

1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2 DISTRICT OF NEVADA

3 THOMAS W. CURTIS,

4 Plaintiff

5 v.

6 THE HUGHES CORPORATION,

7 Defendant

Case No.: 2:20-cv-02133-APG-DJA

**Order Accepting Report and
Recommendation**

[ECF No. 3]

8 On December 17, 2020, Magistrate Judge Albregts recommended that I dismiss plaintiff
9 Thomas Curtis's complaint with prejudice because the complaint is frivolous. ECF No. 3. He
10 also recommends that I deny as moot Curtis's application to proceed in forma pauperis. *Id.*
11 Curtis did not object. Thus, I am not obligated to conduct a de novo review of the report and
12 recommendation. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1) (requiring district courts to "make a de novo
13 determination of those portions of the report or specified proposed findings to which objection is
14 made"); *United States v. Reyna-Tapia*, 328 F.3d 1114, 1121 (9th Cir. 2003) (en banc) ("the
15 district judge must review the magistrate judge's findings and recommendations de novo *if*
16 *objection is made*, but not otherwise" (emphasis in original)).

17 I THEREFORE ORDER that Magistrate Judge Albregts' report and recommendation
18 (ECF No. 3) is accepted, plaintiff Thomas Curtis's complaint (ECF No. 1-1) is DISMISSED, and
19 his application to proceed in forma pauperis (ECF No. 1) is DENIED as moot. The clerk of
20 court is instructed to close this case.

21 DATED this 8th day of January, 2021.

22 

23 ANDREW P. GORDON
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE