Las Vegas, Nevada 89145 382-0711 FAX: (702) 382-5816 0001 Park Run Driv

Marquis	Aurbach	Coffing

1

5

6

7

8

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

(702) 17

Craig R. Anderson, Esq. 2 Nevada Bar No. 6882 10001 Park Run Drive Las Vegas, Nevada 89145 Telephone: (702) 382-0711 4 Facsimile: (702) 382-5816 canderson@maclaw.com

Attorneys for Defendants LVMPD, Sgt. Kenton and Ofc. Gunn

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

Case 2:20-cv-01859-JCM-BNW Document 23 Filed 08/24/21 Page 1 of 6

DISTRICT OF NEVADA

GERALD ELMER NAPOUK, individually and as Co-Special Administrator of the Estate of LLOYD GERALD NAPOUK; MARY NAPOUK, individually and as Co-Special Administrator of the Estate of LLOYD GERALD NAPOUK and FREDERICK WAID, as Co-Special Administrator of the Estate of LLOYD GERALD NAPOUK,

Plaintiffs,

VS.

LAS VEGAS METROPOLITAN POLICE DEPARTMENT: BUFORD KENTON: CAMERAN GUNN and DOES 1-10, inclusive,

Defendants.

Case Number: 2:20-cv-01859-JCM-BNW

STIPULATION AND ORDER TO EXTEND DISCOVERY (SECOND REQUEST)

Pursuant to LR 6-1 and LR 26-4, Plaintiffs, by and through their counsel of record, Peter Goldstein, Esq. of Peter Goldstein Law Corp. and Defendants, by and through their counsel of record, Craig R. Anderson, Esq. of Marquis Aurbach Coffing, hereby stipulate and request that this Court extend discovery deadlines in the above-captioned matter sixtyone (61) days, up to and including November 8, 2021. In support of this stipulation and request, the parties state as follows:

PROCEDURAL HISTORY

1. On October 5, 2020, the Plaintiffs filed their Complaint. ECF No. 1.

		9	12
43	45	382-581	13
Park Run Drive	ada 8914	(702)	14
Park R	as, Nevi	FAX	15
8	as Veg	82-07	16
	_	(702) 3	17

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

14

16 l

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

MAKQUIS AUKBACH COFFING	10001 Park Run Drive	Las Vegas, Nevada 89145	(702) 382-0711 EAV: (702) 382 6816
4			

2.	On	December	10,	2020,	the	LVMPD	Defendants	filed	their	Answer	to
Plaintiffs' Co	mnlai	int ECE N	7								

- On January 6, 2021, this Court entered the Discovery Plan and Scheduling 3. Order. ECF No. 10.
- 4. On March 16, 2021, Plaintiffs filed their First Amended Complaint. ECF No. 16.

II. DISCOVERY COMPLETED TO DATE

- 1. The parties participated in the FRCP 26 conference on December 18, 2020.
- 2. On January 6, 2021, the Court entered the Discovery Plan and Scheduling Order. ECF No. 10.
- 3. On December 18, 2020, the Plaintiffs served their Initial Disclosures of Witnesses and Documents pursuant to FRCP 26.
- 4. On January 6, 2021, the LVMPD Defendants served their Initial Disclosures of Witnesses and Documents pursuant to FRCP 26.
- 5. On February 24, 2021, the LVMPD Defendants served written discovery on all named Plaintiffs.
- 6. On March 3, 2021, the Plaintiffs served written discovery on all named Defendants.
- 7. On March 8, 2021, the LVMPD Defendants served their First Supplemental Disclosure of Witnesses and Documents on Plaintiffs.
- 8. On March 16, 2021, Plaintiffs filed their First Amended Complaint. ECF No. 16.
- 9. On March 29, 2021, the Plaintiffs served their First Supplemental Disclosure Statement.
- 10. On April 2 and 6, 2021, the LVMPD Defendants responded to Plaintiffs' discovery requests.
- On April 8, 2021, the LVMPD Defendants served their Second Supplemental 11. Disclosure Statement.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

18

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

12.	On April 6	, 2021, t	he	Plaintiffs	served	additional	written	discovery	on	the
LVMPD Defer	ndants.									

- 13. On April 14, 2021, the Plaintiffs responded to written discovery.
- 14. On April 15, 2021, the Plaintiffs served their Second Supplemental Disclosure Statement.
- 15. On April 21, 2021, the LVMPD Defendants served their Third Supplemental Disclosure Statement.
- 16. On May 24, 2021, the Plaintiffs served additional written discovery on the LVMPD Defendants.
- 17. On May 27, 2021, the LVMPD Defendants responded to Plaintiffs' discovery requests.
- 18. On May 27, 2021, the LVMPD Defendants served their Fourth Supplemental Disclosure Statement.
- 19. On June 3, 2021, the LVMPD Defendants served Plaintiffs with additional discovery requests.
- 20. On June 18 and 28, 2021, the LVMPD Defendants responded to Plaintiffs' discovery requests.
 - 21. On June 23, 2021, the Plaintiffs took the deposition of Defendant Ofc. Gunn.
- 22. On June 30, 2021, the Plaintiffs took the deposition of Defendant Ofc. Kenton.
- On July 14, 2021, the Plaintiffs served their Third Supplemental Disclosure 23. Statement.
 - 24. On July 29, 2021, the Plaintiffs served their Expert Disclosure.
 - 25. On July 30, 2021, the LVMPD Defendants served their Expert Disclosure.
- 26. On August 11, 2021, the LVMPD Defendants took the depositions of Plaintiffs Mary Napouk and Gerald Napouk.
- 27. On August 19, 2021, the LVMPD Defendants served their Fifth Supplemental Disclosure Statement.

III. WHY REMAINING DISCOVERY HAS NOT BEEN COMPLETED

Discovery in this case is essentially complete. The only discovery that remains is: (1) both parties need to take expert depositions and (2) plaintiff has noticed Rule 30(b)(6) depositions.

The parties attempted to complete the above-discovery prior to the current discovery cut-off. However, because both experts are out-of-state, scheduling has been difficult. Also, a dispute arose regarding payment of one of the experts that took several days to resolve (which the parties were able to do without court intervention). In addition, plaintiffs' Rule 30(b)(6) notice listed numerous topics that will require a minimum of three witnesses and three separate depositions. The parties have held meet-and-confers on the scope and nature of the Rule 30(b)(6) depositions and have reached resolution.

Finally, plaintiffs' counsel and defense counsel have an unrelated case against one another that is set for trial September 5, 2021 in the Eighth Judicial District Court. Preparation and actual trial of that case makes the scheduling of the remaining depositions difficult before the September 8, 2021 cut-off.

IV. <u>REMAINING DISCOVERY</u>

- 1. The Plaintiffs intend to take the depositions of defendants' Rule 30(b)(6) witnesses (minimum of three deponents).
 - 2. Both parties need to take expert depositions.

V. <u>EXTENSION OR MODIFICATION OF THE DISCOVERY PLAN AND SCHEDULING ORDER</u>

LR 26-4 governs modifications of extensions of the Discovery Plan and Scheduling Order. Any stipulation or motion must be made no later than twenty-one (21) days before the expiration of the subject deadline, and comply fully with LR 26-4. The parties acknowledge that they are submitting this request less than twenty-one (21) days before the expert deadline disclosure. The parties attempted to schedule and complete the remaining discovery but just recently realized that completion would be difficult due to the experts' limited availability counsels' September 5, 2021 trial date in an unrelated matter.

Good faith exists for this delay because discovery is necessary for the parties to properly prepare for dispositive motions and trial. Therefore, the parties respectfully request that the modification of a scheduling order be granted. The following is a list of the current discovery deadlines and the parties' proposed extended deadlines.

Scheduled Event	Current Deadline	Proposed Deadline
Expert Disclosures Pursuant to FRCP 26(a)(2)	Passed	
Rebuttal Expert Disclosures Pursuant to FRCP 26(a)(2)	Passed	85
Discovery Cut-Off	September 8, 2021	November 8, 2021
Dispositive motion deadline	October 8, 2021	December 8, 2021
Joint Pre-Trial Order	November 5, 2021	January 5, 2022 (if dispositive motions are filed the deadline for filing the joint pre-trial order will be suspended until 30 days after a decision on the dispositive motions or further court order)

This request for extension of time is not sought for any improper purpose or for purposes of delay. The parties are in constant communication with one another and are actively attempting to coordinate schedules to complete discovery. All known documents have been disclosed or are being disclosed in this case. Therefore, the parties respectfully submit that the reasons set forth above constitute compelling reasons for the discovery extension.

///

23 ///

25 ///

WHEREFORE, the parties respectfully request that this court extend the discovery dates as outlined in accordance with the table above.

IT IS SO STIPULATED this 20th day of August, 2021.

MARQUIS AURBACH COFFING

PETER GOLDSTEIN LAW CORP.

By:	s/Craig R. Anderson
•	Craig R. Anderson, Esq.
	Nevada Bar No. 6882
	10001 Park Run Drive
	Las Vegas, Nevada 89145
	Attorney for Defendants LVMPD, Sgt.
	Kenton and Ofc. Gunn

By: s/Peter Goldstein
Peter Goldstein, Esq.
Nevada Bar No. 6992
10161 Park Run Drive, Ste. 150
Las Vegas, Nevada 89145
Attorney for Plaintiffs

Order

IT IS SO ORDERED

DATED: 3:18 pm, August 23, 2021

BRENDA WEKSLER

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE