

1 VANESSA M. TURLEY, ESQ.  
 Nevada Bar No. 14635  
 2 TROUTMAN PEPPER HAMILTON SANDERS LLP  
 8985 S. Eastern Ave., Ste. 200  
 3 Las Vegas, NV 89123 (Nevada Office)  
 Tele: (470) 832-5572  
 4 Fax: (404) 962-6800  
vanessa.turley@troutman.com

5 TROUTMAN PEPPER HAMILTON SANDERS LLP  
 600 Peachtree St. NE #3000  
 Atlanta, GA 30308 (Corporate Office)  
 7 *Attorneys for Defendant Nationstar Mortgage LLC, dba*  
*Mr. Cooper and Federal Home Loan Mortgage*  
 8 *Corporation*

9  
 10 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**  
 11 **DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

13 SFR INVESTMENTS POOL 1, LLC,

14 Plaintiff,

15 v.

16 NATIONSTAR MORTGAGE, LLC d/b/a  
 MR. COOPER; FEDERAL HOME LOAN  
 MORTGAGE CORPORATION; DOES I  
 17 through X; and ROE BUSINESS ENTITIES  
 I through X, inclusive,

18 Defendants.  
 19

Case No. 2:22-cv-00531-APG-VCF

**STIPULATION AND ORDER TO EXTEND  
 RESPONSE DEADLINE**

**(SECOND REQUEST)**

20 Plaintiff, SFR Investments Pool 1, LLC (“SFR”) and Defendants, Nationstar Mortgage,  
 21 LLC d/b/a Mr. Cooper (“Nationstar”) and Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corporation (“Freddie  
 22 Mac”) (collectively, the “Parties”), by and through their undersigned counsel, hereby stipulate  
 23 and agree to extend the date for Nationstar and Freddie Mac to file a Reply in Support of their  
 24 Motion to Dismiss [ECF No. 20]. The parties further stipulate and agree that the foreclosure sale  
 25 on the subject property be postponed until this Court rules on SFR’s Motion. The parties finally  
 26 stipulate and agree that the foreclosure sale on the subject property be postponed until this Court  
 27  
 28

1 rules on SFR’s Emergency Motion for Temporary Restraining Order and Preliminary Injunction  
2 (“Motion”) [ECF No. 5, 6].

3 In support of the stipulation, the Parties state as follows:

- 4 1. On March 28, 2022, SFR Investments Pool 1, LLC (“SFR”) filed the Complaint in  
5 the instant matter. [ECF No 1].
- 6 2. On March 29, 2022, SFR filed an Emergency Motion for Temporary Restraining  
7 Order and Preliminary Injunction (“Motion”). [ECF No. 5, 6].
- 8 3. On March 30, 2022, this Court entered a minute order in chambers setting a deadline  
9 for Defendants to respond to the Motion no later than Monday, April 4, 2022 at  
10 12:00 p.m. and further ordering a hearing on the Motion for Tuesday, April 5, 2022,  
11 at 10:00 a.m. [ECF No. 7]. Defendants were served with the Order and all other  
12 documents related to the matter on the same day.
- 13 4. On April 4, 2022, this Court entered an order extending the deadline for Nationstar  
14 to respond to the motion for preliminary injunction to April 13, 2022, setting the  
15 deadline for SFR to file a reply in support of its motion for seven days later, and  
16 setting the hearing on the motion for preliminary injunction on April 28, 2022 at  
17 10:30 AM. [ECF No. 11].
- 18 5. On April 29th, 2022, the Parties agreed and stipulated that Defendants would have  
19 until May 4, 2022, to file a response to the Motion for Preliminary Injunction. The  
20 Order further allowed the Motion to be briefed in the normal course pursuant to LR  
21 7-2. [ECF No. 13].
- 22 6. On May 25, 2022, the Parties, again, agreed and stipulated the Defendants would  
23 have until June 1, 2022, to file a response to the Motion for Preliminary Injunction,  
24 allowing counsel adequate time to evaluate the case and respond to the Motion and  
25  
26  
27  
28

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

to further allow the Motion to be briefed in the normal cause pursuant to LR7-2. [ECF No. 17].

7. On June 6, 2022, the Parties agreed and stipulated that Defendants would have until June 24, 2022, to file a response to the Motion for Preliminary Injunction and a responsive pleading to the Complaint. Pursuant to LR 7-2, SFR would have seven days after service of Defendants’ Response to the Motion for Preliminary Injunction to file a reply in support of its Motion. [ECF No. 19].

8. Defendants filed their Opposition to the Motion for Preliminary Injunction and Motion to Dismiss on June 24, 2022. [ECF No.’s 20, 21].

9. SFR filed its reply in support of the Motion for Preliminary Injunction on June 30, 2022 [ECF No. 22].

10. SFR filed its Response to Defendants’ Motion to Dismiss on July 19, 2022. [ECF No. 26].

11. On July 27, 2022, the Court entered an Order granting the parties’ Stipulation and Order to Extend Response Deadline where Defendants’ Reply was due on July 29, 2022. [ECF No. 28].

12. At the present time, the hearing originally set for April 28, 2022, at 10:30 a.m., has been vacated and has yet to be reset.

13. Finally, the parties agree that Defendants shall have until August 2, 2022, to file a Reply to their Motion to Dismiss.

///  
///  
///  
///  
///

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

14. Both parties represent this stipulation is not made with any intent to delay or prejudice either party.

DATED this 29<sup>th</sup> day of July, 2022.

DATED this 29<sup>th</sup> day of July, 2022.

**Troutman Pepper Hamilton Sanders LLP**

**Hanks Law Group**

/s/ Vanessa M. Turley  
Vanessa M. Turley, Esq.  
Nevada Bar No. 14635  
8985 S. Eastern Avenue, Suite 200  
Las Vegas, NV 89123  
*Counsel for Nationstar Mortgage, LLC  
dba Mr. Cooper and Federal Home Loan  
Mortgage Corporation*

/s/ Chantel Schimming  
Chantel M. Schimming, Esq.  
Nevada Bar No. 8886  
7625 Dean Martin Drive, Suite 110  
Las Vegas, NV 89139  
*Counsel for SFR Investments Pool 1, LLC*

IT IS SO ORDERED.



\_\_\_\_\_  
U.S. DISTRICT COURT JUDGE  
DATED: August 1, 2022