



1 140, 149 (1985) (citing 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)). Indeed, the Ninth Circuit has recognized that a  
2 district court is not required to review a magistrate judge's R&R where no objections have been  
3 filed. *See, e.g., United States v. Reyna-Tapia*, 328 F.3d 1114, 1122 (9th Cir. 2003).

4 Here, no objections were filed, and the deadline to do so has passed. (*See* R&R, ECF  
5 No. 44) (setting a January 24, 2025 deadline for objections).

6 Accordingly,

7 **IT IS HEREBY ORDERED** that the Report and Recommendation, (ECF No. 44), is  
8 **ACCEPTED and ADOPTED** in full.

9 **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that this case is **DISMISSED** without prejudice.

10 **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that the Motion to Dismiss, (ECF No. 8), is denied as  
11 MOOT.

12 **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that sanctions are imposed against Plaintiff in the form  
13 of Defendant's attorneys' fees and costs incurred in preparing the motion to compel.

14 The Court kindly directs the Clerk of Court to close the case.

15 Dated this 27 day of January, 2025.

16  
17   
18 \_\_\_\_\_  
19 Gloria M. Navarro, District Judge  
20 United States District Court  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25