

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA

COREY D. CHRISTENSEN,

Plaintiff

v.

ROCHELLE T. NGUYEN and MATTHEW
LAY,

Defendants

Case No.: 2:20-cv-01770-APG-DJA

**Order Accepting Report and
Recommendation and Dismissing Case
Without Prejudice**

[ECF No. 8]

On December 3, 2020, Magistrate Judge Albregts recommended that I dismiss this case without prejudice because plaintiff Corey Christensen did not file an amended complaint by the given deadline. ECF No. 8. Christensen did not object. Thus, I am not obligated to conduct a de novo review of the report and recommendation. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1) (requiring district courts to “make a de novo determination of those portions of the report or specified proposed findings to which objection is made”); *United States v. Reyna-Tapia*, 328 F.3d 1114, 1121 (9th Cir. 2003) (en banc) (“the district judge must review the magistrate judge’s findings and recommendations de novo *if objection is made*, but not otherwise” (emphasis in original)).

I THEREFORE ORDER that Magistrate Judge Albregts’ report and recommendation (ECF No. 8) is accepted and this case is dismissed without prejudice. The clerk of court is instructed to close this case.

DATED this 6th day of January, 2021.



ANDREW P. GORDON
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE