

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

COREY GERWASKI,

Plaintiff,

v.

STATE OF NEVADA, ex rel. BOARD
OF REGENTS of the NEVADA
SYSTEM OF HIGHER EDUCATION,
on behalf of the UNIVERSITY OF
NEVADA, LAS VEGAS; KEITH
WHITFIELD, individually; AJP
EDUCATIONAL FOUNDATION INC.,
a California Non-Profit Corporation;
STUDENTS FOR JUSTICE OF
PALESTINE-UNLV; NATIONAL
STUDENTS FOR JUSTICE OF
PALESTINE; NEVADANS FOR
PALESTINIAN LIBERATION;
DOES I-XX and ROE entities I-XX,

Defendants.

Case No. 2:24-cv-00985- APG-MDC

**STIPULATION AND ORDER TO
EXTEND DEADLINE FOR
RESPONSE TO MOTION TO
DISMISS [ECF 37]**

IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED between Plaintiff COREY GERWASKI, and
Defendant AJP EDUCATIONAL FOUNDATION, INC., by and through their respective
counsel, regarding Defendant AJP EDUCATIONAL FOUNDATION, INC.'s SPECIAL
MOTION TO DISMISS PURSUANT TO NRS NEV. REV. STAT §41.660 [ECF 37]

1. On or about November 18, 2024, Defendants AJP EDUCATIONAL
FOUNDATION INC., filed their Motion to Dismiss under Nevada's anti-SLAPP statute.
2. Plaintiff was served with said Motion on the same day with a Response to
same due on December 2, 2024.

1 3. Counsel for Plaintiff was unavailable to commence response to this
2 Motion the week it was filed as a result of completing depositions throughout the week in
3 Northern Nevada.

4 4. Thereafter, the Thanksgiving Holiday and Counsel’s scheduled oral
5 arguments in the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals¹ thereafter provides good cause for
6 extending the briefing period herein.
7

8 5. Defendants’ Counsel has graciously extended the briefing period for 30-
9 day as a professional courtesy and this stipulation follows with the briefing schedule to be
10 modified as to allow Plaintiff’s Response to Defendant AJP Educational Foundation to be
11 due January 2, 2025.
12

13 6. As this case remains in its early stages, this short extension will not create
14 undue delay or burden any parties or the Court.

15 7. The additional time requested herein is not sought for the purposes of
16 delay, but merely to allow Plaintiff’s Counsel adequate time to respond to the subject
17 Motion, taking into account the exercise of due diligence.
18

19 8. The Parties confirm that this stipulated first extension is not dilatory in
20 nature.
21

Dated this 25th day of November, 2024.

22 */s/ Sigal Chattah*
23 SIGAL CHATTAH, ESQ.
24 5875 South Rainbow Blvd. #205
25 Las Vegas, NV 89118
26 (702) 360-6200
27 Counsel for Plaintiff
Corey Gerwaski

28 ¹ Plaintiff’s Counsel is scheduled for oral argument in San Francisco on December 3, 2024 in *Doe v. Washoe County School District*, et al. 24-945 – 033.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28


/s/ Christina Jump
Christina A. Jump (admitted pro hac vice)
cjump@clcma.org
Samira Elhosary (admitted pro
hac vice)
selhosary@clcma.org
Constitutional Law Center for
Muslims in America*
100 N. Central Expy, Suite 1010
Richardson, TX 75080
(972) 914-2507
*Counsel for Defendant AJP
Educational Foundation, Inc.*

/s Margaret A. McLetchie
Margaret A. McLetchie (NV Bar
No. 10931)
maggie@nvlitigation.com
Leo S. Wolpert (NV Bar No.
12658)
leo@nvlitigation.com
McLetchie Law
602 S. 10th St.
Las Vegas, NV 89101
(702) 728-5300
*Local Counsel for Defendant AJP
Educational Foundation, Inc.*

ORDER

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that Plaintiff COREY GERWASKI shall have up
and until January 2, 2025, to file a Response to Defendant's special motion to dismiss
pursuant to NRS 41.660. [ECF 37]

IT IS SO ORDERED.



ANDREW P. GORDON
CHIEF UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

DATED: November 26, 2024

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28