Morales v. Minev et al

Doc. 64

///

///

I. CERTIFICATION REGARDING MEET AND CONFER AND BACKGROUND

The Parties hereby declare and certify that they met and conferred via telephone on March 25, 2024, and agreed that an extension of discovery to October 2, 2024, would benefit both Parties for the reasons set forth below.

The Parties respectfully request another one hundred and eighty (180) days for discovery. This request is based on a variety of circumstances. Milan Chatterjee is acting as pro bono counsel. ECF No. 53. Upon his appearance, the Parties submitted a Proposed Plan and Scheduling Order. ECF No. 55. The Honorable Court granted the Proposed Plan and issued the Scheduling Order, setting a discovery cut-off for April 5, 2024. ECF No. 56. Since such time, Mr. Chatterjee has encountered scheduling conflicts and difficulties in communicating with his incarcerated client and his client's relatives, which has slowed down efforts. Mr. Chatterjee has been in communication with the Legal Aid of Southern Nevada in regard to certain matters for representing his client—including to obtain necessary resources to prosecute this case—and the Legal Aid Center of Southern Nevada has been delayed in their response (though they are well-aware of Mr. Chatterjee's several communication attempts and requests for necessary resources). Mr. Chatterjee has also been required to travel abroad on two occasions for prolonged periods, including for a death in his family.

As can be seen by the docket, the Parties have been focusing their efforts and communication on the medical treatment of Mr. Sergio Morales. On February 15, 2024, Defendants filed a Status Report with certain medical records submitted under seal. ECF 59, ECF No. 60 and ECF No. 61. Mr. Morales' treatment continues and as such, additional time is needed in relation to discovery and alleged damages.

II. STATEMENT OF DISCOVERY COMPLETED TO-DATE

Both sides have completed written disclosures.

///

III. DESCRIPTION OF DISCOVERY THAT REMAINS TO BE COMPLETED

In light of the circumstances listed above, the Parties require additional time to complete discovery including written discovery requests, and if necessary, depositions and the retention of expert witnesses.

IV. STATEMENT OF GOOD CAUSE AND EXCUSABLE NEGLECT

To demonstrate good cause, the parties must show "that, even in the exercise of due diligence, [the parties were] unable to meet the timetable set forth in the order." *Cruz v. City of Anaheim*, CV10-03997-MMM-JEMX, 2011 WL 13214312, at *2 (C.D. Cal. Dec. 19, 2011) (citing *Zivkovic v. Southern California Edison Co.*, 302 F.3d 1080, 1087 (9th Cir. 2002); *Johnson v. Mammoth Recreations, Inc.*, 975 F.2d 604, 609 (9th Cir. 1992)). Prejudice to the opposing party is a factor in determining good cause, though lack of prejudice is "not a prerequisite." *Id*.

Excusable neglect, depends on "four factors: (1) the danger of prejudice to the opposing party; (2) the length of the delay and its potential impact on the proceedings; (3) the reason for the delay; and (4) whether the movant acted in good faith." *Bateman v. U.S. Postal Service*, 231 F.3d 1220, 1223–24 (9th Cir. 2000)

The Parties have specifically met and conferred and agreed to extend the discovery deadline. The Parties' focus has been on the ongoing medical treatment of Mr. Sergio Morales. Completion of discovery is best suited following upcoming treatment.

Both Parties will benefit from an extension, and neither party will be prejudiced by the additional time to conduct discovery. Therefore, both good cause and excusable neglect are present to extend discovery.

V. PROPOSED SCHEDULE FOR COMPLETING ALL REMAINING DISCOVERY

The Parties propose the following schedule for completion of all remaining discovery and the filing of any dispositive motions:

• The deadline for completion of discovery will be extended to **October 2, 2024**.

- In accordance with Rule 26(a)(2) and Local Rule 26-1(b)(3), initial disclosures identifying experts shall be made sixty (60) days prior to the discovery cutoff date, and therefore, not later than Monday, August 5, 2024, and disclosures identifying rebuttal experts shall be made thirty (30) days after the initial disclosure of experts and, therefore, not later than Wednesday, September 4, 2024.
- The deadline to file any dispositive motions will be extended until **November** 1, 2024.
- The deadline to file a Joint Pre-Trial order will be extended until **December** 2, 2024, or, if dispositive motions are filed, until thirty (30) days after the entry of any order on the dispositive motions.

VI. CONCLUSION

Based on the foregoing, good cause and excusable neglect exist, and the parties respectfully request that this Court extend the deadlines as set forth above.

DATED this 27th day of March 2024.

DATED this 27th day of March 2024.

MILAN'S LEGAL

OFFICE OF ATTORNEY GENERAL

By: Milan Chatterjee

Milan Chatterjee, Esq. Nevada Bar No. 15159

Milan's Legal

300 South Fourth Street

Suite 900

Las Vegas, NV 89101

Attorneys for Plaintiff

By: Janet L. Merrill

Janet L. Merrill, Esq.

Nevada Bar No. 10736

Nevada Attorney General's Office

555 E. Washington Avenue

Suite 3900

Las Vegas, NV 89101

Attorneys for Defendants

IT IS SO ORDERED

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

DATED: March 27, 2024