

HOLLAND & HART LLP
9555 HILLWOOD DRIVE, 2ND FLOOR
LAS VEGAS, NV 89134

1 P. Sterling Kerr, Esq.
Nevada Bar No. 3978
2 George E. Robinson, Esq.
Nevada Bar No. 9667
3 KERR SIMPSON ATTORNEYS AT LAW
2900 W. Horizon Ridge Parkway, Suite 200
4 Henderson, NV 89052
Phone: 702.451.2055
5 Fax: 702.451.2077
sterling@kerrsimpsonlaw.com
6 george@kerrsimpsonlaw.com

7 Lars K. Evensen, Esq.
Nevada Bar No. 8061
8 Jenapher Lin, Esq.
Nevada Bar No. 14233
9 HOLLAND & HART LLP
9555 Hillwood Drive, 2nd Floor
10 Las Vegas, NV 89134
Phone: 702.669.4600
11 Fax: 702.669.4650
lkevensen@hollandhart.com
12 jlin@hollandhart.com

13 *Attorneys for Plaintiff/Counterdefendant*
International Markets Live, Inc. and
14 *Counterdefendant Christopher Terry*

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

15
16 INTERNATIONAL MARKETS LIVE INC.,
17 a New York corporation dba IM MASTERY
ACADEMY,

18 Plaintiff,

19 v.

20 DAVID IMONITIE an individual; SPELA
21 SLUGA, an individual; DEVON ROESER, an
individual; IVAN TAPIA, an individual;
22 NVISIONU, INC., a Delaware corporation;
23 ILYKIT, LLC, a Utah limited liability
company, LUCAS LONGMIRE, an
individual; NATHAN SAMUEL, an
24 individual; MICHAEL ZHOR, an individual;
IMRAN RICHIE, an individual; JUSTIN
25 OWENS, an individual; PAULO
CAVALLERI, an individual; JOSE MIGUEL
26 CONTREAS, an individual; BASS GRANT,
an individual; ANGELA CRUISHANK, an
27 individual; JEFF CRUISHANK, an
individual; VINCE MURPHY, an individual;
28 GARY MCSWEEN, an individual;

Case No.: 2:22-cv-01863-GMN-BNW

**FIRST AMENDMENT/CORRECTION
TO FOURTH STIPULATED
DISCOVERY PLAN AND
SCHEDULING ORDER**

**SPECIAL SCHEDULING REVIEW
REQUESTED**

1 KATRINA WORGESS, an individual; LUIS
2 RONALDO HARNANDEZ ARRIAGA, an
3 individual; STEPHANIA AYO, an individual;
4 SILVIA AYO, an individual; CATALINA
5 VASQUEZ, an individual; MATHIAS
6 VASQUEZ, an individual; DOES 1 through
7 10, inclusive; and ROE CORPORATIONS I
8 through X, inclusive,

Defendants.

AND ALL RELATED MATTERS.

8 **AMENDMENT/CORRECTION:** The parties submit this first
9 amendment/correction to the 4th Stipulated DPSO (ECF Nos. 205, 209) to correct the
10 discovery cut off date (page 8, *infra*), which inadvertently stated “06/28/2023” instead of
11 “06/28/2024”.

12 Plaintiff INTERNATIONAL MARKETS LIVE INC., dba IM MASTERY ACADEMY
13 (“IML” or “Plaintiff”), and Defendants DAVID IMONITIE (“Imonitie”), SPELA SLUGA
14 (“Sluga”), DEVON ROESER (“Roeser”), IVAN TAPIA (“Tapia”), NVISIONU, INC.
15 (“NvisionU”), and ILYKIT, LLC, (“ILYKIT”)¹ (collectively, as the “Parties”), by and through their
16 respective undersigned counsel, having conducted their Supplemental Rule 26(f) conference on
17 December 5, 2022 and in accordance with Rules 16(b) and 26(f) of the Federal Rules of Civil
18 Procedure (“FRCP” or “Rule”) and Local Rule 26-1 (“LR”), submitted their Stipulated Discovery
19 Plan and Scheduling Order (“DPSO”), with special scheduling review requested (ECF No. 35),
20 which the Court approved on December 8, 2022 (ECF No. 37).

21 On February 14, 2023, the existing Parties and subsequently appearing Defendants BASS
22 GRANT, LUCAS LONGMIRE, and VINCE MURPHY, by and through their respective
23 undersigned counsel, conducted their supplemental Rule 26(f) conference and stated and proposed
24 no changes to the then existing DPSO (ECF Nos. 37, 120), which the Court approved on March 6,
25 2023 (ECF No. 126).

26
27
28 ¹ Plaintiff and ILYKIT have filed a Stipulation and Order of Dismissal of ILYKIT, LLC with
prejudice (ECF No. 199), which is currently pending before the Court for approval.

HOLLAND & HART LLP
9555 HILLWOOD DRIVE, 2ND FLOOR
LAS VEGAS, NV 89134

HOLLAND & HART LLP
9555 HILLWOOD DRIVE, 2ND FLOOR
LAS VEGAS, NV 89134

1 On April 21, 2023, the parties to the Second DPSO (ECF No. 126) and subsequently
2 appearing Counterdefendant CHRISTOPHER TERRY, by and through their respective undersigned
3 counsel, conducted a supplemental Rule 26(f) conference regarding necessary
4 amendments/modifications to the discovery plan/scheduling order in light of Tapia’s Counterclaim
5 (ECF No. 44) and Imonitie’s Counterclaim (ECF No. 128) and, filed a proposed Third DPSO on
6 May 2, 2023 (ECF No. 158), stipulating and agreeing to certain changes to the Second DPSO (ECF
7 No. 126), which the Court approved on May 18, 2023 (ECF No. 177).

8 On June 19, 2023, the parties to the Third DPSO (ECF No. 177) and subsequently
9 appearing Defendants ANGELA CRUICKSHANK and JEFF CRUICKSHANK (collectively, as the
10 “Cruickshanks”) and JUSTIN OWENS (“Owens”), by and through their respective undersigned
11 counsel, conducted a supplemental Rule 26(f) conference regarding necessary
12 amendments/modifications to the discovery plan/scheduling order and, collectively, hereby
13 stipulate/agree to and propose the following certain changes to the Third DPSO (ECF No. 177)
14 (*amendments/modifications in bold*)²:

15 I. **DISCOVERY PLAN**

16 The following addresses each element of a Discovery Plan and Scheduling Order, a
17 summary of events and dates follows this narrative.

18 **A. Rule 26(a) Initial Disclosures.** *What changes should be made in the timing, form,*
19 *or requirement for disclosures under Rule 26(a), including a statement of when*
initial disclosures were made or will be made.

20 The Parties agree that Initial Disclosures will be due on January 5, 2023, given the holidays.

21 The parties further agree that with respect to parties served or joined after the Rule 6(f)
22 conference, the time for initial disclosures will be governed by Rule 26(a)(1)(D), which provides
23 that “[a] party that is first served or otherwise joined after the Rule 26(f) conference must make the
24 initial disclosures within 30 days after being served or joined, unless a different time is set by
25 stipulation or court order.”

26 ² **The Cruickshanks and Owens do not waive their pending challenge to personal jurisdiction**
27 **by agreeing to extend discovery deadlines, participating in the supplemental Rule 26(f)**
28 **conference, or stipulating to joining in the SPO.**

HOLLAND & HART LLP
9555 HILLWOOD DRIVE, 2ND FLOOR
LAS VEGAS, NV 89134

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

B. Discovery Period; Scope/Extent of Discovery. *The subjects on which discovery may be needed, when discovery should be completed, and whether discovery should be conducted in phases or be limited to or focused on particular issues.*

In this removed action, the first defendant otherwise appeared on November 4, 2022. (ECF No. 1).³

The Parties agree that discovery as to claims and defenses is needed and that 270 days are required for discovery measured from December 5, 2022, the date of the Rule 26(f) Conference: Friday, September 1, 2023.

The parties agreed a longer period of discovery – more than 180-days - is needed. The longer period is needed in light of the pending and anticipated motion practice, issues related to disputed expedited discovery, potential first amended complaint, potential Defendant(s) motion to assert counter-claim(s), as well as the number of defendants and the various states and some witnesses may reside in foreign countries.

Upon supplementally conferring, the parties agree that an additional 180 days to the existing deadlines in the Second DPSO (ECF No. 126) is needed in light of the additional 19 claims and party counterdefendant newly added by Tapia’s Counterclaim (ECF No. 44) and Imonitie’s Counterclaim (ECF No. 128).

Based on their supplemental Rule 26(f) conference and subsequent communications, the parties agree that an additional 120 days to the existing deadlines in the Third DPSO is needed (ECF No. 177) in light of these additional parties appearing in this action. The Cruickshanks and Owens have agreed to the 120 day extension in light of their pending motion to stay discovery.

C. Amended Pleadings and Adding Parties

As indicated above, Plaintiffs’ and Defendants each have indicated they may bring motion(s) to amended and add parties. There is no stipulation between the parties regarding Plaintiff’s prior motion to amend. The deadline to amend pleadings or add parties, 90-days before the close of discovery, is acceptable to all parties; Monday June 5, 2023.

³ Prior to removal, the first defendant answered in the State Court Action (A-22-854783-B) on August 31, 2022. (ECF No. 5-5).

1 The parties agree to extend the current deadline to amend pleadings and add parties by 180
2 days from June 5, 2023 to November 30, 2023.

3 **The parties agree to extend the current deadline to amend pleadings and add parties**
4 **by 120 days from November 30, 2023 to March 29, 2024.**

5 **D. Disclosure of Expert(s) Deadline**

6 The parties are agreeable to setting the disclosure of experts 60-days before the close of
7 discovery, which is Monday, July 3, 2023 and rebuttal experts 30-days before the close of discovery,
8 which is Wednesday, August 2, 2023.

9 The parties agree to extend the current expert deadlines by 180 days: for initial expert
10 disclosures from June 7, 2023 to December 29, 2023; and for rebuttal expert disclosures from August
11 8, 2023 to January 29, 2024.

12 **The parties agree to extend the current expert deadlines by 120 days: for initial expert**
13 **disclosures from December 29, 2023 to April 27, 2024; and for rebuttal expert disclosures from**
14 **January 29, 2024 to May 28, 2024.**

15 **E. Dispositive Motion Deadline**

16 The parties are agreeable to setting dispositive motions 30-days after the close of discovery,
17 which is Monday, October 2, 2023.

18 The parties agree to extend the current dispositive motions deadline by 180 days from
19 October 2, 2023 to March 29, 2024.

20 **The parties agree to extend the current dispositive motions deadline by 120 days from**
21 **March 29, 2024 to July 29, 2024.**

22 **F. Joint Pre-Trial Order Deadline**

23 The parties are agreeable to the deadline for the joint pre-trial order 30-days after the
24 dispositive-motion deadline, which is Wednesday November 1, 2023, and if dispositive motions are
25 filed, the deadline for filing the joint pretrial order will be suspended until 30-days after decision on
26 the dispositive motion or further order of the Court.

27 The parties agree to extend the current Joint Pretrial Order deadline by 180 days from
28 November 2, 2023 to April 29, 2024.

HOLLAND & HART LLP
9555 HILLWOOD DRIVE, 2ND FLOOR
LAS VEGAS, NV 89134

HOLLAND & HART LLP
9555 HILLWOOD DRIVE, 2ND FLOOR
LAS VEGAS, NV 89134

1 **The parties agree to extend the current Joint Pretrial Order deadline by 120 days**
2 **from April 29, 2024 to August 27, 2024.**

3 **G. Alternative Dispute Resolution**

4 Each Party does hereby certify that they have met and conferred during the December 5,
5 2022, Rule 26(f) conference and discussed the possibility of using the court’s alternative dispute
6 resolution process (“ADR”) including mediation, arbitration, and if applicable, early neutral
7 evaluation. Based on these discussions, the Parties mutually decline to participate in ADR at this
8 time, but will continue to evaluate alternative dispute resolution processes on a going forward basis.

9 **H. Alternative Forms of Case Disposition.**

10 Each Party does hereby certify that they have met and conferred during the December 5,
11 2022, Rule 26(f) conference and discussed the possibility of using a magistrate judge under 28
12 U.S.C. § 636(c) and Fed. R. Civ. P. 73 and the use of the Short Trial Program (General Order 2013-
13 01). The parties each declined this alternative.

14 **I. Electronically Stored Information (“ESI”).** *Any issues about disclosure,*
15 *discovery, or preservation of electronically stored information, including the form*
16 *or forms in which it should be produced.*

17 IML filed a Motion to Establish ESI Protocol in Furtherance of Expedited Discovery, dated
18 November 18, 2022 (ECF No. 17), which the Magistrate Judge denied without prejudice and directed
19 the Parties to meet and confer (ECF No. 25). The Parties met and conferred regarding protocols
20 governing the production of ESI. IML circulated a draft Stipulation and Order Governing Production
21 of ESI. In response, Defendants proposed instead using the U.S. Northern District of California’s
22 model stipulated order re discovery of electronically stored information for standard litigation. IML
23 proposed redlines thereto. At the supplemental Rule 26(f) conference, the parties agreed that
24 Defendants will respond to Plaintiff’s proposed redlines by May 1, 2023 and if not in agreement
25 therewith shall participate in a supplemental meet and confer regarding the same on May 2, 2023, at
26 10:00 a.m. (PST). The Parties will determine if an ESI protocol can be agreed to, or if motion(s)
regarding the same will need to be filed to obtain guidance from the Court.

27 **The Parties were unable to come to an agreeable ESI protocol. As a result, on May**
28 **8, 2023, Plaintiff filed its Motion to Establish ESI Protocol in Furtherance of Discovery. (ECF**

1 **No. 164). On May 22, 2023, Defendants filed their Joint Response (ECF No. 181), to which**
2 **Plaintiff replied on May 26, 2023 (ECF No. 185). The Motion to Establish ESI Protocol in**
3 **Furtherance of Discovery is currently pending before the Court for adjudication and is set to**
4 **be heard on July 18, 2023 at 1:00 p.m.**

5
6 **J. Privileges; Trial Preparation Materials.** *Any issues about claims of privilege or*
7 *of protection as trial-preparation materials, including—if the parties agree on a*
8 *procedure to assert these claims after production—whether to ask the court to*
9 *include their agreement in an order under Federal Rule of Evidence 502.*

10 Plaintiff and Defendants Imonitie, Sluga, Roeser, and Nvision have entered into a
11 Protective Order Regarding Confidentiality (the “SPO”). (ECF No. 5-7). Defendants ILYKIT,
12 Tapia, Grant, Longmire, and Murphy have stipulated and joined in the SPO. (ECF Nos. 135, 136).
13 **Defendants Angela Cruickshank, Jeff Cruickshank, and Justin Owens have stipulated and**
14 **agreed to join in the SPO.**

15 **K. Limitations on Discovery.** *What changes should be made in the limitations on*
16 *discovery imposed under these rules or by local rule, and what other limitations*
17 *should be imposed.*

18 There is currently a dispute concerning an order issued by the State District Court regarding
19 an order to show cause. Plaintiff believes the State Court Order is effective. Defendants David
20 Imonitie, Spela Sluga, Devon Roeser, and Nvisionu, Inc. have filed a motion seeking clarity
21 regarding the State Court Order, which is currently pending before this Court for adjudication.
22 Defendants also filed a motion to vacate order in the state court action, which was denied. (ECF No.
23 116). Depending on the ruling on this order, the Parties may revisit Limitation of Discovery.

24 **On May 19, 2023, the Court entered its Order re ECF No. 161, granting in part and**
25 **denying in part Plaintiff’s Motion for Order Setting Number of Depositions Permitted Under**
26 **FRCP 30(a). (ECF No. 179). The Court’s Order grants Plaintiff’s Motion to the extent it seeks**
27 **to enlarge the number of depositions presumptively allowed with respect to depositions of the**
28 **named Defendants (ECF No. 179 at 4), but denied the remainder of Plaintiff’s Motion without**
prejudice (ECF No. 179 at 2–3).

HOLLAND & HART LLP
9555 HILLWOOD DRIVE, 2ND FLOOR
LAS VEGAS, NV 89134

HOLLAND & HART LLP
9555 HILLWOOD DRIVE, 2ND FLOOR
LAS VEGAS, NV 89134

1 L. **Other Orders.** *Any other orders that the court should issue under Rule 26(c) or*
2 *under Rule 16(b) and (c).*

3 There are pending issues regarding the ESI Protocol and number of interrogatories that
4 require resolution.

5 M. **Electronic Evidence.**

6 No jury trial demand has been made.

7 Tapia’s Counterclaim and Imonitie’s Counterclaim respectively demand “a jury for all
8 claims so triable.” Plaintiff/Mr. Terry anticipate they will likely present evidence in electronic format
9 to jurors. Defendants also anticipate using evidence in electronic format to the jurors. The parties
10 agree to meet and confer and attempt to reach a mutually agreeable stipulation governing the
11 presentation of electronic evidence, which shall be compatible and in accordance with the court’s
12 electronic jury evidence display system and any applicable rules/guidelines/instructions thereby.

12 II. **SCHEDULING ORDER**

	<u>Current Deadlines</u>	<u>Proposed Deadlines</u>
FRCP 26(f); LR 26-1(b)		
Discovery Cut-Off Date: Unless the court orders otherwise, discovery periods longer than 180 days from the date the first defendant answers or appears will require special scheduling review	02/28/2024	06/28/2024
Amending Pleadings & Adding Parties: Unless the discovery plan otherwise provides and the court so orders, the deadline for filing motions to amend the pleadings or to add parties is 90 days before the close of discovery	11/30/2023	03/29/2024
FRCP 26(a)(2) Initial Expert Disclosures: Unless the discovery plan otherwise provides and the court so orders, the deadlines in Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a)(2)(D) for expert disclosures are modified to require that the disclosures be made 60 days before the discovery cut-off date	12/29/2023	04/27/2024
FRCP 26(a)(2) Rebuttal Expert Disclosures:	01/29/2024	05/28/2024

HOLLAND & HART LLP
 9555 HILLWOOD DRIVE, 2ND FLOOR
 LAS VEGAS, NV 89134

1 Unless the discovery plan
 2 otherwise provides and the court
 3 so orders, the deadlines in Fed. R.
 4 Civ. P. 26(a)(2)(D) for expert
 5 disclosures are modified to require
 6 that rebuttal-expert disclosures be
 7 made 30 days after the initial
 8 disclosure of experts

9 **Interim Status Report:** 12/29/2023 **04/27/2024**
 10 60 days before the discovery
 11 cutoff as required by LR 26-3

12 **Dispositive Motions:** 03/29/2024 **07/29/2024**
 13 Unless the discovery plan
 14 otherwise provides and the court
 15 so orders, the deadline for filing
 16 dispositive motions is 30 days
 17 after the discovery cut-off date

18 **Joint Pretrial Order:** 04/29/2024 **08/27/2024**
 19 Unless the discovery plan
 20 otherwise provides and the court
 21 so orders, the deadline for the joint
 22 pretrial order is 30 days after the
 23 dispositive-motion deadline. If
 24 dispositive motions are filed, the
 25 deadline for filing the joint pretrial
 26 order will be suspended until 30
 27 days after decision on the
 28 dispositive motions or further
 court order

FRCP 26(a)(3) Disclosures. 04/29/2024 **08/27/2024**
 Unless the discovery plan
 otherwise provides and the court
 so orders, the disclosures required
 by Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a)(3) and
 any objections to them must be
 included in the joint pretrial order;

1) **Later Appearing Parties.** A copy of this DPSO will be served on any person served after this DPSO is entered by the Court, or if an additional party appears, within five (5) days of their first appearance. This DPSO will apply to later-appearing parties, unless the Court, on a motion and for good cause shown, orders otherwise.

2) **Modifications/Amendments to DPSO.** This DPSO may only be modified for good cause and with the Court’s consent. LR 26-4 governs modifications or extensions of any deadlines set by this DPSO. All stipulations or motions to extend a deadline set forth in this DPSO

1 must be received by the Court no later than 21 days before the expiration of the subject deadline,
2 and must comply with LR 26-4.

3 DATED this 23rd day of June 2023.

4 HOLLAND & HART LLP

SLIGHTING LAW

5 /s/ Lars K. Evensen

/s/ Christopher Wellman

Lars K. Evensen, Esq.
6 Jenapher Lin, Esq.
9555 Hillwood Drive, 2nd Floor
7 Las Vegas, NV 89134

Bradley S. Slighting, Esq. (NBN 10225)
1707 Village Center Cir., #100
Las Vegas, NV 89134
Telephone: (702) 232-2543
Email: brad@slightinglaw.com

8 KERR SIMPSON ATTORNEYS AT LAW
P. Sterling Kerr, Esq.
9 George E. Robinson, Esq.
2900 W. Horizon Ridge Parkway, Suite 200
10 Henderson, NV 89052

Christopher Wellman (*pro hac vice*)
California Bar No. 304700
WELLMAN & WARREN LLP
24411 Ridge Route, Suite 200
Laguna Hills, CA 92653
Tel: (949) 580-3737
cwellman@w-wlaw.com

11 *Attorneys for Plaintiff/Counterdefendant*
12 *International Markets Live, Inc. and*
13 *Counterdefendant Christopher Terry*

Attorneys for Defendants DAVID IMONITIE;
SPELA SLUGA; DEVON ROESER;
NVISIONU, INC., Bass Grant, Lucas
Longmire, Vince Murphy

15 THOMPSON BURTON, PLLC

SLIGHTING LAW

16 JON E. FIELD, ESQ.
17 Nevada Bar No. 7700
One Franklin Park
18 6100 Tower Circle Suite 200
Franklin, Tennessee 37067
19 Tele: (615) 465-6000
20 Email: jfield@thompsonburton.com

/s/ Justin L. James
Bradley S. Slighting, Esq. (NBN 10225)

Justin L. James (*pro hac vice*)
Utah Bar No. 15167
James Dodge Russell & Stephens PC
10 West Broadway, Suite 400
Salt Lake City, UT 84101
801.363.6363
jjames@jdrslaw.com

21 *Attorney for Defendant ILYKIT, LLC*

Attorneys for Defendants Ivan Tapia, Justin
Owens, Angela Cruickshank, Jeff
Cruickshank

24 **IT IS SO ORDERED:**

25 

26 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

27 DATED: 6/26/2023

HOLLAND & HART LLP
9555 HILLWOOD DRIVE, 2ND FLOOR
LAS VEGAS, NV 89134

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on the 23rd day of June 2023, a true and correct copy of the foregoing **FIRST AMENDMENT/CORRECTION TO FOURTH STIPULATED DISCOVERY PLAN AND SCHEDULING ORDER (SPECIAL SCHEDULING REVIEW REQUESTED)** was served by the following method(s):

Electronic: by submitting electronically for filing and/or service with the United States District Court, District of Nevada’s e-filing system and served on counsel electronically in accordance with the E-service list to the following email addresses:

Bradley S. Slighting (10225)
SLIGHTING LAW
1707 Village Center Cir, Ste 100
Las Vegas, NV 89134
Tel: (702) 840-3749
brad@slightinglaw.com

Bradley S. Slighting (10225)
SLIGHTING LAW
1707 Village Center Cir, Ste 100
Las Vegas, NV 89134
Tel: (702) 840-3749
brad@slightinglaw.com

Christopher Wellman (*pro hac vice*)
California Bar No. 304700
WELLMAN & WARREN LLP
24411 Ridge Route, Suite 200
Laguna Hills, CA 92653
Tel: (949) 580-3737
cwellman@w-wlaw.com

Justin L. James (*pro hac vice*)
Utah Bar No. 15167
James Dodge Russell & Stephens PC
10 West Broadway, Suite 400
Salt Lake City, UT 84101
801.363.6363
jjames@jdrsllaw.com

*Attorneys for Defendants
David Imonitie; Spela Sluga;
Devon Roeser; Nvisionu, Inc., Bass Grant,
Lucas Longmire, Vince Murphy*

*Attorneys for Defendants Ivan Tapia,
Angela Cruickshank, Jeff
Cruickshank, and Justin Owens*

Jon E. Field, Esq.
THOMPSON BURTON, PLLC
One Franklin Park
6100 Tower Circle Suite 200
Franklin, Tennessee 37067
Tele: (615) 465-6000
Email: jfield@thompsonburton.com

Nathan Samuel
Pro Se litigant
1874 Brooks Dr NW
Atlanta, GA 30318
Email:
Nathanmorehouse171@gmail.com
Tel: 516.282.5246

Attorney for Defendant ILYKIT, LLC

/s/ Brenda Schroeder
An Employee of Holland & Hart LLP

HOLLAND & HART LLP
9555 HILLWOOD DRIVE, 2ND FLOOR
LAS VEGAS, NV 89134