

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA

Mia Christman,

Petitioner,

v.

State of Nevada, et al.,

Respondents.

Case No.: 2:23-cv-01695-GMN-NJK

Order

Following the Notices of Appearance (ECF Nos. 8, 9) by the Federal Public Defender on behalf of Petitioner Mia Christman, it is hereby ordered:

1. The Federal Public Defender, through Margaret Lambrose, Esq. and Jonathan Kirshbaum, Esq., is appointed as counsel for Petitioner pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3006A(a)(2)(B). Counsel will represent Petitioner in all federal proceedings related to this matter, including any appeals or certiorari proceedings, unless allowed to withdraw.
2. Petitioner will have until August 8, 2024, to file an Amended Petition and/or seek other appropriate relief. This deadline and any extension thereof may not be construed as implied findings regarding the federal limitation period or a basis for tolling. Petitioner at all times remains responsible for calculating the running of the federal limitation period and timely asserting claims, without regard to any court-ordered deadlines or extensions. Thus, a Petition or Amended Petition filed within a court-ordered deadline may still be dismissed as untimely if it violates the statute of limitations. *See Sossa v. Diaz*, 729 F.3d 1225, 1235 (9th Cir. 2013).
3. Respondents must file a response to the Amended Petition, including potentially by

1 Motion to Dismiss, within 60 days of service of an Amended Petition. Petitioner may file
2 a Reply within 30 days of service of the Answer. However, Local Rule LR 7-2(b)
3 governs the response and reply time to any motion filed by either party, including
4 motions filed in lieu of a pleading.

5 4. Any procedural defenses Respondents raise to the counseled Amended Petition must be
6 raised together in a single, consolidated Motion to Dismiss. Successive Motions to
7 Dismiss will not be entertained, and any procedural defenses omitted from the
8 consolidated Motion to Dismiss will be waived. Respondents may not file a response
9 that consolidates their procedural defenses, if any, with their response on the merits. But
10 arguments that an unexhausted claim clearly lacks merit may be included a procedural-
11 defense response. If Respondents seek dismissal of unexhausted claims under 28 U.S.C.
12 § 2254(b)(2) they must: (1) do so in a single Motion to Dismiss, not in the Answer; and
13 (2) specifically direct their argument to the standard for dismissal under § 2254(b)(2) as
14 set forth in *Cassett v. Stewart*, 406 F.3d 614, 623–24 (9th Cir. 2005). In short, no
15 procedural defenses, including exhaustion, may be included with the merits in an
16 Answer. All procedural defenses, including exhaustion, must be raised in a single
17 Motion to Dismiss.

18 5. In any Answer filed on the merits, Respondents must specifically cite to and address the
19 applicable state court written decision and state court record materials, if any, regarding
20 each claim within the response as to that claim.


21 6. Respondents must file the state court exhibits relevant to their response in chronological
22 order.

23 7. All state court records and related exhibits must be filed in accordance with LR IA 10-3

1 and LR IC 2-2 and include a separate index identifying each exhibit by number or letter.
2 The index must be filed in CM/ECF's document upload screen as the base document to
3 receive the base docket number (*e.g.*, ECF No. 10). Each exhibit must then be filed as
4 "attachments" to the base document to receive a sequenced sub-docket number (*e.g.*,
5 Exhibit A (ECF No. 10-1), Exhibit B (ECF No. 10-2), Exhibit C (ECF No. 10-3), and so
6 forth). If the exhibits will span more than one filing, the base document in each
7 successive filing must be either a copy of the index or volume cover page. *See* LR IC 2-
8 2(a)(3)(A).

- 9 8. Notwithstanding LR IC 2-2(g), paper copies of any electronically filed exhibits need not
10 be provided to chambers or to the staff attorney, unless later directed by the court.

11 DATED: May 10, 2024

12 
13 _____
14 GLORIA M. NAVARRO
15 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23