

CHAD RYAN STARK,	)	
	)	
Plaintiff,	)	Case No.: 2:21-cv-00220-GMN-BNW
vs.	)	
	)	<b>ORDER</b>
STATE OF NEVADA, <i>et al.</i> ,	)	
	)	
Defendants.	)	
	)	

A party may file specific written objections to the findings and recommendations of a United States Magistrate Judge made pursuant to Local Rule IB 1-4. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B); D. Nev. R. IB 3-2. Upon the filing of such objections, the Court must make a de novo determination of those portions to which objections are made. *Id.* The Court may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the findings or recommendations made by the Magistrate Judge. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); D. Nev. IB 3-2(b). Where a party fails to object, however, the Court is not required to conduct “any review at all . . . of any issue that is not the subject of an objection.” *Thomas v. Arn*, 474 U.S. 140, 149 (1985). Indeed, the Ninth Circuit has recognized that a district court is not required to review a magistrate judge’s report and recommendation

1 where no objections have been filed. *See, e.g., United States v. Reyna-Tapia*, 328 F.3d 1114,  
2 1122 (9th Cir. 2003).

3 Here, no objections were filed, and the deadline to do so has passed (*See* Report and  
4 Recommendation, ECF No. 8).

5 Accordingly,


6 **IT IS HEREBY ORDERED** that the Report and Recommendation, (ECF No. 8), is  
7 **ACCEPTED and ADOPTED** in full.

8 **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that Plaintiff's case is **DISMISSED without prejudice**.

9 The Clerk of Court shall close this case and enter judgment accordingly.

10 **DATED** this 7 day of June, 2020.

11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25



---

Gloria M. Navarro, District Judge  
United States District Court