

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**  
**DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

JEREMIA GILLO,

Plaintiff(s),

v.

THE HONORABLE PETE BUTTIGIEG,

Defendant(s).

Case No. 2:22-cv-00857-CDS-NJK

**ORDER**

[Docket No. 12]

13 Pending before the Court is Plaintiff's motion for leave to amend. Docket No. 12. As a  
14 threshold matter, it is not clear that leave of Court is required for Plaintiff to amend given that no  
15 defendant has appeared in the case. *See* Fed. R. Civ. P. 15(a)(1); *see also Vanguard Outdoor, LLC*  
16 *v. City of Los Angeles*, 648 F.3d 737, 748 (9th Cir. 2011). To the extent leave is required, the  
17 motion contains no points and authorities or meaningfully developed argument. *But see* Local  
18 Rule 7-2(d); *Kor Media Grp., LLC v. Green*, 294 F.R.D. 579, 582 n.3 (D. Nev. 2013).  
19 Accordingly, the motion for leave to amend is DENIED without prejudice.

20 IT IS SO ORDERED.

21 Dated: September 19, 2022

22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Nancy J. Koppe  
United States Magistrate Judge