

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

JEREMIA GILLO,
Plaintiff(s),

V.

THE HONORABLE PETE BUTTIGIEG
Defendant(s).

Case No. 2:22-cv-00857-CDS-NJK

ORDER

[Docket No. 12]

13 Pending before the Court is Plaintiff's motion for leave to amend. Docket No. 12. As a
14 threshold matter, it is not clear that leave of Court is required for Plaintiff to amend given that no
15 defendant has appeared in the case. *See Fed. R. Civ. P. 15(a)(1); see also Vanguard Outdoor, LLC*
16 *v. City of Los Angeles*, 648 F.3d 737, 748 (9th Cir. 2011). To the extent leave is required, the
17 motion contains no points and authorities or meaningfully developed argument. *But see* Local
18 Rule 7-2(d); *Kor Media Grp., LLC v. Green*, 294 F.R.D. 579, 582 n.3 (D. Nev. 2013).
19 Accordingly, the motion for leave to amend is DENIED without prejudice.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: September 19, 2022

Nancy J. Koppe
United States Magistrate Judge