1 2

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23 24

25

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

DISTRICT OF NEVADA

ANTONIO WHEELER,

Plaintiff,

VS.

SUSAN BRAGER, et al.,

Defendants.

Case No.: 2:24-cv-00682-GMN-EJY

ORDER ADOPTING REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION

Pending before the Court is the Report and Recommendation ("R&R"), (ECF No. 19), of United States Magistrate Judge Elayna J. Youchah, which recommends denying Plaintiff's Motion to Extend 2 Year Time Frame, (ECF No. 14), and Motion for IFP, (ECF No. 16). The Magistrate Judge further recommends that Plaintiff's application to proceed in forma pauperis be denied because she recommends that his case not be reopened. (See generally R&R).

A party may file specific written objections to the findings and recommendations of a United States Magistrate Judge made pursuant to Local Rule IB 1-4. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B); D. Nev. R. IB 3-2. Upon the filing of such objections, the Court must make a de novo determination of those portions to which objections are made if the Magistrate Judge's findings and recommendations concern matters that may not be finally determined by a magistrate judge. D. Nev. R. IB 3-2(b). The Court may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the findings or recommendations made by the Magistrate Judge. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); D. Nev. R. IB 3-2(b). Where a party fails to object, however, the Court is not required to conduct "any review at all . . . of any issue that is not the subject of an objection." Thomas v. Arn, 474 U.S. 140, 149 (1985) (citing 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)). Indeed, the Ninth Circuit has recognized that a district court is not required to review a magistrate judge's R&R where no objections have been filed. See, e.g., United States v. Revna–Tapia, 328 F.3d 1114, 1122 (9th Cir. 2003).

1	Here, no objections were filed, and the deadline to do so has passed. (See R&R, ECF
2	No. 19) (setting January 21, 2025, deadline for objections).
3	Accordingly,
4	IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the Report and Recommendation, (ECF No. 19), is
5	ACCEPTED and ADOPTED in full.
6	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Plaintiff's Motions, (ECF No. 14, 16, 18), are
7	DENIED.
8	Dated this 27 day of January, 2025.
9	$\mathcal{A}$
10	Menu
11	Gloria M. Navarro, District Judge United States District Court
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	