Vandecar v. Dar			Doc. 77
	Case 2:20-cv-02150-ART-BNW Document 77	Filed 03/29/23 Page 1 of 6	
1	ANDRÉA L. VIEIRA, ESQ.		
2	Nevada Bar No. 15667		
	I HE VIEIKA FIRM		
	Las Vegas, Nevada 89101		
2	Telephone: (702) 820-5853		
4	Facsimile: (702) 820-5836 Andrea@TheVieiraFirm.com		
ť			
	7 8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEVADA		
3			
ç	ROSEMARY VANDECAR, an Individual;		
10	Plaintiff,	CASE NO.: 2:20-cv-02150-ART-BNV	v
11	VS.		
12			
to East	GARCIA-NAJERA, an Individual; RONALD		
i Ju	L 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 NICOLE HOLSTON L 1 - 1 - 1		
d Socie	DWIGHT NEVEN, an Individual; DARIO		
ts and the state of the state o			
law firr	Individual; VELASCO, an Individual; KARISSA CURRIER, an Individual; C. McGOWAN, an		
	Individual; MARQUISE L. FRANKLIN, an		
18	Individual; JAMES BAUMGRAS, an Individual; RIVERA, an Individual; E. ROJAS, an Individual;		
19	ANDREW TRUJILLO, an Individual; NEVADA		
	DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS, a political		
20			
21	Defendants.		
22	MOTION TO EXTEND TIME FOR SERVICE		
23	(Second Request)		
24	Plaintiff, ROSEMARY VANDECAR ("Plaintiff"), through her counsel of record, Andréa		
25	L. Vieira, Esq. of THE VIEIRA FIRM, PLLC hereby respectfully moves this Court for an		
26		extension of time to serve Plaintiff's Third Amended Complaint (ECF No. 42). This is the second	
27	motion to extend time for service.		
28	This Motion is made and based on FRCP 4(m), the pleadings and papers on file herein,		
20	the following Memorandum of Points and Authorities, the Declaration of Andréa L. Vieira, Esq.		
			1

1 and any exhibits thereto, and any argument this Court may allow at the time of hearing.

2

3 I.

5

11

12

MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES

RELEVANT PROCEDURAL HISTORY

4 This is an inmate civil rights matter brought pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. Plaintiff, Rosemary Vandecar, filed a complaint in proper person on July 7, 2021.¹

6 On March 10, 2022, an Acceptance of Service on behalf of Defendants Dario Sanchez, 7 A Trujillo, and Harold Wickham was filed.²

8 On June 27, 2022, this Court entered an order that allowed Plaintiff to file a Second 9 Amended Complaint by September 30, 2022.³ On July 8, 2022, undersigned counsel was 10 appointed.⁴

On September 30, 2022, the Second Amended Complaint was filed.⁵ Thereafter, the parties entered into a Stipulation to File Third Amended Complaint and Set Deadlines to File Notice of Acceptance of Service and Answer.⁶ On October 21, 2022, this Court entered an Order approving the parties' stipulation.⁷

Among other things, this Court's Order required that Defendants file under seal, with service to Plaintiff's counsel, the last known address for any of the named defendants for whom the Office of the Attorney General ("OAG") could accept service.⁸

18 The Third Amended Complaint was filed on October 31, 2022.⁹ On November 21, 2022, 19 Defendants filed their Acceptance of Service¹⁰ (accepting service for every defendant except C 20 McGowan, Maritza Velasco-Avila, Luis Rivera, and Edison Rojas) as well as their Notice of 21 Under Seal Submission.¹¹

- 22
- 23 ¹ ECF No. 7 24 ² ECF No. 24 ³ ECF No. 36 25 ECF No. 37 ⁵ ECF No. 39 26 ⁶ ECF No. 40 ⁷ ECF No. 41 27 ⁸ ECF No. 41, 2:3-8. 9 ECF No. 42 28 ¹⁰ ECF No. 43 and 44 ¹¹ ECF No. 44



The summons for each the remaining defendants for which defense counsel did not accept service were issued on December 21, 2022.¹² On December 27, 2022, Plaintiff requested an additional 90 days to serve defendants Maritza Velasco-Avila, Luis Rivera, and Edison Rojas.¹³ Plaintiff's request was granted on December 28, 2022, and the deadline to serve was extended until March 29, 2023.¹⁴

Plaintiff's counsel sent service waivers to all three remaining defendants on January 5,
2023.¹⁵ None of the service waivers were returned.¹⁶ Plaintiff's counsel subsequently hired a
private investigator, Robert Lawson, to locate and serve the remaining defendants.¹⁷ Mr. Lawson
was able to locate and serve Defendant Maritza Velasco-Avila on March 14, 2023¹⁸ and
Defendant Luis Rivera on March 15, 2023.¹⁹

Mr. Lawson was also able to locate Defendant Edison Rojas, who is now living in
 Nebraska and working for the Nebraska Department of Corrections.²⁰

Plaintiff respectfully requests an additional 30 days to serve Defendant Edison Rojas in Nebraska where he now lives and works.

II. LAW AND ARGUMENT

A complaint must be served within 90 days, but if the plaintiff shows good cause for the failure, the court must extend the time for service for an appropriate period.²¹

FRCP 4(m) *requires* a district court to grant an extension of time when the plaintiff shows
 good cause for the delay and permits the district court to grant an extension in the absence of
 good cause.²² Good cause is not a rigorous standard which federal courts construe "broadly across
 procedural and statutory contexts."²³ Further, requests for an extension beyond the expiration of

- 23 $||_{12}$ ECF No. 58
- 24 ¹³ ECF No. 59
- $\begin{bmatrix} 4 \\ \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 14 \\ 15 \end{bmatrix}$ ECF No. 60
- $25 ||_{16}^{15} \frac{\text{ECF}}{Id}$
- 17 Id.
- $26 ||_{18} ECF No. 71$
- 27 1^{9} ECF No. 72
- 2^{7} || 2^{0} ECF No. 74 2^{1} FRCP 4(m)

28 ²² *Efaw v. Williams*, 473 F.3d 1038, 1040 (9th Cir. 2007) (emphasis in original) ²³ *Ahanchian v. Xenon Pictures, Inc.*, 624 F.3d 1253, 1259 (9th Cir. 2010)



1 a deadline should be granted unless there is bad faith on the part of the party seeking relief or
2 there is prejudice to the adverse party.²⁴

When exercising its discretion under FRCP 4(m), a district court may consider factors like
a statute of limitations bar, prejudice to the defendant, actual notice of a lawsuit, and eventual
service.²⁵

Here, good cause exists to extend the deadline for service for an additional 30 days because due to Plaintiff's diligence two of the three defendants remaining to be served have been served, and the remaining defendant has been located out of state. Plaintiff attempted to mitigate service issues by sending each remaining Defendant a service waiver, but the Defendants failed to return the executed waiver.

Defendants will not be prejudiced by an additional 30-day extension of time to serve Defendant Edison Rojas *only* as it is believed that he has actual notice of this lawsuit. As part of the parties' stipulation, Defense counsel requested time to contact each defendant to obtain for permission to accept service on their behalf. Defendant Rojas is one of the defendants for whom defense counsel did not receive a request for representation.²⁶ Therefore, Defendants Rojas has presumably been made aware of this lawsuit via the Office of the Attorney General yet declined representation. An extension of time will allow time for Plaintiff serve Defendant Rojas in Nebraska, where he has been located and is confirmed as owning a home and working for the Nebraska Department of Corrections.

Last, Plaintiff's counsel has already conferred with defense counsel, Randall Gilmer,
from the OAG who stated that he takes no position to Plaintiff's request and no opposition will
be filed.²⁷

- 23 || / / /
- 24 || / / /
- 25 || / / /
- 26
- 27 $||_{24}^{24}$ Id.
- 28 25 *Efaw v. Williams*, 473 F.3d 1038, 1041 (9th Cir. 2007) 26 *See* ECF No. 41, 1:26 – 2:2. 27 ECF No. 76

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

18

19

III. CONCLUSION

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Based on the foregoing, Plaintiff respectfully requests an additional 30-day extension of time to serve Defendant Edison Rojas.

DATED this <u>28th</u> day of March, 2023.

THE VIEIRA FIRM

By: /s/ Andréa L. Vieira ANDRÉA L. VIEIRA, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 15667 400 S. 7th St., Ste. 400 Las Vegas, Nevada 89101 Telephone: (702) 820-5853 Facsimile: (702) 820-5836 <u>Andrea@TheVieiraFirm.com</u> *Court Appointed Pro Bono Attorney for Plaintiff*

<u>ORDER</u>

IT IS SO ORDERED

DATED: 6:06 pm, March 29, 2023

-bucken

BRENDA WEKSLER UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

	Case 2:20-cv-02150-ART-BNW Document 77 Filed 03/29/23 Page 6 of 6			
1	CEDTIFICATE OF SEDVICE			
2	<u>CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE</u>			
3	Pursuant to FRCP 5 and LR IC 1-1, I hereby certify that I served a true and correct copy			
4	of the foregoing MOTION TO EXTEND TIME FOR SERVICE (Second Request) on the			
5	<u>28th</u> day of March, 2023, upon the all parties registered for e-service in the service list of this matter on the court's electronic filing system on if the document is one that will not be filed			
6	matter on the court's electronic filing system, or if the document is one that will not be filed			
	electronically pursuant to LR IC 1-1(c), via U.S. Mail postage fully prepaid, addressed to each			
7	party as follows:			
8	AARON D. FORD, ESQ.			
9	Attorney General TAYLOR M. L. RIVICH, ESQ.			
10	Nevada Bar No. 15991 Deputy Attorney General			
11	OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL 555 E. Washington Ave., Ste. 3900 Las Vegas, Nevada 89101			
12				
13	Phone: (702) 486-3326 Fax: (702) 486-3773 Email: <u>trivich@ag.nv.gov</u>			
14				
15	Attorney for Defendants			
16				
17				
18				
19	/s/ Andréa Vieira			
20	An Employee of THE VIEIRA FIRM, PLLC			
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				
26				
27				
28				

I civil Rights and Social Justice