

1 Mitchell Taylor Button  
2 (*Pro se*)  
3 101 Ocean Sands Ct.  
4 Myrtle Beach, SC 29579  
5 (310)-499-8702  
6 Desmodynamica@gmail.com

7 Dusty Button  
8 (*Pro se*)  
9 101 Ocean Sands Ct.  
10 Myrtle Beach, SC 29579  
11 (310)-499-8930  
12 Worldofdusty@gmail.com

13

14 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**

15 **DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

16 SAGE HUMPHRIES, GINA MENICHINO,  
17 ROSEMARIE DEANGELO, DANIELLE  
18 GUTIERREZ, JANE DOE 1 AND JANE  
19 DOE 2

20 PLAINTIFFS,

21 V.

22 MITCHELL TAYLOR BUTTON AND  
23 DUSTY BUTTON

24 DEFENDANTS


25 CASE: 2:21-CV-01412-ART-EJY

26 **DEFENDANTS' MOTION AND  
27 REQUEST FOR A ONE WEEK  
28 EXTENSION TO REPLY TO ECF NO.  
487**

29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34 Defendants submit their Motion and request for a one-week extension to file  
35 their Reply to ECF No. 487 as they have been locked out of their PACER account, unable to  
36 access Court filings due to a glitch in the PACER system, stating their account locked for  
37 "non-payment" and that it is mistakenly not exempt from PACER fees.

1 Defendants' Reply to ECF No. 487, is due today March 10<sup>th</sup>, 2025 however,  
2 Defendants have been unable to access their PACER account to read or obtain the response  
3 filed by the Plaintiffs, (ECF No. 487), in order to appropriately Reply. The Defendants have  
4 contacted PACER by email and by telephone and have been told that it appears there is a  
5 glitch in the system which has changed Defendants' exempt fee status in Nevada "non-  
6 exempt". It now states that the Nevada District Court is not allowing the Defendants access to  
7 their PACER account due to "non-payment" but the representatives at PACER can see that is  
8 not the case and that Defendants PACER account in Nevada has been exempt from PACER  
9 fees since 2022 but still, Defendants cannot access their account until PACER fixes the issue.  
10  
11

12 Defendants do not believe this process will take more than a day or two, as it  
13 has happened before but because they cannot access the Response filed by the Plaintiffs, (ECF  
14 No. 487), they cannot Reply accordingly and do not have a copy of the filing. Defendants  
15 emailed the Plaintiffs to confer about their request for an extension but they have not yet  
16 heard back; as this is time sensitive, Defendants respectfully request a one-week extension to  
17 Reply to Plaintiffs' Motion at ECF No. 487, making Defendants' Reply due March 17<sup>th</sup>, 2025.  
18  
19



## **CONCLUSION**

For the foregoing reasons, Defendants respectfully ask that they be granted a one-week extension to Reply to ECF No. 487.

Respectfully dated this 10<sup>th</sup> day of March, 2025,

Mitchell Taylor Button and Dusty Button (*Pro se*)

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Leyna J. Zouchah  
U.S. MAGISTRATE JUDGE

Date: March 11, 2025