1 2	Chad W. Flansburg, Esq. (<i>Pro Hac Vice</i>) <u>CFlansburg@phillipslytle.com</u> PHILLIPS LYTLE LLP	
	28 East Main Street Suite 1400	
3	Rochester, New York 14614-1935 Telephone: (585) 238-2009	
4	Facsimile: (585) 232-3141	
5	-and-	
6 7	David A. Carroll, Esq. (NSB #7643) dcarroll@rrsc-law.com	
-	Anthony J. DiRaimondo, Esq. (NSB #10875)	
8	adiraimondo@rrsc-law.com Robert E. Opdyke, Esq. (NSB #12841)	
9	ropdyke@rrsc-law.com RICE REUTHER SULLIVAN & CARROLL, LLP	
10	3800 Howard Hughes Parkway, Suite 1200 Las Vegas, Nevada 89169	
11	Telephone: (702) 732-9099 Facsimile: (702) 732-7110	
12	Attorneys for Plaintiff/Counterdefendant RES Exhibit Services, LLC and Counterdefendants James Leonardo and Robert Reyes	
13	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
14	DISTRICT OF NEVADA	
15		
16	RES EXHIBIT SERVICES, LLC, a New York limited liability company,	Case No. 2:21-cv-01953-APG-EJY
17	Plaintiff,	
18	VS.	
19	LNW GAMING, INC. f/k/a SG GAMING, INC. f/k/a BALLY	
20	GAMING, INC., a Nevada corporation,	
21	Defendant. LNW GAMING, INC. f/k/a SG	STIPULATION AND ORDER TO
22	GAMING, INC. f/k/a BALLY GAMING, INC., a Nevada corporation,	REDACT PORTIONS OF TRANSCRIPT OF JANUARY 10, 2024
23	Counterclaimant,	HEARING
24	vs.	
25	RES EXHIBIT SERVICES, LLC, a New York limited liability company; JAMES	
26	LEONARDO, an individual; JERI	
27	WIEDEMER, an individual; and	
21	ROBERT REYES, an individual,	
28		

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

The parties, by and through their undersigned respective counsel of record, hereby stipulate and agree as follows:

- 1. The Court previously entered an Order (ECF No. 91) providing guidance to the parties with respect to appropriate redactions for filings made in connection with the Motion to Stay Action and related filings, which reference the scope, substance, subject matter or direction of an ongoing criminal investigation.
- 2. On January 10, 2024, the Court held oral argument on the Motion to Stay Action, among other filings.
- 3. The Court allowed provisional sealing of the Transcript of Proceedings so the parties could meet and confer on whether redactions were necessary and, if so, whether they could agree on those redactions.
- 4. The parties have since met and conferred.
- 5. The parties have agreed upon certain redactions to the Transcript of Proceedings as reflected in Exhibit "1" attached to this Stipulation and Order.
- 6. Subject to the Court's approval, the parties stipulate and request that the Redacted Version of the Transcript of Proceedings, attached hereto as Exhibit "1," be filed by the Clerk as the publicly available version of the Transcript of Proceedings from the January 10, 2024 court hearing in this matter.
- 7. The parties submit that the proposed reductions comply with the requirements of the Court's prior Order (ECF No. 91) and the relevant case law. Center for Auto Safety v. Chrysler Grp., LLC, 809 F.3d 1092, 1096-97 (9th Cir. 2016) (applying good cause standard); Kamakana v. City & County of Honolulu, 447 F.3d 1172, 1179 (9th Cir. 2006) (applying compelling reasons standard).
- 8. Because the proposed redactions only reference the direction or scope of the ongoing criminal investigation, there does not appear to be a public interest in the redacted information that outweighs the need for its protection.

Dated: February 6, 2024

28