1			
	LEWIS ROCA ROTHGERBER	GREENBERG TRAURIG, LLP	
2	CHRISTIE LLP Eric N. Kohli (Bar No. 15763)	Mark G. Tratos (Bar No. 01086) tratosm@gtlaw.com	
3	EKohli(a)LewisRoca.com	Bethany L. Rabe (Bar No. 11691)	
4	3993 Howard Hughes Parkway Suite 600	rabeb@gtlaw.com 10845 Griffith Peak Drive	
	Las Vegas, NV 89169	Suite 600	
5	Telephone: (702) 949-8200 Facsimile: (702) 949-8398	Las Vegas, Nevada 89135 Telephone: (702) 792-3773	
6		Facsimile: (702) 792-9002	
7	QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART & SULLIVAN, LLP	FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW,	
	Ryan Goldstein (Pro Hac Vice)	GARRETT & DUNNER LLP	
8	ryangoldstein@quinnemanuel.com Daniel C. Posner (<i>Pro Hac Vice</i>)	Jenevieve J. Maerker (<i>Pro Hac Vice</i>) jenevieve.maerker@finnegan.com	
9	danposner(a)quinnemanuel.com	Danny Awdeh (Pro Hac Vice)	
10	865 S. Figueroa Street, 10th Floor Los Angeles, CA 90017	danny.awdeh@finnegan.com Yoonhee Kim (<i>Pro Hac Vice</i>)	
	Telephone: (213) 443-3000	yoonhee.kim@finnegan.com	
11	Michael LaFond (Pro Hac Vice)	Patrick J. Rodgers (<i>Pro Hac Vice</i>) patrick.rodgers@finnegan.com	
12	michaellafond@quinnemanuel.com	Maxime I. Jarguin (<i>Pro Hac Vice</i>)	
13	555 Twin Dolphin Drive, 5th Floor Redwood City, CA 94065	maxime.jarquin@finnegan.com 901 New York Avenue NW	
	Telephone: (650) 801-5000	Washington, DC 20001	
14		Telephone: (202) 408-4000 Facsimile: (202) 408-4400	
15	Attorneys for Plaintiff	Paesinine. (202) 408-4400	
16		Attorneys for Defendants	
	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
17	DISTRICI	COF NEVADA	
18	TEAMLAB INC., a Japanese		
19	corporation,	Case No. 2:23-cv-01956-JAD-DJA	
	Plaintiff,	STIPULATION AND ORDER TO	
20	VS.	MODIFY EXISTING SCHEDULING ORDER	
21		ORDER	
22	ARTE MUSEUM LV, LLC, a Delaware limited liability company, D'STRICT HOLDINGS, INC., a Delaware		
	HOLDINGS, INC., a Delaware	[SECOND REQUEST]	
23	Corporation, and D'STRICT KOREA INC., a Korean Corporation,		
24	-		
25	Defendants.		
26	Pursuant to LR IA 6-1 and 6-2, and LR 26-3, Plaintiffs and Defendants stipu-		
27	late, by and through their respective counsel, to request the Court to extend their cur-		
28	rent discovery deadlines by thirty (30) days.		
	STIPULATION AND ORDER TO MODIFY EXISTING SCHEDULING ORDER		

1. On September 23, 2024, the Court granted the parties' first stipulation to extend the discovery schedule (by 60 days) due largely to the delays associated with having foreign clients on both sides of the case, and the difficulties of conducting foreign discovery involving foreign-language documents. The parties had also, at that time, initiated informal settlement discussions.

2. Since then, the parties have been engaged in extensive settlement discussions and have made substantial progress toward a negotiated resolution of their dispute.

3. In parallel, the parties have conducted further discovery activities. To date, the parties have exchanged responses to interrogatories and requests for production, and both sides have made multiple document productions on a rolling basis. The parties continue to search for and review responsive documents and expect to be able to complete their document productions in the near future.

4. No depositions have been taken yet. The parties have discussed deposition logistics and scheduling, but they have held off on scheduling depositions and resolving remaining document production issues, in order to focus their efforts on the settlement negotiations. At this point, deposition rooms at the U.S. Consulate in Tokyo, where some depositions may need to be conducted, are not available until January 6, 2025 or later (*see* https://jp.usembassy.gov/services/depositions-in-japan/).

5. Despite the parties' diligent efforts and progress, they agree that additional time will be necessary to complete written discovery and depositions before serving their respective expert disclosures.

6. The parties believe that a 30-day extension of the discovery and disclosure deadlines will facilitate their completion of settlement discussions and, as needed, discovery.

7. The extension is not sought for any improper purpose or delay. Rather, the parties seek the extension to ensure that settlement discussions can proceed and discovery
can be completed if it proves necessary.

STIPULATION AND ORDER TO MODIFY EXISTING SCHEDULING ORDER

In accordance with the parties' stipulated 30-day extension, the current discovery deadlines and the parties' proposed extended deadlines are:

Scheduled Event	Current Deadline	Proposed Deadline
Expert Disclosure pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a)(2)	December 16, 2024	January 15, 2025
Rebuttal Expert Disclosure pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a)(2)	January 17, 2025	February 18, 2025
Discovery Cut-off	February 14, 2025	March 17, 2025
Dispositive Motions	March 17, 2025	April 16, 2025
Joint Pretrial Order	April 15, 2025	May 15, 2025

This is the parties' second stipulated request for an extension of discovery. The parties respectfully submit that their reasons set forth above constitute compelling reasons for the requested extension.

WHEREFORE, the parties respectfully request that this Court extend the current discovery deadlines by thirty (30) days in accordance with the table above.

> STIPULATION AND ORDER TO MODIFY EXISTING SCHEDULING ORDER

ACTIVE 704448212v1

1	DATED this <u>25th</u> of	Eric N. Kohli (Bar No. 15763) LEWIS ROCA ROTHGERBER	
2	November, $2\overline{024}$	LEWIS ROCÀ ROTHGERBÉR CHRISTIE LLP	
3		Ryan Goldstein (Pro Hac Vice)	
4 5		Ryan Goldstein (<i>Pro Hac Vice</i>) Daniel C. Posner (<i>Pro Hac Vice</i>) Michael LaFond (<i>Pro Hac Vice</i>) QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART &	
6		SULLIVAN, LLP	
7		By: <u>/s/ Eric N. Kohli</u> Attorneys for Plaintiff	
8			
9	DATED this <u>25th</u> of November, 2024	Mark G. Tratos (Bar No. 01086) Bethany L. Rabe (Bar No. 11691)	
10		GREENBERG TRAURIG, LLP	
11		Jenevieve J. Maerker (<i>Pro Hac Vice</i>) Danny Awdeh (<i>Pro Hac Vice</i>)	
12		Yoonhee Kim (Pro Hac Vice)	
13		Patrick J. Rodgers (<i>Pro Hac Vice</i>) Maxime I. Jarquin (<i>Pro Hac Vice</i>) FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW,	
14		GARREIT & DUNNER LLP	
15		By: <u>/s/ Bethany L. Rabe</u> Attorneys for Defendants	
16			
17 18			
18 19		ORDER	
20		IT IS-SO ORDERED:	
20		TI IS SO ORDERED.	
22		DANIEL J. ALBREGTS UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE	
23			
24		DATED: 11/26/2024	
25			
26			
27			
28		4	
	STIPULATION AND	4 O ORDER TO MODIFY EXISTING SCHEDULING ORDER	
	ACTIVE 704448212v1		