> <li>22</li> <li>23</li> <li>24</li> <li>25</li> <li>26</li> </ol>             | administrator of the estate of ROBERT<br>WENMAN;<br>Plaintiff,<br>vs.<br>CITY OF NORTH LAS VEGAS (CNLV);<br>ROBERT JAMESON, in his individual<br>capacity; SKYLER LEE, in his official<br>capacity; JASON LAWRENCE, in his<br>individual capacity; BARNEY BRUCKEN, in<br>his individual capacity; ANN TAYLOR, in her<br>individual capacity; MARK SURANOWITZ,<br>in his individual capacity; and DOES 6-10. | PROPOSED STIL     |                                      |

1

2

3

### PROPOSED STIPULATED PROTECTIVE ORDER

The parties to this action, by their respective counsel, having agreed to the following, and for good cause shown pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P 26(c)(1), IT IS HEREBY ORDERED as follows:

4

### 1. <u>PURPOSES AND LIMITATIONS.</u>

Disclosure and discovery activity in this action may involve production of confidential,
proprietary, or private information for which special protection from public disclosure may be
warranted pursuant to Rule 26(c)(1) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The parties
acknowledge that this Order does not confer blanket protections on all disclosures or responses to
discovery and that the protection it affords extends only to the limited information or items that
are entitled under law to treatment as confidential.

11

#### 2. <u>SCOPE.</u>

12 All documents produced in the course of discovery, all responses to discovery requests, 13 and all deposition testimony and exhibits and any other materials which may be subject to 14 discovery (hereinafter collectively "Discovery Material") shall be subject to this stipulated protective order concerning confidential information as set forth below. Any party, or any third 15 16 party who produces documents in this litigation, may designate documents as Confidential but 17 only after review of the documents by an attorney who has, in good faith, determined that the 18 documents contain "Confidential Information," as defined below, and pursuant to the procedure 19 set forth below.

20

#### 3. <u>CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION.</u>

"Confidential Information" shall mean information meriting special protection under the
Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and applicable case law. Confidential Information does not
include information that: (a) is in the public domain at the time of disclosure; (b) becomes part of
the public domain through no fault of the Receiving Party; (c) the Receiving Party can show was
in its rightful and lawful possession at the time of disclosure; or (d) the Receiving Party lawfully
receives from a Non-party later without restriction as to disclosure.

27

# 4. <u>OTHER DEFINITIONS.</u>

**28** <u>Party</u>: any party to this action, including all of its officers, directors, agents, and attorney(s) of

1 record for a Party in this action (including their associates, paralegals, and support/ clerical staff). 2 <u>Non-party</u>: any individual, corporation, association, or natural person or entity other than a party. 3 Protected Material: any Discovery Material containing Confidential Information that is designated by a Party or Non-party as "CONFIDENTIAL," unless the Receiving Party challenges the 4 5 confidentiality designation and (a) the Court decides such material is not entitled to protection as confidential; (b) the Designating Party fails to apply the Court for an order designating the 6 7 material confidential within the time period specified below; or (c) the Designating Party 8 withdraws its confidentiality designation in writing. 9 Producing Party: a Party or Non-party that produces Discovery Material in this action. 10 <u>Receiving Party</u>: a Party that receives Discovery Material from a Producing Party. Designating Party: a Party or Non-party that designates Discovery Material as 11 "CONFIDENTIAL". The Party or Non-party designating information or items as Protected 12 13 Material bears the burden of establishing good cause for the confidentiality of all such items. 14 Challenging Party: a party that elects to initiate a challenge to a Designating Party's confidentiality designation. 15 16 5. FORM AND TIMING OF DESIGNATION. 17 Protected Material shall be so designated by the Producing Party by placing or affixing the 18 word "CONFIDENTIAL" on the document in a manner which will not interfere with the legibility 19 of the document and which will permit complete removal of the "Confidential" designation. 20 Documents shall be designated "Confidential" prior to, or contemporaneously with, the production or disclosure of the documents. 21 22 A Designating Party must exercise restraint and make good faith efforts to limit 23 CONFIDENTIAL designations to specific materials that qualify for protection under the 24 appropriate standard. Further, a Designating Party must use good faith efforts to designate for 25 protection only those parts of material, documents, items, or communications that qualify – so that other portions of the materials, documents, items, or communications for which protection is not 26 27 warranted are not swept unjustifiably within the ambit of this Order. If only a portion or portions



28

of materials on a page or within a document merit protection, a Producing Party must so indicate

1 by making appropriate markings in the margins but not over text.

2 A Producing Party that makes original documents or materials available for inspection 3 need not designate them for protection until after the inspecting Party has indicated which material 4 it would like copied and produced. During the inspection and before the designation, all of the 5 material made available for inspection shall be deemed "Confidential." After the inspecting Party has identified the documents it wants copied and produced, the Producing Party must determine 6 7 which documents, or portions thereof, qualify for protection under this Order, and, before 8 producing the specified documents, the Producing Party must affix the appropriate legend on each 9 page that contains Protected Material. If only a portion or portions of the material on a page 10 qualifies for protection, the Producing Party also must clearly identify the protected portion(s) (e.g., by making appropriated markings in the margins or by redacting protected 11 12 portions).

Portions of depositions shall be designated Confidential when the deposition is taken or
within fourteen (14) business days after receipt of the transcript, if feasible. Such designation shall
be specific as to the portions to be protected. A Designating Party must exercise restraint and
make good faith efforts to limit "CONFIDENTIAL" designations to specific materials that qualify
for protection under the appropriate standards.

Inadvertent or unintentional production of Protected Material without prior designation as
"Confidential" shall not be deemed a waiver, in whole or in part, of the right to designate
documents as Protected Material as otherwise allowed by this Order. Further, a Party may assert
that disclosures or discovery material produced by another Party constitute Protected Material by
informing the opposing Party by following the procedures set forth herein for a Designated Party.

23

# 6. <u>Protection of PROTECTED Material.</u>

a. General Protections. Protected Material shall not be used or disclosed by the parties or counsel
for the parties or any other persons identified below (¶ 6.b.) for any purposes whatsoever other
than preparing for and conducting litigation in the above-entitled action (including any appeal).

27 b. Qualified Receiving Parties and Limited Third Party Disclosures. Protected Material shall

28 || be held in confidence by each qualified Receiving Party to whom it is disclosed, shall be used only



# Case 2:20-cv-01542-JCM-DJA Document 26 Filed 03/26/21 Page 5 of 10

for purposes of this action, and shall not be disclosed to any person who is not a qualified
 recipient. All Protected Material shall be carefully maintained so as to preclude access by persons
 who are not qualified Receiving Parties.

| 4  | Subject to these requirements, in addition to Parties and the Court, the following               |  |
|----|--|--|
| 5  | categories of persons may be allowed to review Protected Material pursuant to this Order after   |  |
| 6  | executing an acknowledgment (in the form set forth at Exhibit A hereto), that he or she has read |  |
| 7  | and understands the terms of this Order and is bound by it:                                      |  |
| 8  | (1) Any officers, directors, or designated employees of a Party deemed                           |  |
| 9  | necessary by counsel of record in this action to aid in the prosecution,                         |  |
| 10 | defense, or settlement of this action;   |  |
| 11 | (2) Professional outside vendors for attorneys of record (such as copying                        |  |
| 12 | services and translators and interpreters),  |  |
| 13 | (3) Court reporters, deposition notaries and staff;  |  |
| 14 | (4) The author of any document designated as CONFIDENTIAL or the original                        |  |
| 15 | source of Confidential Information contained therein;  |  |
| 16 | (5) Persons other than legal counsel who have been retained or specially                         |  |
| 17 | employed by a party as an expert witness for purposes of this lawsuit or to                      |  |
| 18 | perform investigative work or fact research;   |  |
| 19 | (6) Deponents during the course of their depositions;  |  |
| 20 | (7) Counsel for issuers of insurance policies under which any issuer may be                      |  |
| 21 | liable to satisfy part or all of a judgment that may be entered in these                         |  |
| 22 | proceedings or indemnify or reimburse payments or costs associated with                          |  |
| 23 | these proceedings;   |  |
| 24 | (8) Any private mediator or arbitrator appointed by the Court or selected by                     |  |
| 25 | mutual agreement of the parties and the mediator or arbitrator's secretarial                     |  |
| 26 | and clerical personnel;  |  |
| 27 | (9) Any other person as to whom the Producing Party has consented to disclosure in advance and   |  |



28

in writing, on notice to each Party hereto.

1 c. Control of Documents. Counsel for Parties shall take reasonable efforts to prevent 2 unauthorized disclosure of Protected Material pursuant to the terms of this Order. No copies of 3 Protected Material shall be made except by or on behalf of attorneys of record, in-house counsel or 4 the parties in this action.

5 d. Copies. Any person making copies of Protected Material shall maintain all copies within their possession or the possession of those entitled to access to such information under the Protective 6 7 Order. All copies shall be immediately affixed with the designation "CONFIDENTIAL" if the 8 word does not already appear on the copy. All such copies shall be afforded the full protection of 9 this Order.

10

### 7. <u>UNAUTHORIZED DISCLOSURE.</u>

If a Receiving Party learns that, by inadvertence or otherwise, it has disclosed Protected 11 Material to any person or in any circumstance not authorized under this Stipulated Protective 12 13 Order, the Receiving Party must immediately (a) notify in writing the Designating Party of the 14 unauthorized disclosures, (b) use its best efforts to retrieve all copies of the Protected Material, (c) 15 inform the person or persons to whom unauthorized disclosures were made of all the terms of this 16 Order, and (d) request such person or persons to execute the "Acknowledgment and Agreement to 17 Be Bound by Stipulated Protective Order" (Exhibit A).

18

28

# 8. <u>Filing PROTECTED Material</u>

19 The Parties shall follow Rule 10-5 of the Local Rules of Practice for the U.S. District 20 Court of Nevada, and must file documents under seal under the Court's electronic filing 21 procedures.

22 Further, the Parties recognize the presumption of public access inherent in judicial records 23 and that a Protective Order does not establish that documents meet the "standard for sealing set 24 forth in Rule 10-5 of the Local Rules of Practice for the U.S. District Court of Nevada and the 25 Ninth Circuit's decisions in Kamakana v. City and County of Honolulu, 447 F.3d 1172 (9th Cir. 2006) and Ctr. for Auto Safety v. Chrysler Group, LLC, 809 F.3d 1092, 1097 (9th Cir. 2016), cert. 26 27 denied sub nom. FCA U.S. LLC v. Ctr. for Auto Safety, 137 S. Ct. 38 (2016). "A party seeking to



'compelling reasons' standard." *Kamakana*, 447 F.3d at 1178. The only exception to this rule is
 that only good cause need be established "for sealed materials attached to a discovery motion
 unrelated to the merits of a case." *Chrysler Group*,809 F. 3d at 1097 (citing *Phillips ex rel. Estates* of *Byrd v. Gen. Motors Corp.*, 307 F.3d 1206, 1213–14 (9th Cir.2002).). Further, the Court should
 make an independent determination regarding whether documents merits sealed status, and thus
 expressly reserves the right to do so. *Kamakana* 447 F.3d at 1186-87.

7 In recognition of this legal standard, and the fact that the party filing Protected Material 8 may not be the party that designated it confidential (and thus, may not believe good cause exists 9 for sealing), the Parties suggest that the procedure set forth below is followed if the sole ground 10 for a motion to seal is that the opposing party (or non-party) has designated a document as subject to protection pursuant to this Stipulated Protective Order: The Party filing such Protected 11 12 Materials may assert in the accompanying motion any reasons why the Protected Materials should 13 not, in fact, be kept under seal and the Designating Party, who must be properly noticed, may 14 likewise file a response asserting its position that the Protected Material merits protection under 15 Rule 26(c) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and attaching a declaration supporting the 16 assertion that the designated material meets the applicable standard.

17

# 9. Challenges to PROTECTED MATERIAL.

18 Any designation of Protected Material is subject to challenge. The following procedures19 shall apply to any such challenge:

a. Burden. The burden of proving the necessity of a "CONFIDENTIAL" designation remains
with the party asserting confidentiality.

b. Notice; Opportunity to Challenge. A party who contends that Protected Material is not
entitled to confidential treatment shall give written notice to the party who affixed the
"CONFIDENTIAL" designation of the specific basis for the challenge. The party who so

- 25 designated the documents shall have ten (10) days from service of the written notice to determine
- **26** if the dispute can be resolved without judicial intervention and, if not, to move for an Order
- 27 confirming the "CONFIDENTIAL" designation, and the status as Protected Material.



challenge to the designation of documents as such, all material previously designated
 "CONFIDENTIAL" shall continue to be treated as Protected Material subject to the full
 protections of this Order until one of the following occurs: (1) the Party who claims that the
 documents are Protected Material withdraws such designation in writing; (2) the Party who claims
 that the documents are confidential fails to move timely for an Order designating the documents as
 confidential as set forth in paragraph 9.b. above; or (3) the Court rules that the documents are not
 Protected Material and/or should no longer be designated as "Confidential."

8 d. No Waiver. Challenges to the confidentiality of documents may be made at any time and are
9 not waived by the failure to raise the challenge at the time of initial disclosure or designation.

10

#### 10. DURATION; Conclusion of Litigation.

11 All provisions of this Order restricting the use of Protected Material shall continue to be binding after the conclusion of the litigation unless otherwise agreed or ordered. However, the 12 13 dismissal of this action will terminate the jurisdiction of this Court, including over this Order. 14 Within sixty (60) days of the final termination of in the above-entitled action, which would be 15 either a final judgment on all claims or stipulation and order for dismissal with prejudice, all 16 documents and information designated as CONFIDENTIAL by a Designating Party and which has 17 not been challenged, including any copies, or documents containing information taken therefrom, 18 shall be returned to the Designating Party. In the alternative, within sixty (60) days of the final 19 termination of this case, which would be either a final judgment on all claims or stipulation and 20 order for dismissal with prejudice, all such documents, including copies, may be shredded or 21 disposed of in a manner to ensure the destruction thereof and a declaration certifying such destruction or disposal provided to the Designating Party. To the extent a party has designated 22 23 portions of a deposition transcript as CONFIDENTIAL, the non-designating party is under no 24 obligation or duty to shred or dispose of the deposition transcript, however, the CONFIDENTIAL 25 designation will remain.

- 26
- 27

28

# 11. <u>PROTECTED MATERIAL SUBPOENAED OR ORDERED PRODUCED</u> IN OTHER LITIGATION.

If a Party is served with a subpoena or an order issued in other litigation that would compel

disclosure of Protected Material designated by another Party or Non-party, the Party must so
 notify the Designating Party, in writing (by e-mail or fax, if possible) within three (3) court days
 after receiving the subpoena or order. Such notification must include a copy of the subpoena or
 court order.

5

# 12. ORDER SUBJECT TO MODIFICATION.

6 This Order shall be subject to modification on motion of any Party or any other person
7 who may show an adequate interest in in the above-entitled action to intervene for purposes of
8 addressing the scope and terms of this Order. The Order shall not, however, be modified until the
9 Parties shall have been given notice and an opportunity to be heard on the proposed modification.

10

#### 13. NO JUDICIAL DETERMINATION.

This Order is entered based on the representations and agreements of the Parties and for
the purpose of facilitating discovery. Nothing herein shall be construed or presented as a judicial
determination that any specific document or item of information designated as CONFIDENTIAL
by counsel is subject to protection under Rule 26(c) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure or
otherwise until such time as a document-specific ruling shall have been made.

16

#### 14. MISCELLANEOUS.

a. Public Health and Safety. Nothing in this Order is intended to prevent any Party from
raising with the Court any concern that the non-disclosure of certain Protected Material may have
a possible adverse effect upon the general public health or safety, or the administration or
operation of government or public office.

b. Right to Further Relief. Nothing is this Order abridges the right of any person to seek
its modification by the Court in the future.

c. Right to Assert Other Objections. By stipulating to the entry of this Order, no Party
waives any right it otherwise would have to object to disclosing or producing any information or
item on any ground not addressed in this Order. Similarly, no Party waives any right to object on
any ground to use in evidence of any of the material covered by this Protective Order.

27

# 15. <u>PERSONS BOUND UPON ENTRY OF ORDER.</u>

28 This Order shall take effect when entered and shall be immediately binding upon the Parties (as

|    | Case 2:20-cv-01542-JCM-DJA Docum                                       | ent 26 Filed 03/26/21 Page 10 of 10                                    |  |
|----|--|--|--|
| 1  | defined herein).   |  |  |
| 2  | IT IS SO STIPULATED.   |  |  |
| 3  | DATED the $26^{th}$ day of March, 2021.                                | DATED the $26^{\text{th}}$ day of March, 2021.                         |  |
| 4  | PETER GOLDSTEIN LAW CORP   | LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP                                    |  |
| 5  | /v/ Peter Goldstein  | / Robert W Freeman   |  |
| 6  | <u>_/s/ Peter Goldsteín_</u><br>Peter Goldstein<br>Nevada Bar No. 6992 | /s/ Robert W. Freeman<br>Robert W. Freeman,<br>Nevada Bar Number: 3062 |  |
| 7  | 10161 Park Run Drive, Ste 150<br>Las Vegas, NV, 89145                  | 6385 S. Rainbow Boulevard, Suite 600<br>Las Vegas, NV 89118            |  |
| 8  | Attorney for Plaintiff   | Attorneys for Defendants   |  |
| 9  | <u>ORDER</u>   |  |  |
| 10 | IT IS SO ORDERED.  | ORDER  |  |
| 11 |  |  |  |
| 12 | DATED this 29th day of March, 2021.                                    |  |  |
| 13 | IL TRO   |  |  |
| 14 | DANIEL J. ALBREGTS   |  |  |
| 15 | UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE   |  |  |
| 16 |  |  |  |
| 17 |  |  |  |
| 18 |  |  |  |
| 19 |  |  |  |
| 20 |  |  |  |
| 21 |  |  |  |
| 22 |  |  |  |
| 23 |  |  |  |
| 24 |  |  |  |
| 25 |  |  |  |
| 26 |  |  |  |
| 27 |  |  |  |
| 28 |  |  |  |
|    |  |  |  |
|    | 4829-2672-0737.1   | 10   |  |

LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP ATTORNEYS AT LAW