

1 BOYD B. MOSS, III, ESQ.
 Nevada Bar No.8856
 2 boyd@mossberglv.com
 MARCUS A. BERG, ESQ.
 3 Nevada Bar No. 9670
marcus@mossberglv.com
 4 JOHN C. FUNK, ESQ.
 Nevada Bar No. 9255
 5 john@mossberglv.com
 MOSS BERG INJURY LAWYERS
 6 4101 Meadows Lane, Suite 110
 Las Vegas, Nevada 89107
 7 Telephone: (702) 222-4555
 Facsimile: (702) 222-4556
 8 Attorneys for Plaintiff

9
 10 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
 11 **DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

12 DEBBIE KAY FERRE,
 13
 14 Plaintiff,

CASE NO. 2:23-cv-01454-GMN-BNW

15 v.

16 ALBERTSON’S, LLC, a Foreign
 Corporation d/b/a Albertson’s #3333; DOES
 17 I through X; and ROE CORPORATIONS I
 18 through X, inclusive,
 19 Defendants.

20
 21 **STIPULATED DISCOVERY PLAN AND SCHEDULING ORDER**
[SPECIAL SCHEDULING REVIEW REQUEST]

22
 23 Under Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(f) and Local Rules of Practice for the United States District
 24 Court for the District of Nevada 26-1(a)-(b), Defendant Albertson’s LLC, by and through its
 25 counsel of record, the law firm of BACKUS, CARRANZA & BURDEN, and Plaintiff Debbie
 26 Kay Ferre, by and through her counsel of record, MOSS BERG INJURY LAWYERS,
 27
 28

1 conducted a discovery-planning conference on October 25, 2023, and hereby submit to the court
2 the following proposed discovery plan. Additionally, in compliance with LR 26-1 (a)-(b), the
3 parties request a special scheduling review, and the following provides a statement of the
4 reasons why longer or different time periods should apply to the case.
5

6 **I.**
7 **PRIOR PROCEEDINGS**

8 **A. DATE OF FILING OF ANSWER BY FIRST ANSWERING DEFENDANT**

9 September 18, 2023

10 **B. DATE THE FED. R. CIV. P. 26(F) CONFERENCE WAS HELD**

11 October 25, 2023

12 **II.**

13 **DISCOVERY PLAN PURSUANT TO FED. R. CIV. P. 26(f)(3)**

14 **A. WHETHER CHANGES, IF ANY, SHOULD BE MADE IN TIMING, FORM, OR**
15 **REQUIREMENTS FOR DISCLOSURES UNDER FED. R. CIV. P. 26(A)**

16 **1. Plaintiff's view:** None.

17 **2. Defendant's view:** None.

18
19 **B. SUBJECTS ON WHICH DISCOVERY MAY BE NEEDED, WHEN DISCOVERY**
20 **SHOULD BE COMPLETED, AND WHETHER DISCOVERY SHOULD BE**
21 **CONDUCTED IN PHASES OR BE LIMITED TO OR FOCUSED ON PARTICULAR ISSUES**

22 **1. Plaintiff's view:**

23
24 i. Discovery will consist of items needed regarding Plaintiff's claims and
25 Defendant's defenses.
26
27
28

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

ii. Discovery should be completed on May 15, 2024, allowing 240 days for discovery.

iii. Discovery in this matter does not need to be conducted in phases.

2. Defendant’s view:

i. Discovery will consist of items needed regarding Plaintiff’s claims and Defendant’s defenses.

ii. Discovery should be completed on May 15, 2024, allowing 240 days for discovery.

iii. Discovery in this matter does not need to be conducted in phases.

C. WHETHER ISSUES EXIST REGARDING DISCLOSURE OR DISCOVERY OF ELECTRONICALLY STORED INFORMATION, INCLUDING THE FORM OR FORMS IN WHICH IT SHOULD BE PRODUCED

1. Plaintiff’s view: Not at this time.

2. Defendant’s view: Not at this time.

D. WHETHER ISSUES EXIST REGARDING CLAIMS OF PRIVILEGE OR OF PROTECTION AS TRIAL-PREPARATION MATERIALS, INCLUDING—IF THE PARTIES AGREE ON A PROCEDURE TO ASSERT THESE CLAIMS AFTER PRODUCTION—WHETHER TO ASK THE COURT TO INCLUDE THEIR AGREEMENT IN AN ORDER UNDER FEDERAL RULE OF EVIDENCE 502

1. Plaintiff’s view: Not at this time.

2. Defendant’s view: Not at this time.

1 **E. WHETHER, IF ANY, OTHER ORDERS SHOULD BE ENTERED BY THE COURT**
2 **UNDER RULE 26(C) OR RULE 16(B) AND (C)**

- 3 1. **Plaintiff's view:** Not at this time.
4 2. **Defendant's view:** Not at this time.

5 **III.**
6 **DISCOVERY PLAN AND MANDATORY DISCLOSURES PURSUANT TO LR 26-1 (b)**

7 **A. A STATEMENT OF THE REASONS WHY LONGER OR DIFFERENT TIME**
8 **PERIODS SHOULD APPLY TO THE CASE OR, IN CASES IN WHICH THE**
9 **PARTIES DISAGREE AS TO THE FORM OR CONTENTS OF THE DISCOVERY**
10 **PLAN, A STATEMENT OF EACH PARTY'S POSITION ON EACH POINT IN**
11 **DISPUTE PURSUANT TO LR 26-1(a)**

12 **1. Plaintiff's view:** Plaintiff requests *240 days* because Plaintiff is still treating for her
13 injuries. Also, Plaintiff claims may necessitate an Independent Medical Examination and
14 multiple witness depositions. The extended discovery provides the Parties a meaningful period in
15 which to complete discovery.

16 **2. Defendant's view:** Defendant request 240 days because they will need the additional
17 time to acquire all of Plaintiff's medical records. Also, Plaintiff's claims may necessitate an
18 Independent Medical Examination and multiple witness depositions. The extended discovery
19 provides the Parties a meaningful period in which to complete discovery.

20 **B. FORM OF STIPULATED DISCOVERY PLAN AND SCHEDULING ORDER**
21 **PURSUANT TO LR 26-1(b)(1)-(6)**

LR 26-1(b)(1-6) Deadlines	DATE
Discovery Cut-Off Date	May 15, 2024
Amending the Pleadings and Adding Parties (LR 26-1(e)(2)) (Not later than 90 days before close of discovery)	February 15, 2024

1 2	Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a)(2) Disclosures (Experts) (Not later than 60 days before close of discovery)	March 15, 2024
3 4	Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a)(2) Rebuttal Disclosures (Not later than 30 days after initial disclosure of experts)	April 15, 2024
5 6	Dispositive Motions (LR 26-1(e)(4)) (Not later than 30 days after Discovery cut-off date)	June 14, 2024
7 8	Joint Pretrial Order and Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a)(3) Disclosures (Not later than 30 days after dispositive-motion deadline)	July 15, 2024

9
10 A motion or stipulation to extend any deadline set forth in the Discovery Plan and
11 Scheduling Order must be received by the court no later than twenty-one (21) days before the
12 expiration of the subject deadline and must be supported by a showing of good faith as outlined
13 in LR 26-4.

14 **C. CERTIFICATION OF DISPUTE RESOLUTION CONFERENCE**

15 The undersigned certify that they met and conferred about the possibility of using
16 alternative dispute-resolution processes.
17

18 **D. CERTIFICATION OF ALTERNATIVE FORMS OF CASE DISPOSITION**

19 The undersigned do not consent to trial by a magistrate judge under 28 U.S.C. §636 (c)
20 and Fed. R. Civ. P. 73, or the use of the Short Trial Program (General Order 2013-01).
21

22 **E. ELECTRONIC EVIDENCE DISPOSITION**

23 A jury trial has been demanded and the undersigned certify that they discussed whether
24 the parties intend to present evidence in electronic format to jurors for the purposes of jury
25 deliberations, and the following stipulations were reached regarding providing discovery in an
26
27
28

1 electronic format compatible with the court's electronic jury evidence display system: None at
2 this time.

3 **F. OTHER ORDERS REQUIRED OF THE COURT:**

4 None at this time.

5 **STIPULATED TO BY:**

6 DATED this 30th day of October 2023.

7 DATED this 30th day of October 2023.

8 MOSS BERG INJURY LAWYERS

9 BACKUS, CARRANZA & BURDEN

10 /s/ Boyd B. Moss III, Esq.
11 BOYD B. MOSS III, ESQ.
12 boyd@mossberglv.com
13 MARCUS A. BERG, ESQ.
14 marcus@mossberglv.com
15 4101 Meadows Lane, Suite 110
16 Las Vegas, Nevada 89107
17 *Attorneys for Plaintiff*

18 By: /s/ Jack P. Burden
19 Jack P. Burden, Esq.
20 3050 South Durango Drive
21 Las Vegas, NV 89117
22 Attorneys for Defendant ALBERTSONS, LLC

23 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

24 
25 **UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE**

26 **DATED: 10/31/2023**