| 1 2 | D. Matthew Moscon (<i>admitted pro hac vice</i>) Malori McGill Fery (<i>admitted pro hac vice</i>) MAYER BROWN LLP | | | | |
|----------|---|--|--|--|--|
| 3 | 201 South Main Street, Suite 1100 Salt Lake City, UT 84111 | | | | |
| 4 | Telephone: (801) 907-2703 mmoscon@mayerbrown.com mmcgillfery@mayerbrown.com | | | | |
| 5 | Nancy G. Ross (admitted pro hac vice) | | | | |
| 6 | MAYER BROWN LLP 71 South Wacker Drive | | | | |
| 7 | Chicago, IL 60606 Telephone: (312) 782-0600 | | | | |
| 8 9 | nross@mayerbrown.com | | | | |
| 10 | Alex C. Lakatos (<i>admitted pro hac vice</i>) MAYER BROWN LLP 1999 K Street N.W. | | | | |
| 11 | Washington, DC 20006-1101 | | | | |
| 12 | Telephone: (202) 263-3000 alakatos@mayerbrown.com | | | | |
| 13 | Patrick H. Hicks, Esq. Bar. No. 004632 Diana G. Dickinson, Esq. Bar No. 13477 | | | | |
| 14 | LITTLER MENDELSÓN P.C. 3960 Howard Hughes Parkway, Suite 300 | | | | |
| 15 | Las Vegas, NV 89169-5937 Telephone: (702) 862-8800 phicks@littler.com | | | | |
| 16 17 | ddickinson@littler.com | | | | |
| 18 | Attorneys for Defendants Caesars Holdings, Inc., the Plan Investment Committee, and the 401(k) Plan Committee | | | | |
| 19 | | | | | |
| 20 | UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEVADA | | | | |
| 21 22 | Danny Wanek, Juan Duarte, and Rick | Case No. 2:21-cv-00961-CDS-BNW | | | |
| 22 | Ruberton, as representatives of a class of similarly situated persons, on behalf of the Caesars Entertainment Corporation Savings | STIPULATION REGARDING DEADLINE FOR SUMMARY | | | |
| 24 | & Retirement Plan, | JUDGMENT MOTIONS | | | |
| 25 | Plaintiffs, v. | (SECOND REQUEST REGARDING SUBJECT DEADLINE) | | | |
| 26 | Russell Investments Trust Company, | | | | |
| 27 | Caesars Holding, Inc., the Plan Investment Committee, and the 401(k) Plan Committee, | | | | |
| 28 | Defendants. | | | | |
| | STIPULATION REGARDING DEADLINE FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION CASE NO. 2:21-CV-0096 | | | | |

| 1 | WHEREAS, the Court issued the original Scheduling Order in this case on October 12, |
|----|---|
| 2 | 2021 (ECF No. 63), which listed placeholder dates to be adjusted based on the timing of the |
| 3 | Court's decision on Defendants' then-pending Motions to Dismiss; |
| 4 | WHEREAS, the Court issued an Amended Scheduling Order on April 20, 2023 (ECF No. |
| 5 | 115) listing specific dates for each deadline; |
| 6 | WHEREAS, on December 29, 2023, the parties jointly requested (ECF No. 149) that the |
| 7 | Court extend the deadlines due to voluminous document productions and to allow additional time |
| 8 | to coordinate the schedules of the multiple parties required to conduct numerous fact witness |
| 9 | depositions; |
| 10 | WHEREAS, the Court granted the parties' request on January 2, 2024 (ECF No. 150) and |
| 11 | entered an Amended Scheduling Order; |
| 12 | WHEREAS, on August 16, 2024, the parties filed (ECF No. 189) a stipulated request to |
| 13 | extend the deadline for expert discovery from September 6, 2024 to September 27, 2024 to allow |
| 14 | additional time to coordinate the schedules of the multiple parties required to conduct the |
| 15 | depositions of six expert witnesses; |
| 16 | WHEREAS, the Court granted the parties' stipulation to extend the expert discovery |
| 17 | deadline on August 20, 2024 (ECF No. 190); |
| 18 | WHEREAS, the current deadline for any party to file a motion for summary judgment is |
| 19 | September 16, 2024, which falls approximately two weeks before the deadline for expert |
| 20 | discovery; |
| 21 | WHEREAS, when an existing motion deadline "cannot reasonably be met despite the |
| 22 | [parties'] diligence," good cause exists to extend the deadline, Johnson v. Mammoth Recs., Inc., |
| 23 | 975 F.2d 604, 609 (9th Cir. 1992), and "[t]he proper procedure, when additional time for any |
| 24 | purpose is needed is to present the Court a timely request for an extension before the time fixed |
| 25 | has expired," Kinford v. Moyle, 2021 WL 3021150, at *2 (D. Nev. July 15, 2021) (quoting Canup |
| 26 | v. Miss. Valley Barge Line Co., 31 F.R.D. 282, 283 (D. Pa. 1962)). |
| 27 | |
| 28 | -1- |
| | |

WHEREAS, the parties timely request an extension on the deadline to file motions for
summary judgment to allow adequate time to prepare such motions upon concluding expert
discovery and to conduct such matters as obtaining the transcripts of all expert depositions,
analyzing those transcripts, and adequately preparing their statement(s) of genuinely undisputed
materials facts and accompanying motion(s) with the benefit of expert discovery;

6 WHEREAS, although the current scheduling order does not set deadlines for a party's
7 response or reply to a motion for summary judgment, the parties also respectfully request an
8 extension on the deadlines to file responses and replies to any motion for summary judgment
9 beyond those established by Local Rule 7-2(b) to account for the substantial amount of fact and
10 expert discovery that will affect such responses and replies;

WHEREAS, there is good cause to reasonably extend the deadline to file motions for
summary judgment and the deadlines to file responses and replies to any motion for summary
judgment and this request is not the result of unnecessary delay or a lack of diligence by any
party; and

WHEREAS, this is the second request for an extension of time affecting the deadline for
motions for summary judgment and the first request for an extension of time affecting the
deadlines for responses and replies to motions for summary judgment;

18 IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED, pursuant to Local Rules IA 6-1 and 2619 3 and subject to the approval of the Court, that the briefing schedule for summary judgment be
20 extended as follows:

| 21 | Event | Existing Date | Proposed Date |
|----|---|--------------------|-------------------|
| 22 | Deadline to file motions for | September 16, 2024 | November 22, 2024 |
| 23 | summary judgment Deadline to file responses to | October 7, 2024 | December 20, 2024 |
| 24 | motions for summary judgment | | |
| 25 | Deadline to file replies to | October 21, 2024 | January 17, 2025 |
| 26 | motions for summary judgment | | |
| 27 | | | |
| 28 | -2- | | |
| | STIPULATION REGARDING DEADLINE FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTIONS CASE NO. 2:21-CV-00961 | | |

| 1 | Dated: August 27, 2024 | /s/ D. Matthew Moscon |
|----|------------------------|---|
| 2 | | MAYER BROWN LLP |
| 3 | | Nancy G. Ross (<i>admitted pro hac vice</i>) 71 South Wacker Drive |
| 4 | | Chicago, IL 60606 |
| 5 | | Telephone: (312) 782-0600 nross@mayerbrown.com |
| 6 | | D. Matthew Moscon (<i>admitted pro hac vice</i>) |
| 7 | | Malori McGill Fery (<i>admitted pro hac vice</i>) 201 S. Main Street, Suite 1100 Salt Lake City, UT 84111 |
| 8 | | Telephone: (801) 907-2703 |
| | | mmoscon@mayerbrown.com mmcgillfery@mayerbrown.com |
| 9 | | |
| 10 | | Alex C. Lakatos (<i>admitted pro hac vice</i>) 1999 K Street N.W. |
| 11 | | Washington, DC 20006-1101 |
| 12 | | Telephone: (202) 263-3000 alakatos@mayerbrown.com |
| 13 | | LITTLER MENDELSON P.C. |
| 14 | | Patrick H. Hicks, Esq. Bar. No. 004632 Diana G. Dickinson, Esq. Bar No. 13477 |
| 15 | | 3960 Howard Hughes Parkway, Suite 300 |
| 16 | | Las Vegas, Nevada 89169-5937 Telephone: (702) 862-8800 |
| 17 | | phicks@littler.com ddickinson@littler.com |
| 18 | | Attorneys for Defendants Caesars Holdings, |
| | | Inc., the Plan Investment Committee, and the |
| 19 | | 401(k) Plan Committee |
| 20 | | |
| 21 | | /s/ Robert C. Hora |
| 22 | | MILBANK LLP Soon M. Murphy, Esg. (admitted pro haaviaa) |
| 23 | | Sean M. Murphy, Esq. (<i>admitted pro hac vice</i>) Robert C. Hora, Esq. (<i>admitted pro hac vice</i>) |
| 24 | | 55 Hudson Yards, 34th Floor New York, NY 10001-2163 |
| 25 | | Telephone: (212) 530-5000 |
| | | smurphy@milbank.com rhora@milbank.com |
| 26 | | |
| 27 | | PARSONS BEHLE & LATIMER -3- |
| 28 | | |
| | STIPULATION REGA | RDING DEADLINE FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTIONS CASE NO. 2:21-CV-00961 |

| 1 2 | Rew R. Goodenow, Esq., NSBN 3722 Michael R. Kealy, Esq., NSBN 971 50 West Liberty Street, Suite 750 |
|----------|--|
| 3 | Reno, NV 89501 |
| 4 | Telephone: (775) 323-1601 rgoodenow@parsonsbehle.com |
| | mkealy@parsonsbehle.com |
| 5 6 | Attorneys for Defendant Russell Investments Trust Company |
| 7 | |
| 8 | <u>/s/ Benjamin J. Bauer</u> |
| 9 | NICHOLS KASTER, PLLP Paul J. Lukas, Esq. (<i>admitted pro hac vice</i>) Brock J. Specht, Esq. (<i>admitted pro hac vice</i>) |
| 10 11 | Benjamin J. Bauer, Esq. (<i>admitted pro hac vice</i>) 4700 IDS Center |
| 12 | 80 S. 8th Street Minneapolis, MN 55402 |
| | Telephone: (612) 256-3200 |
| 13 14 | PAUL PADDA LAW, PLLC |
| | Paul S. Padda, Esq. 4560 South Decatur Blvd., Suite 300 |
| 15 | Las Vegas, NV 89103 Telephone: (702) 366-1888 |
| 16 | |
| 17 | Attorneys for Plaintiffs |
| 18 | |
| 19 | |
| 20 | |
| 21 | DATED: <u>8/29/2024</u> IT IS SO ORDERED. |
| 22 | Benburgen |
| 23 | UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE |
| 24 | |
| 25 | |
| 26 | |
| 27 | |
| 28 | -4- |
| | STIPULATION REGARDING DEADLINE FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTIONS CASE NO. 2:21-CV-00961 |
| | |