

1 TRACY S. COMBS (California Bar No. 298664)
 Email: combst@sec.gov
 2 CASEY R. FRONK (Illinois Bar No. 6296535)
 Email: fronkc@sec.gov
 3 SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
 351 South West Temple, Suite 6.100
 4 Salt Lake City, Utah 84101
 Tel: (801) 524-5796
 5 Fax: (801) 524-3558

6 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEVADA

7
 8 SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE
 COMMISSION,

Case No.: 2:22-cv-00612-CDS-EJY

9 Plaintiff,

**ORDER AMENDING
 RECEIVERSHIP ORDER
 (DKT. NO. 88)**

10 v.

11 MATTHEW WADE BEASLEY; BEASLEY
 LAW GROUP PC; JEFFREY J. JUDD;
 12 CHRISTOPHER R. HUMPHRIES; J&J
 CONSULTING SERVICES, INC., an Alaska
 13 Corporation; J&J CONSULTING SERVICES,
 INC., a Nevada Corporation; J AND J
 14 PURCHASING LLC; SHANE M. JAGER;
 15 JASON M. JONGEWARD; DENNY
 SEYBERT; ROLAND TANNER; LARRY
 16 JEFFERY; JASON A. JENNE; SETH
 JOHNSON; CHRISTOPHER M. MADSEN;
 17 RICHARD R. MADSEN; MARK A.
 MURPHY; CAMERON ROHNER; AND
 18 WARREN ROSEGREEN;

19 Defendants; and

20 THE JUDD IRREVOCABLE TRUST; PAJ
 CONSULTING INC; BJ HOLDINGS LLC;
 21 STIRLING CONSULTING, L.L.C.; CJ
 INVESTMENTS, LLC; JL2 INVESTMENTS,
 22 LLC; ROCKING HORSE PROPERTIES,
 LLC; TRIPLE THREAT BASKETBALL,
 23 LLC; ACAC LLC; ANTHONY MICHAEL
 ALBERTO, JR.; and MONTY CREW LLC;

24 Relief Defendants.
 25
 26
 27
 28

1 **WHEREAS** this matter has come before this Court upon motion of the Plaintiff U.S.
2 Securities and Exchange Commission (“SEC”, “Commission” or “Plaintiff”) to amend the
3 receivership order previously entered by the Court (Dkt. No. 88), and for related relief;

4 **WHEREAS** the Court has found based on the evidence presented and record in this case
5 that the Commission has made a proper *prima facie* showing that Defendants Larry Jeffery,
6 Jason Jenne, Seth Johnson, Christopher Madsen, Richard Madsen, Mark Murphy, Cameron
7 Rohner, and Warren Rosegreen directly and indirectly engaged in violations of the federal
8 securities laws as alleged in the Amended Complaint, and thus, the equity jurisdiction of this
9 Court has been properly invoked and the Court possesses the power and authority to fashion
10 appropriate remedies and relief;

11 **WHEREAS** the Court finds that, based on the record in these proceedings, the
12 appointment of a Receiver in this action is necessary and appropriate for the purposes of
13 marshaling and preserving all assets of the New Defendants that: (a) are attributable to funds
14 derived from investors or clients of the New Defendants; (b) are held in constructive trust for the
15 New Defendants; (c) were fraudulently transferred by the New Defendants; and/or (d) may
16 otherwise be includable as assets of the estates of the New Defendants; and

17 **WHEREAS** this Court has subject matter jurisdiction over this action and personal
18 jurisdiction over the New Defendants, and venue properly lies in this district;

19 //

20 //

21 //

22 //

23 //

24 //

25 //

26 //

27 //

