

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

KATIE CHAPMAN-PINTO,

Case No.: 2:23-cv-01458-APG-NJK

Plaintiff

# **Order Rejecting Proposed Joint Pre-Trial Order**

V.

AMAZON.COM SERVICES, LLC

[ECF No. 40]

## Defendant

8 The parties' proposed Joint Pretrial Order (ECF No. 40) does not comply with Local Rule  
9 16-3(b)(8)(B). The parties attempt to "reserve objections regarding [each other's] exhibits for  
10 trial." ECF No. 40 at 10. Local Rule 16-3(b)(8)(B) expressly requires the parties to "[l]ist those  
11 exhibits to which objection is made and state the grounds for the objection. Stipulations on  
12 admissibility, authenticity, and/or identification of documents should be made whenever  
13 possible." The requirements in Local Rules 16-3 and 16-4 are designed to streamline trial  
14 preparation and presentation, and to foster settlement. If the parties simply wait to make trial  
15 decisions until the eve of trial, they cannot fully participate in settlement discussions.

16 I THEREFORE ORDER that the parties' proposed Joint Pretrial Order (**ECF No. 40**) is  
17 **REJECTED**. The parties shall confer about their objections to exhibits and submit a new Joint  
18 Pretrial Order by March 28, 2025.

DATED this 3rd day of March, 2025.

---

ANDREW P. GORDON  
CHIEF UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE