20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Jay J. Schuttert, Esq. (SBN 8656) 1 Alexandria L. Layton, Esq. (SBN 14228) Paige S. Silva, Esq. (SBN 16001) 2 EVANS FEARS SCHUTTERT MCNULTY MICKUS 3 6720 Via Austi Parkway, Suite 300 Las Vegas, NV 89119 4 Telephone: (702) 805-0290 Facsimile: (702) 805-0291 5 Email: jschuttert@efstriallaw.com 6 Email: alayton@efstriallaw.com Email: psilva@efstriallaw.com 7 Attorneys for Defendant 8 Schindler Elevator Corporation 9 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 10 FOR THE STATE OF NEVADA 11 Case No. 2:22-cv-01654-APG-BNW KEVIN MCDERMOTT, 12 Plaintiff, JOINT STIPULATION AND ORDER 13 TO EXTEND DISCOVERY VS. 14 **DEADLINES** OTIS ELEVATOR COMPANY, a foreign 15 (Second Request) corporation; THE PEELLE COMPANY d/b/a 16 PEELLE DOOR, a foreign corporation, SCHINDLER ELEVATOR CORPORATION, a 17 foreign corporation; DOES I through X; and ROE CORPORATIONS I through X; inclusive, 18

Defendants.

IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED by and between Plaintiff KEVIN MCDERMOTT ("Plaintiff"), Defendant SCHINDLER ELEVATOR CORPORATION ("Schindler"), and Defendant OTIS ELEVATOR COMPANY ("Otis"), through their respective counsel, that the case management discovery deadlines in the Order Extending Discovery Deadlines (First Request), April 20, 2023 [ECF No. 36] be extended by one hundred and twenty (120) days, pursuant to FRCP 29 and LR 26-3 as follows. This requested extension was necessitated by Plaintiff's second recently-approved for extension for time to serve Defendant The Peelle Company, d/b/a Peelle Door ("Peelle"), the foreign party which manufactured the elevator

DISCOVERY COMPLETED TO DATE

1

2

3

extension of the discovery deadlines.

I.

door security gate at issue and a necessary party to this litigation. This is the first request for an

7

12

13

17

18

19 20 21

22

23

24

26 27

28

25

14. On April 20, 2023, this Court granted Plaintiff, Schindler and Otis a 90-day extension of time with regard to discovery deadlines, [ECF No. 36].

15. On June 29, 2023, this Court granted Plaintiff an additional 90-day extension of time, up until October 16, 2023, to serve the Canadian company Peelle which manufactured the elevator safety gate at issue. [ECF No. 38].

## II. DISCOVERY TO BE COMPLETED AND REASONS WHY THE DISCOVERY REMAINING CANNOT COMPLETED WITHIN THE TIME LIMITS

Plaintiff and Defendants respectfully request a 120-day extension of the current case management deadlines to allow time for Peele to be served/appear in the action and to participate in the following discovery to be completed:

- 1. Fact witness depositions: Defendants wish to depose Plaintiff Kevin McDermott, Plaintiff's treating physicians, and Plaintiff's managers at Caesars Palace to whom Mr. McDermott reported the incident. However, Defendants have been waiting to do so until after the Peelle has been served so as to not require a second deposition after Peelle is served and enters the case, effectively duplicating efforts. Moreover, if the parties conduct these essential depositions now before Peelle is served, non-party witnesses from Caesars and Plaintiff's treater physicians could be forced to attend depositions twice.
- Discovery involving Peelle: Peelle is a vital defendant in this case: Plaintiff's 2. allegations are that the "safety gate" struck him while he was entering the subject elevator. The other defendants, Schindler and Otis, only maintained the elevator and installed the elevator, respectively, and the safety gate is a vital part of this product liability case. The parties need to conduct discovery involving Peelle, which includes written discovery, document requests involving the safety gate at issue, and a FRCP 30(b)(6) deposition of the party. More importantly, this needs to be done prior to the expert disclosure deadline so the parties may disclose expert witnesses.
- 3. **Inspection of the subject elevator:** The parties require an inspection of the subject elevator and security gate at Caesars Palace, prior to the disclosure of expert witnesses and reports. However, this inspection should be conducted after Peelle is added as a party in order to allow Peelle to meaningfully participate in the inspection and to avoid requiring a second inspection.

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

which the parties likely will not be able to before Plaintiff's initial expert disclosure deadline, which is currently set for August 16, 2023.

4. **Expert witness depositions:** Expert witness depositions are expected to be completed after the disclosure of expert witnesses pursuant to this Court's order. However, each party is expected to retain multiple expert witnesses and it will therefore take additional time to coordinate, prepare for, and take the depositions. Furthermore, the expert depositions should be conducted after Peelle is added as a party in order to allow Peelle to attend the depositions to avoid requiring a second deposition of multiple expert witnesses.

Moreover, this inspection will require the coordination of at least 4 attorneys and their experts,

5. Other discovery: Moreover, the extension will allow the parties to do any necessary follow-up discovery after responses to existing written discovery are served, to depose lateridentified witnesses and experts, and to obtain any additional records.

## III. **GOOD CAUSE EXISTS FOR A DISCOVERY EXTENSION**

The parties have been diligently conducting discovery in this matter, as evidenced by the written discovery exchanged between the parties. However, Peelle is a necessary party in this case because it manufactured the security gate at issue in this case. Plaintiff has just obtained a second 90-day extension to serve Peele, a Canadian company, until October 16, 2023 and therefore a 120day extension on all discovery deadlines is practical here to ensure that Peelle has adequate time to be added as a party and subsequently to participate meaningfully in the forthcoming discovery efforts proposed by the parties. Specifically, to avoid having to conduct a second deposition of Plaintiff, and nonparty physicians and Caesars employees, the parties request an extension to be able to conduct this necessary discovery after Peelle enters the case. More importantly, the safety gate is a vital part of this product liability case. The parties need to conduct discovery involving Peelle, which includes written discovery, document requests involving the safety gate at issue, and a FRCP 30(b)(6) deposition of the party. This discovery needs to occur before the expert disclosure deadlines (currently August 16, 2023 and September 18, 2023) in order for the parties to serve fulsome and relevant expert disclosures involving the safety gate at issue. Therefore, due to the nature of Plaintiff's extension to serve Peelle, as well as the number of parties and potential experts

in this case, an additional 120 days for discovery is necessary to allow enough time to complete the discovery outlined above and to properly develop the claims and defenses of each respective party.

Plaintiff and Defendants agree with the proposed extension of the current discovery deadlines by 120 days. Accordingly, no party is prejudiced by the additional time necessary to conduct the remaining discovery and to prepare for expert discovery. Counsel for the parties have been diligently working together to prepare this stipulation and obtain an extension of the current discovery deadlines.

All of the foregoing circumstances constitute good cause to extend the remaining discovery deadlines, and the parties jointly and in good faith request this Court enter an Order extending the discovery deadlines in accordance with their stipulation.

## IV. PROPOSED REVISED DISCOVERY PLAN

The parties hereby stipulate to continue the discovery deadlines and dispositive motion deadline 120 days and propose the following amendments to the current discovery deadlines:

	Old Deadline	<b>New Deadline</b>
Final date to amend pleadings or add parties:	August 7, 2023	December 5, 2023
Plaintiff's initial expert disclosures:	August 16, 2023	<b>December 14, 2023</b>
Defendant's initial expert disclosures:	September 18, 2023	<b>January 16, 2024</b>
Rebuttal expert disclosures:	October 17, 2023	February 14, 2024
Discovery cut off:	November 14, 2023	March 13, 2024
Dispositive Motions:	December 14, 2023	April 12, 2024
No trial date has been set.		

1	SUBMITTED BY THE FOLLOWING COUNSEL OF RECORD:			
2	Dated: July 26, 2023.			
3				
4	EVANS FEARS SCHUTTERT MCNULTY MICKUS	BROWNE GREEN, LLC		
5				
6	/s/ Jay J. Schuttert	/s/ Jaren Green		
7	Jay J. Schuttert, Esq. (SBN 8656) Alexandria L. Layton, Esq. (SBN 14228) Paige S. Silva, Esq. (SBN 16001) 6720 Via Austi Parkway, Suite 300 Las Vegas, NV 89119	Jared Green, Esq. (SBN 10059) Brian Unguren, Esq. (SBN 14427) 3755 Breakthrough Way, Suite 210 Las Vegas, NV 89135		
8				
9		Attorneys for Defendant Otis Elevator		
10	Attorneys for Defendant	Company		
11	Schindler Elevator Corporation			
12	AHLANDER INJURY LAW			
13				
14	/s/ M. Erik Ahlander	-		
15	M. Erik Ahlander, Esq. (SBN 9490) 9183 W. Flamingo Road, Suite 110			
16	Las Vegas, NV 89147			
17	Attorneys for Plaintiff Kevin McDermott			
18	0.0			
19	ORDER IT IS SO ORDERED  DATED: 11:36 am, July 27, 2023  BRENDA WEKSLER UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE			
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				
26				
27				
28				