2 3 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 4 5 DISTRICT OF NEVADA 6 7 SCOTT DYLAN J., Case No. 2:24-cv-01020-NJK 8 Plaintiff(s), Order 9 [Docket No. 1] v. MARTIN O'MALLEY, 10 11 Defendant(s). 12 Pending before the Court is Plaintiff's application to proceed in forma pauperis. Docket 13 No. 1. When analyzing whether to allow a plaintiff to proceed without prepaying the filing fee, the Court considers the income and assets to which the plaintiff has access, including those of the 15 plaintiff's spouse. Flores v. Colvin, 2014 U.S. Dist. Lexis 93236, at \*3-4 (D. Nev. May 22, 2014) 16 (collecting cases). Plaintiff's application to proceed in forma pauperis in this case is blank as to, 17 inter alia, spousal income and employment. See Docket No. 1. It is not clear from the filing whether Plaintiff does not have a spouse or whether Plaintiff simply did not fill out this aspect of the application. Accordingly, the Court **DEFERS** ruling on the application to proceed in forma pauperis. No later than June 19, 2024, Plaintiff must file either a notice that he does not have a 20 spouse or a notice of corrected image of the application to proceed in forma pauperis that provides 21 22 all of the spousal information required. 23 IT IS SO ORDERED. 24 Dated: June 5, 2024 25 Nancy J. Koppe 26 United States Magistrate Judge 27 28