Zarate v. Pruitt et al Doc. 28

2 3 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEVADA 4 5 6 STEPHEN ZARATE, Case No. 2:22-cv-01449-APG-NJK 7 Plaintiff(s), **ORDER** 8 v. [Docket Nos. 23, 24, 25] 9 IVANA ARAMARK, et al., 10 Defendant(s).

Pending before the Court are three motions filed by Plaintiff: (1) a motion to substitute Joe Lombardo for Warden Doe, Docket No. 23; (2) a motion to issue summons and serve Joe Lombardo, Docket No. 25, and (3) a motion to serve Defendant Aramark at a new address, Docket No. 24. As stated below, all three motions are **GRANTED**.

## 15 I. MOTION TO SUBSTITUTE

11

12

13

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

24

26

On January 14, 2023, United States District Judge Andrew P. Gordon issued a screening order finding Plaintiff's amended complaint stated a colorable claim against the Warden Doe of Clark County Detention Center. See Docket No. 10 at 8. Plaintiff now explains that the doe defendant is former Sheriff Joe Lombardo. Docket No. 23. For good cause shown, the motion to substitute is **GRANTED** and the unidentified warden in Plaintiff's second amended complaint is hereinafter construed as referring to Joe Lombardo. The Clerk's Office is INSTRUCTED to update the docket such that Joe Lombardo replaces "Warden John Doe."

## II. MOTION FOR SERVICE ON JOE LOMBARDO

Plaintiff seeks issuance of a summons and service by the United States Marshal Service on Joe Lombardo in light of the above. Docket No. 25. That motion is also **GRANTED**. The Clerk's Office is INSTRUCTED to issue summons for Joe Lombardo. The Clerk's Office is also 27 INSTRUCTED to send Plaintiff four copies of the Form USM-285. Plaintiff must provide the 28 Marshal Service with the required Form USM-285 by March 6, 2024. Within 20 days after 2 4

7

8

12

13

17

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

receiving from the Marshal Service a copy of the Form USM-285, showing whether service has been accomplished, Plaintiff must file a notice identifying whether Defendant Lombardo was served. If Plaintiff wishes to have service again attempted on an unserved defendant, a motion must be filed with the Court identifying the unserved defendant and specifying a more detailed 5 name and/or address for said defendant, or whether some other manner of service should be 6 attempted.

## III. MOTION FOR ANOTHER SERVICE ATTEMPT ON IVANA ARAMARK

Plaintiff seeks an order that the Marshal re-attempt service on Defendant Ivana Aramark. Docket No. 24. Service was previously unsuccessful because the defendant no longer works at 10 the address provided previously. See Docket No. 19 at 6 (summons returned unexecuted). Plaintiff now provides an alternative address on Las Vegas Boulevard. See Docket No. 24. For good cause shown, the motion is **GRANTED**. Plaintiff must provide the Marshal Service with the required Form USM-285 by March 6, 2024. Within 20 days after receiving from the Marshal Service a copy of the Form USM-285, showing whether service has been accomplished, Plaintiff must file a notice identifying whether Defendant Aramark was served. If Plaintiff wishes to have service again attempted on an unserved defendant, a motion must be filed with the Court identifying the 16 unserved defendant and specifying a more detailed name and/or address for said defendant, or whether some other manner of service should be attempted.

## IV. **CONCLUSION**

For the reasons discussed above, the Court GRANTS Plaintiff's (1) motion to substitute Joe Lombardo for Warden Doe, Docket No. 23; (2) motion to issue summons and serve Joe Lombardo, Docket No. 25, and (3) motion to serve Defendant Aramark at a new address, Docket No. 24. The Rule 4(m) deadline to effectuate service is hereby **RESET** for May 1, 2024.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: February 7, 2024

26

27

28

Nancy J. Koppe

United States Magistrate Judge