Villa v. Hutchings et al	

1			
2			
3	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
4	DISTRICT OF NEVADA		
5	LESLIE VILLA, Cas	se No. 2:21-cv-02030-ART-MDC	
6	v.	ORDER	
7	WILLIAM HUTCHINGS, et al.,		
8	Respondents.		
9			
10	Respondents move to extend time to file their reply in support of their		
11	motion to dismiss (ECF No. 31). ECF No. 45. The court finds that respondents'		
12	request for additional time is made in good faith and not solely for the purpose		
13	of delay. In addition, respondents' counsel represents to the court that opposing		
14	counsel does not oppose the request. Thus, respondents' motion will be		
15	granted.		
16	IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that respondents' motion to extend time		
17	(ECF No. 45) is GRANTED. Respondents' reply in support of their motion to		
18	dismiss (ECF No. 31) is due September 13, 2024, and no further extensions of		
19	time will be granted. In all other respects, the schedule set forth in the court's		
20	order of August 19, 2022, (ECF No. 23) remains in effect.		
21	Dated this 27 <sup>th</sup> day of August, 2024.		
22			
23			
24	April Rassel Ren		
25	ANNE R. TRAUM UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE		
26			
27			
28			
	1		

1