1 2 3 4 5	MICHAEL C. KANE. ESQ. Nevada Bar No.: 10096 BRADLEY J. MYERS, ESQ. Nevada Bar No.: 8857 ZACHARY W. LIVINGSTON, ESQ. Nevada Bar No.: 15954 THE702FIRM INJURY ATTORNEYS 400 S. 7 th Street, 4 th Floor Las Vegas, Nevada 89101		
6	Telephone: (702) 776-3333 Facsimile: (702) 505-9787		
7	<i>Email:</i> <u>service@the702firm.com</u> Attorneys for Plaintiff		
8	UNITED STATES D	DISTRICT CO	OURT
9	DISTRICT O	F NEVADA	
10	MICHAEL MACKENZIE,	Case No ·	2:21-cv-2097-JCM-NJK
11			
12	Plaintiff, vs.	JOINT PR	E-TRIAL ORDER
13	IKEA US RETAIL, LLC., fka IKEA U.S.		
14	WEST, INC.; DOE EMPLOYEE I; DOES I through X, inclusive and ROE		
15	CORPORATIONS I through X, inclusive.		
16	Defendants.		
17			
18	After pretrial proceedings in this case,		
19	IT IS ORDERED:		
20	I. <u>INTR</u>	ODUCTION	
21	1. This is a premise case resulting from an in	ncident that oc	curred on or about June 21, 2019.
22	Plaintiff, MICHAEL MACKENZIE was at D		
23			-
24	Defendants, where he was shopping and proceed	led to load a	Kivik box 2. While retrieving the
25	Kivik box 2, he alleges that an unsecured Kivik E	Box 1 fell, stril	king Plaintiff in the head. Plaintiff
26	alleges the Kivik Box 1 was not properly secured	and caused se	erious injuries to Plaintiff.
27	2. Defendant denies the claims of 1) negliger	nce. Defendant	t has offered additional affirmative
28 THE702FIRM Attorneys at Law	defenses as part of its pleadings in this action, a	and Defendant	t reserves the right to rely on the
400 S. 7 th Street #400 Las Vegas, Nevada 89101 Phone: (702) 776-3333	1		

Case 2:21-cv-02097-JCM-NJK Document 25 Filed 12/28/22 Page 2 of 30

1	
1	pleadings on file in this action to articulate its contentions in this case.
2	II. STATEMENT OF JURISDICTION:
3	This action was originated by the filing of a Complaint in the District Court, Clark County,
4	Nevada on April 27, 2021. Defendants Answered the Complaint on September 1, 2021 and
5	removed the case from State Court to Federal Court on November 23, 2021, citing diversity
6	between the parties and that this Court has jurisdiction of the matter under 28 U.S.C. § 1332, 1441
7	and 1446.
8	III. THE FOLOWING FACTS ARE ADMITTED BY THE PARITES AND REQUIRE
9	NO PROOF:
10	None.
11	
12	IV. <u>THE FOLLOWING FACTS, THOUGH NOT ADMITTED, WILLNOT BE</u> <u>CONTESTED AT TRIAL BY EVIDENCE TO THE CONTRARY:</u>
13	None.
14	
15 16	V. THE FOLLOWING ARE ISSUES OF FACT TO BE TRIED AND DETERMINED
10	<u>AT TRIAL</u> :
18	The following facts are admitted by the parties and require no proof: None at this time. The
10	following are issues of fact to be tried and determined at trial:
20	PLAINTIFF:
21	1. Whether Defendant knew or should have known that the subject boxes were
22	stacked in an unsafe and unsecure manner;
23	2. Whether Defendant failed to properly warn Plaintiff of the danger of the subject
24	boxes;
25	3. Whether Plaintiff sustained Injuries as a result of the subject incident; and
26	4. Defendant's choice of using a self-serve mode of operation.
27	
28 THE702FIRM	DEFENDANT:
ATTORNEYS AT LAW 00 S. 7 th Street #400	2

ATTORNEYS AT LAW 400 S. 7th Street #400 Las Vegas, Nevada 89101 Phone: (702) 776-3333

Case 2:21-cv-02097-JCM-NJK Document 28 Filed 12/08/22 Page 3 of 30

	1.	Whether Defendant was negligent at the time of the incident which forms the basis
1	1.	
2		of this litigation.
3	2.	Whether Defendant was negligent in the operation of conducting business at the
4		time of the incident which forms the basis of this litigation
5	VI. <u>TI</u>	HE FOLLOWING ARE ISSUES OF LAW TO BE TRIED AND DETERMINED
6		<u>AT TRIAL</u> :
7	1.	Whether Defendant breached its duty of care owed to Plaintiff as an invitee or
8]	patron of its establishment by failing to stack merchandise properly and securely in in
9	-	the self-serve furniture warehouse of its premises;
10	2.	Whether Defendant failed to adequately hire, train, and supervise its employees in
11		safely stacking and securing merchandise in the self-serve furniture warehouse of its
12		
13		premises;
14	3.	Defendant's choice of using a self-serve mode of operation;
15	4.	Whether Defendant's breach caused any damages to Plaintiff; and
16	5.	The nature, extent and value of Plaintiff's accident-related damages, including
17		without limitation, the expenses of past medical treatment, past lost wages, past pain
18		and suffering, and future pain and suffering.
19	6.	The issues of law raised in the parties' anticipated Motions in Limine.
20	7.	Whether Defendant was negligent at the time of the incident which forms the basis
21	1.	
22		of this litigation.
23	8.	Whether Defendant failed to adequately hire, train, and supervise its employees in
24	;	safely stacking and securing merchandise in the self-serve furniture warehouse of its
25		premises;
26	9.	Whether such negligence, if any, was a proximate cause of Plaintiff's alleged
27		injuries and alleged damages.
28 THE702FIRM		mjanes and anoped dumapes.
ATTORNEYS AT LAW 400 S. 7 th Street #400 Las Vegas, Nevada 89101 Phone: (702) 776-3333		3

Case 2:21-cv-02097-JCM-NJK Document 28 Filed 12/08/22 Page 4 of 30

1	1	
1	10.	Whether Plaintiff was comparatively negligent at the time of the incident which
2		forms the basis of this litigation.
3	11.	Whether the comparative negligence of Plaintiff, if any, was a proximate cause of
4		Plaintiff's alleged injuries and alleged damages.
5	12.	Whether the comparative negligence of Plaintiff, if any, is greater than the
6		negligence of the Defendant, if any.
7	13.	Whether any risks and dangers involved in the factual situation set forth in the
8		Complaint, if any, were open and obvious to Plaintiff.
10	14.	Whether Plaintiff was involved in a prior accident or other form of traumatic event.
11	15.	Whether any prior accident or other form of traumatic event involving Plaintiff, if
12		any, caused the injuries of which he complains in this litigation.
13	16.	Whether the occurrence which is the subject matter of this action was avoidable.
14	17.	Whether the occurrence which is the subject matter of this action was caused in
15		whole or in part by the actions of a third party, outside of the control of any party
16 17		to this litigation.
17	18.	Whether the occurrence which is the subject matter of this action was caused in
19		whole or in part by the negligence of a third party, outside of the control of any
20		party to this litigation.
21	19.	The percentage of reduction for the amount of damages that is commensurate
22	17.	with the comparative negligence, in any, of Plaintiff.
23	20	
24	20.	Whether Plaintiff suffered injuries resulting from the incident which forms the
25		basis of this litigation.
26	21.	Whether Plaintiff suffered monetary damages in the form of past medical expenses
27		stemming from alleged injuries resulting from the incident which forms the basis
28 THE 702 FIRM Attorneys at Law		of this litigation.
400 S. 7 th Street #400 Las Vegas, Nevada 89101 Phone: (702) 776-3333		4

Case 2:21-cv-02097-JCM-NJK Document 28 Filed 12/08/22 Page 5 of 30

	1	
1	22.	The amount of monetary damages in the form of past medical expenses, if any,
2		Plaintiff suffered from alleged injuries as a proximate result of this accident.
3	23.	Whether Plaintiff will continue to suffer monetary damages in the future in the
4		form of medical expenses stemming from alleged injuries resulting from the
5		incident which forms the basis of this litigation.
6	24.	The amount of monetary damages in the form of future medical expenses, if
7		any, Plaintiff will suffer from alleged injuries as a proximate result of this
8		accident.
9		
10	25.	Whether Plaintiff suffered injuries to his body, including, but not limited to his,
11		spine resulting from the incident which forms the basis of this litigation.
12	26.	Whether Plaintiff suffered monetary damages in the form of past medical
13		expenses stemming from alleged injuries resulting from the incident which
14		forms the basis of this litigation.
15	27.	The amount of monetary damages in the form of past medical expenses, if
16		any, Plaintiff suffered from alleged injuries as a proximate result of this
17		accident.
18	28.	Whether Plaintiff will continue to suffer monetary damages in the future in the
19 20		form of medical expenses stemming from injuries allegedly resulting from the
20		incident which forms the basis of this litigation.
21 22	29.	The amount of monetary damages in the form of future medical expenses, if any,
23		Plaintiff will suffer from injuries as a proximate result of this accident.
24	30.	Whether Plaintiff failed to mitigate his damages.
25	31.	Whether Plaintiff suffered from any pre-existing injuries and problems in his
26	bo	dy at the time of the incident which forms the basis of this litigation.
27	32.	Whether Plaintiff's medical costs for treatment derived from unreasonable or
28		unnecessary treatment.
THE 702 FIRM ATTORNEYS AT LAW 400 S. 7 th Street #400 Las Vegas, NEVADA 89101 PHONE: (702) 776-3333		5

Case 2:21-cv-02097-JCM-NJK Document 28 Filed 12/08/22 Page 6 of 30

1	33.	Whether Plaintiff's medical costs for treatment were usual and customary in the
2		Las Vegas community.
3	34.	Whether Plaintiff's medical costs for treatment to his head were presented
4		solely to improperly attempt to increase the value of this case.
5	35.	Whether Plaintiff's alleged injuries were the result of a superseding intervening
6		cause.
7	36.	Whether Plaintiff suffered from any pre-existing injuries and problems at the time
8		of the incident which forms the basis of this litigation.
9	37.	Such evidentiary and procedural issues which may arise during the trial of this
10		action.
11 12	38.	Any remaining issues which may be brought before the Court after rulings on
12		Motions in Limine.
14	VII.	EXHIBITS
15	The u	ndersigned parties are continuing to refine their joint exhibit list to avoid duplication
16		ate as feasible. The parties currently reserve any objections as to foundation and
17	admissibility	
18		
19	(a)	The following exhibits are stipulated into evidence in this case and may be so marked by the clerk:
20		NONE.
21	(b) object	As to the following exhibits, the party against whom the same will be offered ts to their admission on the grounds stated:
22		
23	The f	ollowing exhibits, though not agreed as admissible evidence in this case, are subject
24	to be used by	the parties.
25	///	
26 27	///	
27	///	
28 THE702FIRM Attorneys at Law		
400 S. 7 th Street #400 s Vegas, Nevada 89101		6

ATTORNEYS AT LAW 400 S. 7th Street #400 Las Vegas, Nevada 89101 Phone: (702) 776-3333

Case 2:21-cv-02097-JCM-NJK Document 25 Filed 12/08/22 Page 7 of 30

	e Parties intend to offer into evidence in this case the	e following exhibits:
	aintiff's Exhibits:	
Exhibit	Description	Defendants' Objections
1.	Quest Diagnostics medical records and billing	
	statement.	is/are not genuine or auther
		constitute inadmissible
		hearsay; are unduly
		prejudicial; violative of the
		best evidence rule, are not
		relevant, and are inadmissi
		for any other reason set for within the statutory and/or
		Federal Rules of Evidence
		Nevada, or any other Neva
		law. Defendants further ob
		to the extent that this/these
		documents are excluded by
		any motion in limine.
2.	Legacy Urgent Care medical records and billing	inadmissible, lack foundati
	statement.	is/are not genuine or auther
		constitute inadmissible hea
		are unduly prejudicial; vio
		of the best evidence rule, a
		relevant, and are inadmiss
		for any other reason set for
		within the statutory and/or F
		Rules of Evidence in Neva
		any other Nevada law. Defendants further object
		extent that this/these docu
		are excluded by any motio
		limine.
3.	Tim Soder Physical Therapy medical records and	
	billing statement.	is/are not genuine or authe
		constitute inadmissible hea
		are unduly prejudicial; vio
		of the best evidence rule, a
		relevant, and are inadmissi
		for any other reason set for
		within the statutory and/or F
		Rules of Evidence in Neva
		any other Nevada law.
		Defendants further object extent that this/these docu
		are excluded by any motio
		limine.
L		minite.

ATTORNEYS AT LAW 400 S. 7th Street #400 LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 89101 PHONE: (702) 776-3333

Case 2:21-cv-02097-JCM-NJK Document 28 Filed 12/08/22 Page 8 of 30

4.	QHR Pharmacy billing statement.	inadmissible, lack foundation
		is/are not genuine or authenti-
		constitute inadmissible hears
		are unduly prejudicial; violat
		of the best evidence rule, are n
		relevant, and are inadmissible
		for any other reason set forth
		within the statutory and/or Feder
		Rules of Evidence in Nevada,
		any other Nevada law.
		Defendants further object to t
		extent that this/these docume
		are excluded by any motion i
		limine.
5.	Innovative Pain Care Center medical records and	inadmissible, lack foundation
	billing statement.	is/are not genuine or authenti-
		constitute inadmissible hears
		are unduly prejudicial; violati
		of the best evidence rule, are i
		relevant, and are inadmissible
		for any other reason set forth
		within the statutory and/or Feder
		Rules of Evidence in Nevada,
		any other Nevada law.
		Defendants further object to t
		extent that this/these document
		are excluded by any motion is
		limine.
6.	Las Vegas Radiology, FILMS, medical records and	inadmissible, lack foundation
	billing	is/are not genuine or authenti
	statement.	constitute inadmissible hears
		are unduly prejudicial; violati
		of the best evidence rule, are n
		relevant, and are inadmissible
		for any other reason set forth
		within the statutory and/or Feder
		Rules of Evidence in Nevada,
		any other Nevada law.
		Defendants further object to t
		extent that this/these docume
		are excluded by any motion i
		limine.
7.	Kelly Hawkins medical records and billing	inadmissible, lack foundation
	statement.	is/are not genuine or authenti
		constitute inadmissible hears
		are unduly prejudicial; violat
		of the best evidence rule, are
		relevant, and are inadmissible

		for any other reason set forth within the statutory and/or Fed Rules of Evidence in Nevada any other Nevada law. Defendants further object to extent that this/these docume are excluded by any motion limine.
8.	Sahara Surgery Center medical records and billing statement.	inadmissible, lack foundation is/are not genuine or authem constitute inadmissible hear are unduly prejudicial; viola of the best evidence rule, are relevant, and are inadmissib for any other reason set forth within the statutory and/or Fed Rules of Evidence in Nevada any other Nevada law. Defendants further object to extent that this/these docum are excluded by any motion limine.
9.	Western Regional Center for Brain and Spine Surgery records and billing statement.	inadmissible, lack foundation is/are not genuine or authent constitute inadmissible hears are unduly prejudicial; viola of the best evidence rule, are relevant, and are inadmissib for any other reason set forth within the statutory and/or Fed Rules of Evidence in Nevada any other Nevada law. Defendants further object to extent that this/these document are excluded by any motion limine.
10.	Las Vegas Neurosurgical Institute medical records and billing statement.	inadmissible, lack foundation is/are not genuine or authem constitute inadmissible hear are unduly prejudicial; viola of the best evidence rule, are relevant, and are inadmissible for any other reason set forth within the statutory and/or Fed Rules of Evidence in Nevada any other Nevada law. Defendants further object to extent that this/these docum

Case 2:21-cv-02097-JCM-NJK Document 28 Filed 12/08/22 Page 10 of 30

		are excluded by any motion in limine.
11.	Pueblo Medical Imaging FILMS, medical records and billing statement.	inadmissible, lack foundation, is/are not genuine or authentic constitute inadmissible hearsay are unduly prejudicial; violativ of the best evidence rule, are no relevant, and are inadmissible for any other reason set forth within the statutory and/or Federa Rules of Evidence in Nevada, o any other Nevada law. Defendants further object to th extent that this/these document are excluded by any motion in limine.
12.	Desert Radiology FILMS, medical and billing records.	inadmissible, lack foundation, is/are not genuine or authentic constitute inadmissible hearsay are unduly prejudicial; violativ of the best evidence rule, are no relevant, and are inadmissible for any other reason set forth within the and/or Federal statutory Rules of Evidence in Nevada, o any other Nevada law. Defendants further object to th extent that this/these documen are excluded by any motion in limine.
13.	Ikea Incident Report	inadmissible, lack foundation, is/are not genuine or authentic constitute inadmissible hearsa are unduly prejudicial; violativ of the best evidence rule, are no relevant, and are inadmissible for any other reason set forth within the statutory and/or Federa Rules of Evidence in Nevada, of any other Nevada law. Defendants further object to the extent that this/these document are excluded by any motion in limine.
14.	Eight (8) Colored Photographs of the Incident Scene	inadmissible, lack foundation, is/are not genuine or authentic constitute inadmissible hearsa are unduly prejudicial; violativ

		of the best evidence rule, are n relevant, and are inadmissible
		for any other reason set forth
		within the statutory and/or Federa
		Rules of Evidence in Nevada,
		any other Nevada law.
		Defendants further object to the
		extent that this/these documer
		are excluded by any motion in
		limine.
15.	Four (4) Colored Photographs of Plaintiff's Suction	inadmissible, lack foundation
10.	Treatment	is/are not genuine or authentio
		constitute inadmissible hearsa
		are unduly prejudicial; violati
		of the best evidence rule, are n
		relevant, and are inadmissible
		for any other reason set forth
		within the statutory and/or Feder
		Rules of Evidence in Nevada,
		any other Nevada law.
		Defendants further object to t
		extent that this/these document
		are excluded by any motion in
1.6		limine.
16.	Three (3) Colored Photographs of the Opposite	inadmissible, lack foundation
	Aisle of the Incident Scene	is/are not genuine or authentio
		constitute inadmissible hearsa
		are unduly prejudicial; violati
		of the best evidence rule, are r
		relevant, and are inadmissible
		for any other reason set forth
		within the statutory and/or Feder
		Rules of Evidence in Nevada,
		any other Nevada law.
		Defendants further object to t
		extent that this/these document
		are excluded by any motion in
1.5		limine.
17.	IKEA Training Materials	inadmissible, lack foundation
		is/are not genuine or authention
		constitute inadmissible hearsa
		l and undulty magin disial wight
		of the best evidence rule, are r
		of the best evidence rule, are r relevant, and are inadmissible
		of the best evidence rule, are r
		of the best evidence rule, are r relevant, and are inadmissible for any other reason set forth
		are unduly prejudicial; violati of the best evidence rule, are r relevant, and are inadmissible for any other reason set forth within the statutory and/or Feder Rules of Evidence in Nevada,

Case 2:21-cv-02097-JCM-NJK Document 28 Filed 12/08/22 Page 12 of 30

		Defendants further object to extent that this/these docume are excluded by any motion is limine.
18.	IKEA Warehouse Manual	inadmissible, lack foundation is/are not genuine or authentic constitute inadmissible hears are unduly prejudicial; violat of the best evidence rule, are relevant, and are inadmissibl for any other reason set forth within the statutory and/or Fede Rules of Evidence in Nevada any other Nevada law. Defendants further object to extent that this/these docume are excluded by any motion in limine.
19.	IKEA Timecard Audit Trail	inadmissible, lack foundation is/are not genuine or authent constitute inadmissible hears are unduly prejudicial; violat of the best evidence rule, are relevant, and are inadmissibl for any other reason set forth within the statutory and/or Fede Rules of Evidence in Nevada any other Nevada law. Defendants further object to extent that this/these docume are excluded by any motion limine.
20.	IKEA Service Agreement Summary for Housekeeping & Additional Services – US	inadmissible, lack foundation is/are not genuine or authent constitute inadmissible hears are unduly prejudicial; violat of the best evidence rule, are relevant, and are inadmissibl for any other reason set forth within the statutory and/or Fedd Rules of Evidence in Nevada any other Nevada law. Defendants further object to extent that this/these docume are excluded by any motion limine.
21.	Self-Serve Warehouse and Markethall Assessments	inadmissible, lack foundation

		constitute inadmissible hearsay are unduly prejudicial; violativ of the best evidence rule, are no relevant, and are inadmissible for any other reason set forth within the statutory and/or Federa Rules of Evidence in Nevada, of any other Nevada law. Defendants further object to the extent that this/these documen are excluded by any motion in
22.	Self-Serve and Full-Service Warehouse Layout	limine. inadmissible, lack foundation, is/are not genuine or authentic constitute inadmissible hearsa are unduly prejudicial; violativ of the best evidence rule, are n relevant, and are inadmissible for any other reason set forth within the statutory and/or Federa Rules of Evidence in Nevada, any other Nevada law. Defendants further object to th extent that this/these document are excluded by any motion in limine.
23.	U.S. Retail Store Risk & Compliance Operations Manual	inadmissible, lack foundation, is/are not genuine or authentic constitute inadmissible hearsa are unduly prejudicial; violativ of the best evidence rule, are n relevant, and are inadmissible for any other reason set forth within the statutory and/or Federa Rules of Evidence in Nevada, a any other Nevada law. Defendants further object to th extent that this/these documen are excluded by any motion in limine.
24.	Standard Operating Procedures for Supply Chain Security	inadmissible, lack foundation, is/are not genuine or authentic constitute inadmissible hearsa are unduly prejudicial; violativ of the best evidence rule, are n relevant, and are inadmissible for any other reason set forth within the statutory and/or Federa

		Rules of Evidence in Nevada, of any other Nevada law. Defendants further object to the extent that this/these documen are excluded by any motion in limine.
25.	Safety & Security Manual – Retail	inadmissible, lack foundation, is/are not genuine or authentic constitute inadmissible hearsa are unduly prejudicial; violativ of the best evidence rule, are no relevant, and are inadmissible for any other reason set forth within the statutory and/or Federa Rules of Evidence in Nevada, of any other Nevada law. Defendants further object to the extent that this/these documen are excluded by any motion in limine.
26.	Five (5) Photographs of the Subject Boxes Taken on June 27, 2019	indmissible, lack foundation, is/are not genuine or authentic constitute inadmissible hearsa are unduly prejudicial; violativ of the best evidence rule, are no relevant, and are inadmissible for any other reason set forth within the statutory and/or Federa Rules of Evidence in Nevada, of any other Nevada law. Defendants further object to th extent that this/these documen are excluded by any motion in limine.
27.	Plaintiff's Complaint	inadmissible, lack foundation, is/are not genuine or authentic constitute inadmissible hearsa are unduly prejudicial; violativ of the best evidence rule, are n relevant, and are inadmissible for any other reason set forth within the statutory and/or Federa Rules of Evidence in Nevada, any other Nevada law. Defendants further object to th extent that this/these documen are excluded by any motion in limine.

Case 2:21-cv-02097-JCM-NJK Document 28 Filed 12/08/22 Page 15 of 30

28.	Defendant's Answer to Plaintiff's Complaint	inadmissible, lack foundation, is/are not genuine or authentic; constitute inadmissible hearsay are unduly prejudicial; violative of the best evidence rule, are no relevant, and are inadmissible for any other reason set forth within the statutory and/or Federal Rules of Evidence in Nevada, o any other Nevada law. Defendants further object to the extent that this/these document are excluded by any motion in limine.
29.	National Safety Council (2000). Accident Prevention Manual for Business and Industry, 14 th Edition	inadmissible, lack foundation, is/are not genuine or authentic; constitute inadmissible hearsay are unduly prejudicial; violative of the best evidence rule, are no relevant, and are inadmissible for any other reason set forth within the statutory and/or Federal Rules of Evidence in Nevada, o any other Nevada law. Defendants further object to the extent that this/these document are excluded by any motion in limine.
30.	Expert Impeachment File for Jeffrey Wang, M.D.	inadmissible, lack foundation, is/are not genuine or authentic; constitute inadmissible hearsay are unduly prejudicial; violativ of the best evidence rule, are not relevant, and are inadmissible for any other reason set forth within the statutory and/or Federal Rules of Evidence in Nevada, of any other Nevada law. Defendants further object to th extent that this/these document are excluded by any motion in limine.
31.	Computation of Damages	inadmissible, lack foundation, is/are not genuine or authentic; constitute inadmissible hearsay are unduly prejudicial; violativ of the best evidence rule, are no relevant, and are inadmissible

			for any other reason set forth within the statutory and/or Feder Rules of Evidence in Nevada,
			any other Nevada law. Defendants further object to t extent that this/these documen are excluded by any motion in
			limine.
32.	Curriculum Vitae, Fe for Gary White	ee Schedule and Testimony List	
33.	Gary White's Initia 2022	l Expert Report dated March	
34.	Gary Whites First dated May 7, 2022	Supplemental Expert Report	
35.		d Supplemental Expert Report	
36.	Curriculum Vitae, Fe for Eric Brimhall, M	ee Schedule and Testimony List D.	
37.		ee Schedule and Testimony List	
	for Suart Kaplan ME		
38.		ee Schedule and Testimony List	
	for Jason Garber, Ml		
39.	Deposition Transcrip	t of Austin Diskal	
	's Use of Demonstrative		
Plaintiff	's Use of Demonstrative		ve purposes including, but not
Plaintiff P	's Use of Demonstrative	Exhibits:	ve purposes including, but not
Plaintiff P limited to	Ps Use of Demonstrative laintiff may offer, at trial the following:	Exhibits:	
Plaintiff P limited to 1	Ps Use of Demonstrative laintiff may offer, at trial, the following: . Demonstrative and char	Exhibits: , certain Exhibits for demonstrativ	
Plaintiff P limited to 1 2	Ps Use of Demonstrative laintiff may offer, at trial the following: Demonstrative and char Story board and comput	Exhibits: , certain Exhibits for demonstrativ ts relating to Plaintiff's damage cl	laims;
Plaintiff P limited to 1 2 3	Ps Use of Demonstrative laintiff may offer, at trial the following: Demonstrative and char Story board and comput Blow-ups/transparencies	Exhibits: , certain Exhibits for demonstrativ ts relating to Plaintiff's damage cl er digitized power point images;	aims; rds; and
Plaintiff P limited to 1 2 3 4	Ps Use of Demonstrative laintiff may offer, at trial o the following: . Demonstrative and char . Story board and comput . Blow-ups/transparencies . Plaintiff reserves the rig	Exhibits: , certain Exhibits for demonstrativ ts relating to Plaintiff's damage cl er digitized power point images; s/digitized images of various recon	laims; rds; and bit timely and properly disclosed
Plaintiff P limited to 1 2 3 4 during di	Ps Use of Demonstrative laintiff may offer, at trial o the following: . Demonstrative and char . Story board and comput . Blow-ups/transparencies . Plaintiff reserves the rig scovery for the purpose o	Exhibits: , certain Exhibits for demonstrativ ts relating to Plaintiff's damage cl er digitized power point images; s/digitized images of various recon ht to offer into evidence any exhib	laims; rds; and bit timely and properly disclosed ally, Plaintiff reserves the right t
Plaintiff P limited to 1 2 3 4 during di offer into	Ps Use of Demonstrative laintiff may offer, at trial o the following: . Demonstrative and char . Story board and comput . Blow-ups/transparencies . Plaintiff reserves the rig scovery for the purpose o	Exhibits: , certain Exhibits for demonstrativ ts relating to Plaintiff's damage cl er digitized power point images; s/digitized images of various recon ht to offer into evidence any exhile f demonstration at trial. Additionate ered by any other parties to this ac	laims; rds; and bit timely and properly disclosed ally, Plaintiff reserves the right t
Plaintiff P limited to 1 2 3 4 during di offer into	Ps Use of Demonstrative laintiff may offer, at trial o the following: . Demonstrative and char . Story board and comput . Blow-ups/transparencies . Plaintiff reserves the rig scovery for the purpose o evidence any exhibit off	Exhibits: , certain Exhibits for demonstrativ ts relating to Plaintiff's damage cl er digitized power point images; s/digitized images of various recon ht to offer into evidence any exhile f demonstration at trial. Additionate ered by any other parties to this ac	laims; rds; and bit timely and properly disclosed ally, Plaintiff reserves the right t ction.
Plaintiff P limited to 1 2 3 4 during di offer into Plaintiff	Ps Use of Demonstrative laintiff may offer, at trial, o the following: . Demonstrative and char . Story board and comput . Blow-ups/transparencies . Plaintiff reserves the rig scovery for the purpose o o evidence any exhibit offer 's will offer the followin	Exhibits: , certain Exhibits for demonstrativ ts relating to Plaintiff's damage cl er digitized power point images; s/digitized images of various recor- ht to offer into evidence any exhit f demonstration at trial. Additiona- tered by any other parties to this ac ng depositions:	laims; rds; and bit timely and properly disclosed ally, Plaintiff reserves the right t

Exhibit	Description	Plaintiff's Objection
4.	IKEA000883-1292; Ikea U.S. Retail	
	Store Risk & Compliance Operations	
	Manual	
5.	IKEA001293-1319; Ikea Secure It	inadmissible, lack foundation, is/are not
	Guidelines	genuine or authentic; constitute
		inadmissible hearsay; are unduly
		prejudicial; are not relevant, and are inadmissible for any other reason set
		forth within the statutory Federal Rules
		of Evidence, or any other Nevada law.
		Plaintiff further objects to the extent that
		this/these documents are excluded by
		any motions in limine.
6.	IKEA001320-1517; Ikea Safety in	
	Goods Handling	
7.	IKEA001518-1695; Ikea Safety	
0	Security Manual	
8.	Curriculum Vitae, Fee Schedule and Testimony List for Dr. Wang	inadmissible, lack foundation, is/are not
	List for Dr. Wang	genuine or authentic; constitute
		inadmissible hearsay; are unduly prejudicial; are not relevant, and are
		inadmissible for any other reason set
		forth within the statutory Federal Rules
		of Evidence, or any other Nevada law.
		Plaintiff further objects to the extent that
		this/these documents are excluded by
		any motions in limine.
9.	Comprehensive Medical Examination	inadmissible, lack foundation, is/are not
	dated March 18, 2022 by Dr. Wang	genuine or authentic; constitute
		inadmissible hearsay; are unduly
		prejudicial; are not relevant, and are
		inadmissible for any other reason set
		forth within the statutory Federal Rules of Evidence, or any other Nevada law.
		Plaintiff further objects to the extent that
		this/these documents are excluded by
		any motions in limine.
10.	IKEA000001-IKEA000015 Las Vegas	
	Neurosurgical Institute Center Billing	
	Records	
	Defendant does not intend to introduce	
	Plaintiff's medical records at trial unless	
	and until Plaintiff is able to meet the	
1	burden for admissibility; if Plaintiff	

	does so, Defendant reserves the right to use any and all admissible medical records for foundation, expert testimony, and impeachment	
11.	IKEA000016-IKEA000033 Las Vegas Radiology Billing Records	
	Defendant does not intend to introduce Plaintiff's medical records at trial unless and until Plaintiff is able to meet the burden for admissibility; if Plaintiff does so, Defendant reserves the right to use any and all admissible medical	
	records for foundation, expert testimony, and impeachment	
12.	IKEA000034-IKEA000072 Las Vegas Urology Medical Records	inadmissible, lack foundation, is/are no genuine or authentic; constitute inadmissible hearsay; are unduly
	Defendant does not intend to introduce Plaintiff's medical records at trial unless	prejudicial; are not relevant, and are inadmissible for any other reason set forth within the statutory Federal Rules
	and until Plaintiff is able to meet the burden for admissibility; if Plaintiff	of Evidence, or any other Nevada law. Plaintiff further objects to the extent that
	does so, Defendant reserves the right to use any and all admissible medical records for foundation, expert	this/these documents are excluded by any motions in limine.
13.	testimony, and impeachment	inadmissible, lack foundation, is/are no
15.	IKEA000073-IKEA000207 Legacy Urgent Care Billing and Medical Records	genuine or authentic; constitute inadmissible hearsay; are unduly
	Defendant does not intend to introduce Plaintiff's medical records at trial unless and until Plaintiff is able to meet the burden for admissibility; if Plaintiff does so, Defendant reserves the right to use any and all admissible medical records for foundation, expert testimony, and impeachment	prejudicial; are not relevant, and are inadmissible for any other reason set forth within the statutory Federal Rules of Evidence, or any other Nevada law. Plaintiff further objects to the extent tha this/these documents are excluded by any motions in limine.
14.	impeachment IKEA000208-IKEA000227 QHR Pharmacy Records	
	Defendant does not intend to introduce	

	Plaintiff's medical records at trial unless and until Plaintiff is able to meet the burden for admissibility; if Plaintiff does so, Defendant reserves the right to use any and all admissible medical records for foundation, expert testimony, and impeachment	
15.	IKEA000228-IKEA000400 Sahara Surgery Center Billing and Medical Records	
	Defendant does not intend to introduce Plaintiff's medical records at trial unless and until Plaintiff is able to meet the burden for admissibility; if Plaintiff does	
	so, Defendant reserves the right to use any and all admissible medical records for foundation, expert testimony, and impeachment	
16.	IKEA000401-IKEA000549 Timothy Soder Physical Therapy Medical Records	inadmissible, lack foundation, is/are no genuine or authentic; constitute inadmissible hearsay; are unduly prejudicial; are not relevant, and are inadmissible for any other reason set forth within the statutory Federal Rule
	Defendant does not intend to introduce Plaintiff's medical records at trial unless and until Plaintiff is able to meet the burden for admissibility; if Plaintiff does so, Defendant reserves the right to use any and all admissible medical records for foundation expert testimony, and	of Evidence, or any other Nevada law. Plaintiff further objects to the extent th this/these documents are excluded by any motions in limine.
	for foundation, expert testimony, and impeachment	
17.	IKEA000550-IKEA000650 Western Regional Center for Brain & Spine Surgery Billing and Medical Records	
	Defendant does not intend to introduce Plaintiff's medical records at trial unless and until Plaintiff is able to meet the burden for admissibility; if Plaintiff does so, Defendant reserves the right to use any and all admissible medical records	

	for foundation, expert testimony, and	
	impeachment	
18.	Innovative Pain Care Center bate	
	stamped MED000001-142	
	Defendant does not intend to introduce Plaintiff's medical records at trial unless	
	and until Plaintiff is able to meet the	
	burden for admissibility; if Plaintiff	
	does so, Defendant reserves the right to	
	use any and all admissible medical	
	records for foundation, expert	
	testimony, and impeachment	
19.	Kelly Hawkins Physical Therapy bate	
	stamped MED0000143-205	
	Defendant does not intend to introduce	
	Plaintiff's medical records at trial unless	
	and until Plaintiff is able to meet the	
	burden for admissibility; if Plaintiff does	
	so, Defendant reserves the right to use	
	any and all admissible medical records	
	for foundation, expert testimony, and	
20.	impeachmentLas Vegas Neurosurgical Institute bate	
20.	stamped MED000206-223	
	sumped will occur 223	
	Defendant does not intend to introduce	
	Plaintiff's medical records at trial unless	
	and until Plaintiff is able to meet the burden for admissibility; if Plaintiff does	
	so, Defendant reserves the right to use	
	any and all admissible medical records	
	for foundation, expert testimony, and	
	impeachment	
21.	Pueblo Medical Imaging bate stamped	
	MED000224-261	
	Defendant does not intend to introduce	
	Plaintiff's medical records at trial unless	
	and until Plaintiff is able to meet the	
	burden for admissibility; if Plaintiff does	

Case 2:21-cv-02097-JCM-NJK Document 28 Filed 12/08/22 Page 21 of 30

	I		
1		so, Defendant reserves the right to use	
1		any and all admissible medical records	
2		for foundation, expert testimony, and	
		impeachment	
3	22.	QHR Pharmacy bate stamped MED000262-298	
4		MED000262-298	
_			
5			
6			
		Defendant does not intend to introduce	
7		Plaintiff's medical records at trial unless	
8		and until Plaintiff is able to meet the	
		burden for admissibility; if Plaintiff does	
9		so, Defendant reserves the right to use any and all admissible medical records	
10		for foundation, expert testimony, and	
10		impeachment	
11	23.	Timothy Soder Physical Therapy bate	
12		stamped MED000299-317	
12			
13			
14			
14		Defendant does not intend to introduce	
15		Plaintiff's medical records at trial unless	
16		and until Plaintiff is able to meet the	
16		burden for admissibility; if Plaintiff does	
17		so, Defendant reserves the right to use	
		any and all admissible medical records	
18		for foundation, expert testimony, and	
19	24.	impeachment Western Regional Center for Brain and	
	<u></u>	Spine Surgery bate stamped	
20		MED000318-328	
21			
22			
23		Defendant does not intend to introduce	
		Plaintiff's medical records at trial unless	
24		and until Plaintiff is able to meet the	
25		burden for admissibility; if Plaintiff does	
		so, Defendant reserves the right to use	
26		any and all admissible medical records	
27		for foundation, expert testimony, and	
	25	impeachment	inadmissible leafs form dation in/any not
28	25.	Demonstrative exhibits, including:	inadmissible, lack foundation, is/are not

THE 702FIRM ATTORNEYS AT LAW 400 S. 7th Street #400 LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 89101 PHONE: (702) 776-3333

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9		 and transp Models of human bo Diagrams, photos, fil CD ROM human bo and surgic Exemplars of the surg hardware/used or exthe care an Plaintiff. 	, drawings, pictures, lm, video, DVDE and of various parts of the dy, diagnostic tests cal procedures. s, models, or pictures	inadmissib prejudicial inadmissib forth withi of Evidenc Plaintiff fu this/these of	authentic; constitute le hearsay; are unduly ; are not relevant, and are le for any other reason set n the statutory Federal Rules e, or any other Nevada law. rther objects to the extent that documents are excluded by ns in limine.
10			animations, story the incident the		
11		boards of the incident, the location of the incident.			
12		6. Power point images and blowups of deposition transcripts,			
13		discovery responses, and jury instructions.			
14			grams or models of the he incident that is the		
15			this litigation.		
16					
17	Defendant	's will offer the fo	ollowing depositions:		
18	D	eponent	Deposition Da	te	Pages & Lines
19		el Mackenzie ther Vorce	June 2, 2022 June 22,2022		N/A N/A
20		rimhall, MD	August 16, 202		N/A N/A
21				·	
22	Def	endants incorpora	te any and all documents	produced b	y Plaintiffs and all other parties
23	to this acti	on, now and in t	he future by way of cro	oss examina	tion and/or rebuttal, subject to
24	objection. Defendants incorporate any and all documents or exhibits used by experts that they have				
25	U U	1	form their opinions.		·
26			-	f Dlaintiffa,	avhibits to call any with assas
27					exhibits, to call any witnesses
28	named by P	'laintiffs, to supple	ement their list of exhibits	s up and unti	l the time of trial, including any
THE702FIRM ATTORNEYS AT LAW 400 S. 7 th Street #400			22		

ATTORNEYS AT LAW 400 S. 7th Street #400 Las Vegas, Nevada 89101 Phone: (702) 776-3333 demonstrative exhibits.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

Defendants object to any witnesses or document identified by Plaintiffs which should be excluded on the basis that they have not been produced, are not related or highly prejudicial or lack foundation, or any other possible objections.

Defendant reserves the right to use any discovery responses and/or deposition testimony and/or deposition exhibits for impeachment and/or substantively as party admissions, as may be relevant at trial. Defendant reserves the right to use demonstrative evidence. Defendant also reserves the right to use any exhibit listed or introduced by Plaintiff, or as previously produced by the parties.

The parties reserve any all-evidentiary objections for trial. The parties reserve the right to object to the admissibility of trial exhibits on the basis of foundation, authenticity, hearsay, and relevance. The parties further reserve the right to object to any exhibit being offered by each side which has not been previously produced during the normal course of discovery proceedings, or pursuant to stipulation and agreement of the parties. The parties also reserve any and all evidentiary objections for trial.

In addition, neither inclusion of any documents within this disclosure made pursuant to
FRCP 26, nor acceptance of documents provided by any other party hereto in a disclosure made
pursuant to FRCP 26, shall be deemed as a waiver by these Defendants of any evidentiary rights
they may have with respect to those documents, including, but not limited to, objections related to
authenticity, materiality, relevance, foundation, hearsay, or any other right as may be permitted
pursuant to the Federal Rules of Evidence.

Electronic evidence: The parties intend to offer and present evidence in electronic format
to jurors for purposes of jury deliberations pursuant to Local Rule 16-3(b)(9) and will
contact the court administrator for instructions on how to prepare evidence in electronic
format and for any other requirements set by the court.

1							
1	Depositions: Defendant does not intend at this time to offer page and line designations for						
2	any deposition transcripts. In the event Defendant learns that a witness is unavailable to testify at						
3	trial, Defendant will notify all parties and the Court of page and line designations of the						
4	unavailable witness's deposition transcript to offer at trial. Defendant reserves the right to use all						
5	depositions which have been conducted in this action to refresh recollection and/or to impeach a						
6	witness, and otherwise use at trial in accordance with the applicable rules, <i>i.e.</i> , Fed. R. Civ. P. 32;						
7	Fed. R. Evid. 801(d).						
8	The following witnesses may be called by the parties at trial:						
9	No.: <u>Plaintiff's Witnesses:</u>						
10							
11	FACT & PERCIPIENT WITNESSES:						
12	1. MICHAEL MACKENZIE c/o THE702FIRM						
13	400 South 7th Street, Suite 400						
14	Las Vegas, NV 89101 (702) 776-3333						
15							
16	2. IKEA US RETAIL, LLC fka IKEA U.S. WEST, INC. c/o OLSON CANNON GORMLEY & STOBERSKI						
17	9950 West Cheyenne Avenue Las Vegas, NV 89129						
18	(702) 384-4012						
19	3. Austin Bickel						
20	IKEA US RETAIL, LLC fka IKEA U.S. WEST, INC. c/o OLSON CANNON GORMLEY & STOBERSKI						
21	9950 West Cheyenne Avenue Las Vegas, NV 89129						
22	(702) 384-4012						
23	4. Heather Vorce						
24	3062 Scenic Rhyme Avenue Henderson, NV 89044						
25	(702) 861-3715						
26	5. Christine Jason 7426 Elderberry St.						
27	San Antonio, TX 78240						
28 THE702FIRM	(253) 212-2324						
ATTORNEYS AT LAW 400 S. 7 th Street #400 AS VEGAS, NEVADA 89101	24						

1	PLAINT		Fed.	R.	Civ.	Р.	30(B)(6)
2	<u>REPRES</u>	SENTATIVES AND/OR DESIGNEES					
	1.	Michael F. Schlaack, M.D. and/or					
3		Treating Physicians and/or					
4		Treating Nurses and/or Person Most Knowledgeable and/or					
5		Custodian of Records					
3		Quest Diagnostics					
6		PO Box 30584					
7		Tampa, FL 33630 866.697.8378					
8							
	2.	Dean Yarbro, M.D., and/or					
9		Treating Physicians and/or Treating Nurses and/or					
10		Person Most Knowledgeable and/or					
		Custodian of Records					
11		Legacy Urgent Care					
12		105 N. Pecos Rd, Ste 111 Handarson, NV 20074					
13		Henderson, NV 89074 702.263.4555					
14	3.	Karlo Arciaga PT, and/or					
15		Treating Physicians and/or Treating Nurses and/or					
		Person Most Knowledgeable and/or					
16		Custodian of Records					
17		Timothy Soder Physical Therapy					
18		2779 W Horizon Ridge Pkwy, Ste 10 Henderson, NV 89052					
10		702.897.1222					
19							
20	4.	Michael Stellmacher, M.D. and/or					
		Michael Schlaack, M.D. and/or Eric Brimhall, M.D. and/or					
21		Treating Physicians and/or					
22		Treating Nurses and/or					
22		Person Most Knowledgeable and/or					
23		Custodian of Records					
24		QHR Pharmacy 7512 Westcliff Drive					
25		Las Vegas, NV 891545					
		702.331.6388					
26	_	Malad Cart DA C 1/					
27	5.	Michael Scott, PA-C, and/or Treating Physicians and/or					
20		Treating Nurses and/or					
28 702FIRM		6					
RNEYS AT LAW 7 th Street #400							

Case 2:21-cv-02097-JCM-NJK Document 28 Filed 12/08/22 Page 26 of 30

			Person Most Knowledgeable and/or
1			Custodian of Records
2			Innovative Pain Care Center 9065 S. Pecos Rd, Ste 230
3			Henderson, NV 89074
4			702.684.7246
5		6.	David T. Wang, D.O. and/or
			Bhuvana P. Kittusamy, M.D. and/or Treating Physicians and/or
6			Treating Nurses and/or
7			Person Most Knowledgeable and/or
8			Custodian of Records Las Vegas Radiology
9			7500 Smoke Ranch Road
10			Las Vegas, NV 89146 702.254.5004
		7	
11		7.	Treating Physicians and/or Treating Nurses and/or
12			Person Most Knowledgeable and/or
13			Custodian of Records Key Health Medical Solutions, Inc./Kelly Hawkins
14			L-4034
15			Columbus, OH 43260 818.575.5300
16			
		8.	Treating Physicians and/or Treating Nurses and/or
17			Person Most Knowledgeable and/or
18			Custodian of Records Kelly Hawkins Physical Therapy
19			8975 S. Pecos Rd, Ste 7A
20			Henderson, NV 890874 800.929.4776
21			
22		9.	Stuart S. Kaplan, M.D., and/or Treating Physicians and/or
			Treating Nurses and/or
23			Person Most Knowledgeable and/or Custodian of Records
24			Western Regional Center for Brain and Spine Surgery
25			3012 S Durango Drive
26			Las Vegas, NV 89117 702.835.0088
27	///		
28	, , , ,		
FIRM	///		
AT LAW reet #400			24

1	1					
		10. Eric J. Brimhall, M.D., and/or				
1		Treating Physicians and/or				
2		Treating Nurses and/or				
2		Person Most Knowledgeable and/or Custodian of Records				
3		Sahara Surgery Center				
4		2401 Paseo Del Prado				
~		Las Vegas, NV 89102				
5		702.362.7874				
6						
7		11. Jason Garber, M.D., and/or Treating Physicians and/or				
/		Treating Nurses and/or				
8		Person Most Knowledgeable and/or				
9		Custodian of Records				
,		Las Vegas Neurosurgical Institute				
10		3012 South Durango				
11		Las Vegas, NV 89117 702.835.0088				
12	EXPE	CRT WITNESS:				
13		1. Gary White				
14		10 Lake Como Court				
		Rancho Mirage, CA 92270				
15		949-697-1500				
16	No.:	Defendant's Witnesses:				
17						
	1.	Michael Mackenzie				
18		c/o The702Firm				
19		400 South 7 th Street, Suite 400				
20		Las Vegas, NV 89101 (702) 776-3333				
20	(102) 110-5555					
21	2.	30(b)(6) Representative(s) and/or Charlotte Mireles				
22		Ikea U.S. Retail, LLC fka Ikea U.S. West, Inc.				
		c/o Olson Cannon Gormley & Stoberski 9950 West Cheyenne Avenue				
23		Las Vegas, Nevada 89129				
24		(702) 384-4012				
25	3.	Austin Bickel				
16		c/o Olson Cannon Gormley & Stoberski				
26		9950 West Cheyenne Avenue Las Vegas, Nevada 89129				
27		(702) 384-4012				
28						
THE702FIRM Attorneys at Law	1					
ATTORNEYS AT LAW						

Case 2:21-cv-02097-JCM-NJK Document 28 Filed 12/08/22 Page 28 of 30

1 2 3 4 5 6	 4. Jeffrey C. Wang, M.D. Chief, Orthopaedic Spine Service Co-Director USC Spine Center Professor of Orthopaedic Surgery and Neurosurgery USC Spine Center 1450 San Pablo St., Suite 5400 Los Angeles, CA 90033 Tel.: (323)442-5303 						
7	In the instance that this matter does not resolve at mediation currently scheduled to take						
8	place on Thursday, November 3, 2022, the parties agree that the witness and exhibit list may be						
9	amended as required before trial.						
10	The parties reserve the right to use any witnesses listed by any other party to this litigation.						
11	The parties further reserve the right to name and list any witnesses deemed necessary for						
12	rebuttal and/or impeachment purposes.						
13	VIII. TRIAL DATE						
14							
15	Counsel have met and herewith submit a list of (3) agreed-upon trial dates:						
16	1. The week of January 9, 2023;						
17	2. The week of January 16, 2023; or						
18	3. The week of January 23, 2023						
19 20	It is expressly understood by the undersigned that the Court will set a trial of this matter on						
20	one of the agreed-upon dates if possible; if not, the trial will be set at the convenience of the						
22	Court's calendar.						
23							
24							
25							
26							
27	///						
28	///						
THE702FIRM ATTORNEYS AT LAW 00 S. 7 th Street #400							

ATTORNEYS AT LAW 400 S. 7th Street #400 Las Vegas, Nevada 89101 Phone: (702) 776-3333

Case 2:21-cv-02097-JCM-NJK Document 25 Filed 12/28/22 Page 29 of 30

1	ESTIMATED LENGTH OF TRIAL						
2	It is estimated that the trial will take a total of 3-5 days.						
3	THE702FIRM INJURY ATTORNEYS	OLSON CANNON GORMLEY & STOBERSKI					
4 5	/s/ Zach Livingston 11/2/2022	/s/ Stephanie Zinna 11/2/2022					
6	MICHAEL C. KANE. ESQ.	JAMES R. OLSON, ESQ.					
7	Nevada Bar No.: 10096 BRADLEY J. MYERS, ESQ.	Nevada Bar No.: STEPHANIE ZINNA, ESQ. Nevada Bar No.:					
8	Nevada Bar No.: 8857 ZACHARY W. LIVINGSTON, ESQ.	9950 W Cheyenne Ave					
9	Nevada Bar No.: 15954	Las Vegas, NV 89129					
10	400 S. 7 th Street, 4 th Floor Las Vegas, Nevada 89101 Telephone: (702) 776-3333	Telephone: (702) Facsimile: (702) <i>E-Mail:</i>					
11	Facsimile: (702) 505-9787	Attorneys for Defendants IKEA US RETAIL,					
12	<i>E-Mail: service@the702firm.com</i> Attorneys for Plaintiff	LLC FKA IKEA U.S. WEST, INC.					
13							
14	ACTION BY THE COURT						
15	This case is set for court/jury trial on the fixed/stacked calendar on January 23, 2023 at						
16	9:00 a.m.						
17	The Calendar call will be held on January 18, 2023, at 1:00 p.m.						
18	This pretrial order has been approved by the parties to this action as evidenced by their						
19	signatures or the signatures of their attorneys hereon, and the order is hereby entered and will						
20 21	govern the trial of this case. This order may not be amended except by court order and based						
22	upon the parties' agreement or to prevent manifest injustice.						
22	DATED:December 28, 2022	Variation of the last					
24		Jelus C. Mahan					
25	U	NITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE					
26							
27							
28 THE 702 FTRM Attorneys at Law							
400 S. 7 th Street #400 LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 89101 PHONE: (702) 776-3333		29					

Case 2:21-cv-02097-JCM-NJK Document 25 Filed 12/28/22 Page 30 of 30

1	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE									
2	I hereby certify that on the 2 day of November, 2022, I caused service of a true and correct									
3	copy of the foregoing JOINT PRE-TRIAL ORDER to be made through the CM/ECF system of									
4	the United States District Cou	the United States District Court for the District of Nevada (or, if necessary, by U.S. Mail, first								
5	class, postage pre-paid), upon the following:									
6										
7	James R. Olson, Esq. Stephanie Zinna, Esq.									
8	OLSON CANNON GORMLEY & STOBERSKI 9950 W Cheyenne Ave									
9	Las Vegas, NV 89129 Attorneys for Defendants IKEA US RETAIL, LLC FKA IKEA U.S. WEST, INC.									
10	Automotys for Detendants IKEA US KETAIL, ELC FKA IKEA U.S. WEST, INC.									
11				р. : :I						
12	CM/ECF:X	U.S. Mail:		Facsimile:						
13										
14	/s/ Gloria L. Pacheco									
15										
16				An Employee of THE702FIRM						
17										
18										
19										
20										
21										
22										
23										
24										
25										
26										
27										
28 THE 702 FIRM Attorneys at Law										
400 S. 7 th Street #400 Las Vegas, Nevada 89101 Phone: (702) 776-3333		3	0							